EFFECT OF Artemisia absinthium ESSENTIAL OIL ON ANTIOXIDATIVE SYSTEMS OF BROILER’S LIVER
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ABSTRACT: The effect of Artemisia absinthium essential oil (AAEO) on enzymatic activity of superoxide–dismutase (SOD), glutathione–peroxidase (GSHPx), glutathione–reductase (GR), peroxidase (POD), xanthine–oxidase (XOD) and non–enzymatic (content of lipid peroxides (LPx) and glutathione (GSH)) antioxidative status of broilers infected with mixture of oocysts of Eimeria tenella, Eimeria mitis and Eimeria necatrix in comparison to coccidiostat salinomycin was investigated. The in vivo investigation were carried out on 120 Arbor acres broilers of both sexes. Broilers were randomly distributed into four groups. Group A was uninfected and untreated; group B was infected and was kept untreated; group C preventively received coccidiostatic salinomycin in quantity of 60 mg/kg of feed and was inoculated with coccidia species at 21st day-of-age and group D received in feed AAEO in quantity of 3 g/kg and was infected with Eimeria oocysts at 21st day-of-age. Livers were collected for the subsequent evaluation of antioxidative status. It was concluded that AAEO added in feed for broilers prevented the development of coccidia oocysts and therefore it can be used as prophylactic feed additive.
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INTRODUCTION

Coccidiosis is an infective disease of the digestive tract which is the most frequent with poultry, causing a decrease in daily increment, prolonge fattening, poorer skin pigmentation, slower feed conversion and increase mortality. Coccidiosis is traditionally treated by chemotherapy, but the persistent appearance of drug – resistant strains of coccidia indicate the importance of developing alternative strategies (Braunius, 1982).

Many anticoccidian drugs have been developed and introduced in the poultry industry all over the world. One of them is polyether monocarboxylic acid – salinomycin. The use of salinomycin is also possible in other animals (cattle, pigs) as a growth stimulant and for improving feed utilization (Visek, 1978).

The increasing resistance of avian coccidia (protozoa) to anticoccidial drugs currently used by the poultry industry has stimulated the search for new methods of control (Allen et al., 1997). Many authors investigated alternatives to antibiotics (Langhout, 2000; Mellor, 2000; Ocak et al., 2008).

Essential oils have recently been reported as alternative to antibiotics in animal production and are claimed to be "digestive enhancers" (Williams and Losa, 2001). They are very complex mixtures of compounds, such as tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids and flavonoids. Many in vitro studies
(Demirel et al., 2011; Lević et al., 2011) reported antimicrobial properties of essential oils. In addition to their antimicrobial activity, essential oils possess various biological activities such as antioxidant activity (Aliyu et al., 2012; Radivojević et al., 2012) and stimulate the digestion process (Langhout, 2000). Introduction of essential oils in animal feed may have promising potential as a growth and health promoters without adverse effects.

The genus Artemisia belongs to the family Compositae (Asteraceae) with over 300 species spread worldwide. The essential oil obtained from wild plant Artemisia absinthium shows antibacterial, antifeedant, antipyretic, fertility increasing, cytostatic and antimalarial activities (Khattak et al., 1985).

Considering above mentioned characteristics of Artemisia absinthium essential oil objective of this study was to compare prophylactic efficacy of the conventional coccidistat (salinomycin) and Artemisia absinthium essential oil on artificially induced broiler coccidiosis. The comparative assessment was based on the clinical symptoms and changes in catalytic activity of important protective enzymes in liver homogenates of healthy and artificially infected broilers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chickens and Housing**

The experimental protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee and the principles of animal protection were strictly followed. Experiments under in vivo conditions were performed on 120 broilers of both sexes of the heavy Arbor acres line. One day old broilers were raised in a clean and disinfected room under standard conditions. Broilers were fed using standard basal diet with the access to water and food ad libitum. Faecal samples were taken daily in order to monitor the possibility of infection. Temperature and lighting regimens were in accordance with the recommendation of the breeder. The initial room temperature of 32-33 °C was reduced weekly by 1°C to a final temperature of 28 °C. The chickens were randomly divided into non-infected and infected groups. The second group of broilers were infected with mixture of sporulated oocysts of E. tenella (5000 oocysts), E. mitis (5000 oocysts), and E. necatrix genus (10000 oocysts) collected from infected chicken farms. Coccidial oocysts of E. tenella, E. mitis and E. necatrix were obtained from the guts of infected chickens and they were preserved in 2.5% potassium dichromate solution to induce sporulation and subsequently kept in a refrigerator at 2 – 5 °C until use. The challenge infection of 21-day-old chickens was performed by oral administration of 1 cm³ oocyst suspension.

