Estimating disability and quality of life after different degrees of hand and forearm trauma

Procena onesposobljenosti i kvaliteta života posle povreda šake i podlaktice različitog stepena
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Abstract

Background/Aim. Hand injuries comprise up to one fourth of all injuries and require excellent skills and aggressive physical therapy with still a high potential to cause long term physical and functional disability which affects one’s quality of life. The aim of this study was to evaluate disability and quality of life in patients with different degrees of hand and forearm injuries using the two different scoring systems and to examine the correlation between them. Methods. This retrospective study was performed among patients operated on at our clinic due to acute hand and forearm trauma during the period of two years. Four groups of patients were made according to the Modified Hand Injury Severity Score (MHISS). One year after the treatment, phone interviews were made with those patients to answer to the Disability of Arm Shoulder and Hand (quick-DASH) score for estimating disability and to the World Health Organization Quality of Life BREF (WHOQoL-BREF) score to estimate the quality of life regarding four domains: physical, social, environmental and psychological. Results. Out of 182 patients who satisfied the inclusion criteria, only 60 completely answered to the questionnaires, 46 (17%) men and 14 (23%) women. Most of the patients were in the group with moderate injuries according to the MHISS, followed by the group with major and severe injuries. A weak correlation was found between the MHISS and quick DASH score in the group with minor injuries, compared to no correlation between these parameters in other groups. The lowest quality of life was registered in the physical domain, while the highest in the social one. A negative correlation was found among the four domains of the WHOQoL BREF score and quick-DASH score in all the groups. Conclusion. Severity of hand and forearm injuries does not necessarily correlate with patient’s perception of disability. The quality of life was less affected by severity of injury than by the patient’s perception of disability.
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Uvod/Cilj. Povrede šake obuhvataju skoro jednu četvrтинu svih povreda, zahtevaju odličnu hiruršku tehniku i uporno fizičku terapiju, sa i dalje visokim potencijalom da dovedu do dugotrajnih psihofizičkih posledica koje utiču na kvalitet života pojedinca. Cilj rada bio je procena onesposobljenosti i kvaliteta života nakon povreda šake i podlaktice različite težine koristeći dva različita sistema bodovanja, kao i upoređivanje korelacije rezultata između njih. Metode. Ova retrospektivna analiza sprevedena je kod bolesnika operisanih na našoj klinici zbog povrede šake i podlaktice tokom dvogodišnjeg perioda. Bolesnici su bili podeljeni u četiri grupe prema težini povrede, odnosno prema sistemu bodovanja Modified Hand Injury Severity Score (MHISS). Godinu dana nakon završenog lečenja, bolesnici su intervjuisani telefonom, i odgovarali su na upitnik Disability of Arm Shoulder and Hand (quick-DASH) koji proce- njuje invalidnost i upitnik World Health Organisation Quality of Life BREF (WHOQoL-BREF) koji procenjuje kvalitet života kroz četiri domena: fizički, sociozalni, psihološki i efekt sredine. Rezultati. Od ukupno 182 bolesnika koji su zadovoljili krite- riju za uključivanje u ispitivanje, samo 60 je završilo studiju, 46 (17%) muškaraca i 14 (23%) žena. Najveći broj bolesnika (46.7%) našao se u grupi sa srednje teškim povredama prema sistemu za bodovanje MHISS, pa u grupi sa teškim i najtežim povredama. Utvrđena je slaba korelacija između sistema za bodovanje MHISS i quick-DASH u grupi sa povredama minimalne težine, ili ne nađena korelacija između MHISS i quick-DASH u ostalim grupama. Najniži kvalitet života zabeležen je u fizičkom, a najviši u socialnom domenu. Negativna korelacija ustanovljena je između vrednosti četiri domena sistema WHOQoL-BREF i quick-DASH u svakoj grupi. Zaključak. Težina povrede šake i podlaktice ne mora uvek da budu povezana sa bolesnikovim poimanjem onesposobljenosti. Kod većine bolesnika utvrđen je slab uticaj težine povrede šake i podlaktice na kvalitet života. Na kvalitet života više utiče bolesnikovo shvatanje onesposobljenosti.

Ključne reči: ruka, povreda; kvalitet života; sposobnost, ocena; upitnici.
Introduction

Hand injuries comprise between 6.6% and 28.6% of all injuries and have the potential to cause a long-term physical and functional disability, as well as emotional impairment. Hand injuries are the main cause of work-related disability in young adults. Furthermore, a high incidence of hand traumatism in young adults is found in Vojvodina, which is an agricultural region with the city of Novi Sad as a regional center. Most injuries are the consequence of dealing with agricultural machinery without proper training. In adults, hand injuries mean lasting absence from the workplace with a probable invalidity and the need for professional reorientation which is a serious social and economic problem.

However, not every hand trauma results in disability; it certainly depends on the extent of injury. Nowadays, the Hand Injury Severity Score (HISS) is used for estimating the seriousness of hand trauma. HISS score also identifies those patients who would return to workplace soon after injury and who would probably need some aspect of professional reorientation. Recently, the Modified Hand Injury Severity Score (MHISS) has been designed in order to expand HISS score from only hand to also carpus and forearm. According to Urso Baiarda, et al. only 60% of those with major hand injuries defined by MHISS return to work.