Artemisia absinthium essential oil was obtained from the Institute for Medicinal Plant Research "Dr Josif Pancić", Belgrade, Serbia.

**Experimental protocol**

Experiments under in vivo conditions were performed on broilers of the heavy line Arbor acres, of both sexes. One-day-old broilers, randomly selected, were divided into four groups, each containing 30 individuals.

**Group A** contained uninfected and unmedicated broilers (negative control group). Decapitation of 10 chickens was carried out at 30th day-of-age.

**Group B** contained infected and unmedicated broilers (positive control group). Inoculation of 21-day-old broilers was performed by p.o. application of 1 cm³ of coccidal suspension mixture of sporulated oocysts. Nine days later (at 30th day-of-age), when first clinical signs of disease appeared (chickens were bristling, showed decreased food conversion, white mucous, later bloody diarrhea appeared, appetite decreased etc.), decapitation of 10 chickens was carried out.

**Group C** contained broilers which preventively received coccidistat salinomycin in quantity of 60 mg/kg of feed (Group C₁) and the remaining broilers were inoculated with laboratory derived coccidia species at 21st day-of-age (Group C₂). Decapitation of 10 chickens were carried out at 30th day-of-age. **Group D** contained broilers which received AAEO in quantity of 3 g/kg (Group D₁) and the remaining broilers were infected with Eimeria oocysts at 21st day-of-age.
day-of-age (Group D2). Livers were collected at 30th day-of-age.

The essential oil was given to the chickens three times a day.

The oocyst output was measured daily in each group, during the period from 6th to 9th day after the infection. A clean polyethylene sheet placed daily under each cage was used for the collection of excreta for oocyst analysis. Total faecal samples collected over each 24 h from each group, were placed in separate airtight plastic bags, homogenised thoroughly with a domestic mixer, and kept refrigerated until assessed for total oocyst counts. Homogenised samples were ten-fold diluted with tap water to be further diluted with saturated NaCl solution at a ratio of 1:10. Oocyst counts were determined using McMaster chambers and presented as the number of oocysts per bird (Hodgson, 1970).

The means of oocysts per bird (OPB) of faeces in treated groups were compared with OPB values for non-treated control groups in order to evaluate the effects of the plant essential oil on avian coccidiosis induced by Eimeria spp. Bloody diarrhoea was investigated from 4th to 6th day after the challenge.

Bloody diarrheal score was described using numerical values from 0 (-) to 3 (+++). Zero corresponded to normal status, whereas 1, 2 and 3 corresponded to 33%, 33-66% and 66-99% of blood in total faeces, respectively.

Protein content was determined by the method of Prakash et al. (2010). In homogenized liver glutathione content, products of lipid peroxidation and the activities of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, GR, GSHPx, POD and XOD) were determined.

**Preparation of liver homogenate**

One gram of the liver was cut with scissors and homogenized in a mixer using 3 volumes of isotonic buffer (0.05 mol/dm³ tris-HCl, 0.25 mol/dm³ sucrose, pH=7.5). The homogenate was filtered through gauze into ice-cold tubes for further analysis (Chiu et al., 1976).

**Sample preparation for glutathione (GSH) determination**

Proteins from freshly prepared liver homogenates were separated by adding half the volume of 10% sulfoalicylic acid and centrifugated at 5000 rpm, for 5 min, at 4°C. The supernatant was stored at 4°C, without freezing, and GSH determined within 24 hours. The GSH content in the liver homogenate was determined from the amount of sulfhydryl residues by means of Ellman’s reagent (Kapetanovic and Mieval, 1979).

**Determination of enzymatic activity**

Superoxide-dismutase (SOD) (EC1.15.1.1) activity was determined by the spectrophotometric method based on the inhibition of adrenaline reduction to adrenochrome at pH=10.2 (Kostadinović et al., 2001).

The glutathione-peroxidase (GSHPx) (EC 1.11.1.9) activity was determined by spectrophotometric measurement of absorbance at 412 nm with cumenehydroperoxide as the substrate (Chiu et al., 1976).

Activity of the glutathione-reductase (GR) (EC 1.6.4.2.) was determined from the rate of NADPH oxidation and it was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 340 nm (Lukaszewicz-Hussain and Moniuszko-Jakoniuk, 2004).

Lipid peroxides (LPx) was determined by thiobarbituric acid (TBA - test). The oxidation of cellular membrane lipids was measured via reaction of lipid peroxides with thiobarbituric acid (Simmon et al., 1974).