Treatment and recovery from hand injury does not rely only on technical skills of surgeon but also on aggressive physical therapy and patient’s compliance. Effectiveness of the treatment can be estimated through objective tests of hand function (like range of motion, strength, etc.). Those tests reflect some level of one’s disability but can often differ from subjective perception of one’s insufficiency. That is why different questionnaires were formulated in order to measure one’s perception of disability. One of the most frequently used one is Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire which is validated many times. Wong et al. showed a high efficiency of DASH score in acute hand injuries, but they also recommend that DASH score should not be the only tool for assessing treatment effectiveness.

Furthermore, modern treatment options are focused not only on short morbidity but also on long term functioning, wellbeing, early returning to work, low costs and quality of life. Concerns about “the quality of life” remain since the 4th century BC, when great philosophers Plato and Aristotle used the term “good life” to describe both an internal state of living. However, not until 1960’s was “Health related quality of life” found in medical literature.

The DASH questionnaire was formulated in 1996 at the Institute for Work and Health in Toronto, Canada. It existence, till 100, which signifies the highest disability.

Methods

This study was conducted as a prospective enquiry at the Clinic for Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Clinical Center of Vojvodina in Novi Sad, Serbia. We selected only the patients operated on at our clinic in 2008 and 2009 due to hand or forearm trauma or both, and in whom a year passed after completing the treatment (surgery and physical therapy). Patients with isolated bone fractures of hand and forearm were not included in the study.

Demographic data and the precise diagnosis were taken from the patients records of the selected patients. According to their diagnosis and MHISS, the patients were divided into four groups, starting from the group 1 with minor injuries to the group 4 with severe injuries. Furthermore, telephone interviews were conducted among the patients when they were asked to answer to the short version of DASH questionnaire (quick-DASH) and QoL score designed by WHO (WHO-QoL-BREF questionnaire). Three call attempts were made for contacting patients.

Assessment instruments

The MHISS score was designed by Urso-Bairada et al. in 2008 as a modification of HISS designed by Campbell and Kay in 1996. The modified score was extended to include not only hand injuries as in the HISS score, but also wrist and forearm injuries, as well. It is validated by its designers to be a good predictor in returning to workplace (Urso). In each injury, the integument, bones, tendons and muscles and the neurovascular structures are evaluated separately and the scores are calculated for each of these components. The overall MHISS score is the total of the scores for each component. The MHISS score is grouped into four categories: minor (< 20), moderate (21–50), severe (51–100) and major (> 101).

The DASH questionnaire was formulated in 1996 at the Institute for Work and Health in Toronto, Canada. It examines symptoms after upper extremity injury and the ability to do specific actions. It is one of the most commonly used measurements for upper extremity function. The DASH score showed good competence not only in degenerative diseases of the upper extremity but also in acute trauma, as well. The original DASH score consists of 30 questions and its shorter version – quick-DASH only questions. Both DASH and quick DASH showed effectiveness of using self-reported functional assessment. DASH has been shown to correlate with health status, injury severity and function.

We used the quick DASH questionnaire in our research which has two components: the first one estimates invalidity and measures symptoms, and the second one, which is not obligatory, assesses high competence in everyday life like playing instruments and doing sports. At least 10 out of 11 questions have to be answered in order to calculate the score. The DASH score values range from 0 which indicates absence of disability, till 100, which signifies the highest disability.

The WHOQoL-BREF questionnaire was formulated by WHO in 1991 as an international cross-culturally comparable quality of life assessment instrument and has already been translated into multiple languages, including Serbian. It measures a person’s subjective perception about their life according to their goals, concerns and satisfaction. WHOQOL BREF is constructed of 26 questions which are divided in four domains, representing one’s physical health, psychological health, social relationships and their environment. Each domain scores numeric values so that a higher score represents higher quality of life.

Statistical analysis

Collected data were organized in Word Excel spreadsheets. The statistical package SPSS for Windows (ver. 16) was used for statistical analysis. The most important results were highlighted through tables and graphs. To measure the strength of the relationship between the quick-DASH and WHOQoL-BREF results for each group, correlation coefficient “r” was used. The correlation is considered moderate when \( r \) is in the range from 0.5 to 0.75 or –0.5 to –0.75 and strong when \( r \) ranges from 0.75 to 1 or –0.75 to -1. Positive values indicate that when values of one score increase the values of the other score decrease, while negative values indicate that decreasing of one score will lead to increase of the other.

Results

According to our inclusion criteria, 182 patients were operated on at our clinic due to hand and forearm trauma. However, only 60 patients fulfilled the rest of inclusion criteria (a 1-year period after finishing physical therapy), were reached over the telephone, agreed to answer the questionnaires and managed to complete them. Among them 46 (77%) were male and 14 (23%) female. The patients were 45.8 (SD = 14.3) years old, on the average for the men 46.5, while for the woman it was a bit less, 43.3 years.