The determination of peroxidase (POD) (EC 1.11.1.7) activity was based on the catalytic oxidation of guaiacol by hydrogen peroxide as an electron acceptor (Kostadinović et al., 2011). The reaction of xanthine oxidation to uric acid was used for determination of xanthine-oxidase (XOD) (EC 1.17.3.2) activity.

Spectrophotometric measurement was performed in 0.1 mmol/dm³ phosphate buffer, pH=7.5, at 295 nm (Kostadinović et al., 2011).

**Statistical analysis**

The results given in tables are reported as the mean ± standard deviations (SD) of a
number (n) of independent determinations. The one way ANOVA analysis was performed to assess data differences between various groups using Statistica software version 10 (StatSoft inc. 2011). The data means were considered different at P < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Anticoccidial activity of AAEO and salinomycin

Bloody diarrhoea was observed from the fourth to sixth day after the infection with *Eimeria* spp. in all experimental groups except the uninfected control group. The intensity of bloody diarrhoea was lower in C2 group (treated with salinomycin) in comparison to other infected groups (Table 1). Excreted oocysts count in the groups C2 and D2 were lower in comparison to infected control group (B) (Table 2). Administration of AAEO and coccidiostat salinomycin before infection with *Eimeria* spp. was shown to be associated with the reduction of oocyst output. The summary of statistical values obtained from 30 chickens in each test groups is shown in Table 2.

However, the non-treated chickens infected with *Eimeria* spp. showed significant excretion of oocysts in faeces (Table 2). The salinomycin treated broilers (C2) showed complete reduction of oocyst in faeces at 30th day. In AAEO treatment group (D2) the oocysts output and mortality rate were lower in comparison to positive control group (B). Therefore it can be concluded that AAEO was effective in reducing the oocyst output of the preventively treated and infected broilers.

Some herbal extracts have already been shown to possess a coccidiostat activity (Youn and Noh, 2001). Extracts and essential oils from aromatic plants are of interest for coccidiosis since several studies have shown substantial antimicrobial and antioxidative activity (Aliyu et al., 2012).

This biological activity has been mainly attributed to phenolic components. *In vivo* and *in vitro* tests have shown (Williams & Losa, 2001) that phenols can be specifically used as oocysticides against *Eimeria* spp.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental groups</th>
<th>Blood in faeces (days after infection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAEO - Artemisia absinthium essential oil; A-negative control; B-positive control; C2- salinomycin 60 mg/kg of feed and infected; D2 - AAEO 3g/kg of feed and infected; 0 (-) - normal status; (+) - 33%, (++) - 33-66%, (+++) - 66-99% of blood in total faeces

Table 2.

Effectiveness of salinomycin and AAEO on faecal oocyst counts and mortality rate in different treatment groups of broilers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Oocysts excretion (x10^9) / bird</th>
<th>Mortality rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21st day</td>
<td>24th day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3^a</td>
<td>34.5 ± 1.8^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1^a</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2^a</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.1c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3);

^a,b,cMeans within a column with different superscript differ significantly at P < 0.05;

AAEO - Artemisia absinthium essential oil; A - negative control; B-positive control; C2 - salinomycin 60 mg/kg of feed and infected; D2 - AAEO 3g/kg of feed and infected
Phenols interact with the cytoplasmic membrane by changing its permeability for cations, like H⁺ and K⁺. The dissipation of ion gradients leads to the impairment of essential processes in the cell, allows leakage of cellular constituents, resulting in water unbalance, collapse of the membrane potential and inhibition of ATP synthesis, and finally cell death (Uttee et al., 1999).

Enzymatic activity in liver homogenates

The content of GSH and LPx and the catalytic activity of selected enzymes of the antioxidative defense system determined in the liver homogenates of the control and experimental groups are shown in Table 3.

The content of GSH and activity of GSHPx in liver homogenates of broilers in group B showed a significant increase ($P < 0.05$) in comparison with the group A, while the POD, SOD, XOD and GR activity decreased (Table 3).

Glutathione (GSH) and glutathione peroxidase (GSHPx) are among the major antioxidant defences. GSH plays an important role in reduction the acute toxicity of xenobiotics and products of lipid peroxidation as a substrate for GSHPx. The reduction in GSH is in line with the report of Kumar et al. (2010) that exposure to coccidiosis caused a depletion of GSH levels in poultry broilers. Reduction in GSH level is an indication that detoxification is going on. GSHPx are ubiquitous multifunctional enzymes which play a key role in cellular detoxification. Concomitantly with the increased risk of lipid peroxidation in liver, there is an increase in the enzymatic activity of GSHPx. In line with literature data (Shanker et al., 2011) reduction of catalytic activity of superoxide–dismutase is expected.