The sides were equally injured, 28 patients had right- and 28 left- side injuries, while two patients had injuries on both sides. The forearm was injured in 26.7% of the patients, the hand was injured in 63.3% of the patients and the rest (10%) had combined hand and forearm injuries.

The median MHISS score was 51.5 (range 6–270). According to the values of MHISS, four groups of the patients were made: group 1 (minor severity of injury) of 8 (13.3%) patients with the average MHISS of 11.5 ± 4.9; group 2 (moderate severity of injury) of 22 (46.7%) patients and the average MHISS of 34.04 ± 9.01; group 3 (severe injuries) of 17 (28.3%) patients and the average MHISS of 17.3 ± 1; and group 4 (major severity of injury) of 13 (21.7%) patients, with the average MHISS of 194.6 ± 43 (Table 1).

The results of the quick-DASH score in all four groups are shown in Figure 1. The average quick-DASH score in the group 1 was 12.8, in the group 2–22, in the group 3–17 and in the group 4–46.2. The statistical significance \((p < 0.05)\) was found between the groups 1 and 2 quick-DASH values and between the groups 2 and 3 quick-DASH values \((p = 0.04)\), and a high statistical significance \((p < 0.005)\) between the groups 3 and 4 quick-DASH values \((p = 0.0009)\).

A minor correlation was found between the values of MHISS score in minor injuries and a corresponding quick-DASH score. There was no other correlation found among these parameters in other groups. This means that severe injury does not have to lead to the highest disability since good surgical treatment and physical therapy with patient compliance can have excellent results.

The quality of life estimated through WHOQoL-BREF was represented through four, aforementioned domains: physical, psychological, social and environmental. The highest quality of life was found in the social domain, while the lowest was recorded in the physical domain in all four groups of patients (Figure 2). Furthermore, the results of physical, physiological and environmental health showed a steady decrease as injuries got more severe. Only domain showing improvement with severity of injury was the domain of social relations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Results of Quality of life (QoL) – BREF Questionnaire in respect to gender distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Different instruments are in use today for estimating outcomes of hand trauma. In the last couple of decades, the impact of trauma and its short and long-term consequences are especially emphasized as they often enlarge special needs for patients, enlarge economical expenses while lowering the quality of life.

In our study only 60 patients fulfilled inclusion criteria and finished all questionnaires compared to 182 patients operated on at our clinic in the observed period. This low response rate of 32.9% was close to the response rate of 40.3% reported by Kovacs et al. A discrepancy was noted between the groups 2 and 3, where the group 3 should have had higher results instead of the group 2, as was found in our results. This is probably the consequence of a small number of patients in each group. Nevertheless, a statistical significance was found between the quick-DASH of each group. The average quick-DASH score in the groups 3 and 4 was 47.3. This result is much higher compared to the results shown by Kovacs et al. who measured the quality of life in major and sever hand injuries and whose average DASH score was 28.7 in less than 3 years after finishing the treatment.

A weak correlation was found between the values of quick-DASH and MHISS which corresponds to the previous results shown between HISS score and DASH by Kovacs et al.

All four domains of WHOQoL-BREF showed similar distribution in all the examined groups except in the group 4 with the rise in the social domain, while physical, psychological and environmental domains were decreased. The lowest quality of life was registered in physical and the highest in social domain in all four groups. This means that the patients were satisfied with social support they received but were unhappy with physical status as was expected. These results correspond with the results shown by van Delft-Schreurs et al., who also documented that QoL depends more on sociodemographic factors (as living alone...) rather than on rehabilitation time after the accident or the severity of injury or injured body area.

Our assumption was that higher disability would induce lower quality of life, meaning that the results of quick-DASH would have negative correlation with the results of WHOQoL-BREF. However, analyses were not persuasive. A negative correlation was registered in environmental and most of physical and psychological domains but with a moderate to low statistical significance. It is interesting that a positive correlation was registered, mostly in the social domain.

The study had a few limitations. Despite the fact that the whole number of patients was 60, when they were divided into 4 groups according to the MHISS, those groups appeared to be small for representative statistical analysis.

Conclusion

With the increasing severity of the injury of hand and forearm, the results of the quick-DASH questionnaire showed a rising disability. Therefore, we think that the quick-DASH is a fast and good test for estimating the perception of disability by patients. Even though physical, psychological and environmental domains of WHOQoL-BREF score do not
decrease with more severe injuries, implying lower quality of life in those domains, it appears that the quality of life was little affected by the severity of hand and forearm injuries in the majority of patients. Social aspect of the quality of life showed improvement as injuries got worse. A negative correlation with moderate or no statistical significance was found between the quick-DASH and WHOQoL-BREF in the patients with moderate, severe and major injuries. This means that higher disability can, but not necessarily, lead to lower quality of life. Interestingly, a high correlation between these two tests was found in patients with minor injuries. Further research on a larger sample of patients is needed to confirm these results and also to identify factors that affect one’s perception of disability.
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