The preventive doses of coccidiostat Salinomycin caused a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase of GSH content and catalase-activity of all investigated enzymes. Addition of salinomycin in feed increases GSHPx activity and reduces the need for high levels of GSH content, which took part in the detoxification of harmful compounds in the body. A significant increase of catalase-activity of POD compared to the corresponding control group was noticed, which was expected since POD catalyzes the oxidation of various proton donors with hydrogen peroxide. Salinomycin is ionophore coccidiostat and does act as a proton donor.

Infection with Eimeria spp. in group of broilers C2 nine days later (30th day–of–age) resulted in significant increase of GSH content and higher activity of GSHPx, but content of LPx and activity of POD, SOD, GR and XOD were significantly decreased compared to the group B and C1 group of broilers.

On the basis of the observed changes of enzymes activity, we may conclude that induction and inhibition of their activity in liver homogenates of broilers preventively treated with salinomycin and infected broilers continued until reaching the basic activity–level characteristic for the corres-

### Table 3.

**GSH and LPx contents and activity of GSHPx, SOD, GR and XOD in liver homogenates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>GSH (nmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>LPx (nmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>GSHPx (nmol/mg protein min)</th>
<th>POD (nmol/mg protein min)</th>
<th>SOD (nmol/mg protein min)</th>
<th>GR (nmol/mg protein min)</th>
<th>XOD (nmol/mg protein min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.6±0.03ᵃ</td>
<td>0.4±0.05ᵇ</td>
<td>4.8±0.6ᵃ</td>
<td>5.7±1.5ᵇ</td>
<td>10.8±2.8ᵇ</td>
<td>31.7±3.1ᵇ</td>
<td>20.4±3.8ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.3±0.2ᵇ</td>
<td>3.5±0.2ᶜ</td>
<td>7.6±1.6ᵃ</td>
<td>2.8±0.9ᵇ</td>
<td>5.8±0.9ᵇ</td>
<td>21.5±1.2ᵇ</td>
<td>18.6±2.0ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.9±0.06ᵇ</td>
<td>0.3±0.08ᵇ</td>
<td>7.9±1.3ᵃ</td>
<td>8.5±2.0ᶜ</td>
<td>15.2±2.8ᵇ</td>
<td>52.7±2.5ᶜ</td>
<td>46.6±7.6ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1.4±0.1ᵇ</td>
<td>0.2±0.08ᵃ</td>
<td>12.2±3.2ᶜ</td>
<td>1.8±0.3ᵃ</td>
<td>2.8±0.2ᵇ</td>
<td>11.3±1.4ᵇ</td>
<td>22.8±2.7ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0.9±0.03ᶜ</td>
<td>0.3±0.02ᵃ</td>
<td>4.4±0.3ᵇ</td>
<td>6.7±0.9ᵇ</td>
<td>15.0±3.6ᵇ</td>
<td>34.6±2.1ᵇ</td>
<td>29.2±1.4ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1.3±0.1ᵇ</td>
<td>0.2±0.01ᵃ</td>
<td>11.0±1.2ᶜ</td>
<td>1.3±0.2ᵃ</td>
<td>5.7±0.2ᵇ</td>
<td>18.0±2.6ᵇ</td>
<td>21.6±3.4ᵇ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3);

ᵃᵇ Means within a column with different superscript differ significantly at $P < 0.05$; AAE0 - Artemisia absinthium essential oil; A-negative control; B-positive control; C1 60 mg salinomycin/kg of feed; C2 60 mg salinomycin/kg of feed and infected; D1 AAE0 3g/kg of feed; D2 AAE0 3g/kg of feed and infected.
ponding control group, i.e. having the tendency of eliminating the negative effects induced by the disease (Table 3).

In liver homogenates of preventively AAEO treated broilers and then infected (group D) was observed a significant increase of GSH content, decrease of LPx content, induction of GSHPx activity and inhibition of POD, SOD, GR and XOD activity in comparison with the control group A. This finding is in line with data published by Olanlokun (2008). Observed changes show positive preventive effects of applied AAEO. Its application leads to decreased number of coccidian and therefore reduced intensity of the disease induced by free-radical processes.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that AAEO is an effective agent in reducing the oocyst output of the preventively treated and infected broilers and could be a potential source of protection against coccidiosis. Excreted oocysts in the groups treated with 3 g/kg AAEO were lower than in the infected control group, but higher than in the salinomycin group.

Pathological changes in liver homogenates of artificially infected broilers intensified free radical processes. The obtained results show that infection with Eimeria oocysts exhibit negative effects on the antioxidant defense system in the liver of broilers and that AAEO demonstrates protective role against Eimeria infection.
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