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Delirium is connected to bad short-term (the increase in hospital mortality rate and hospital days) and long-term outcomes (disfunctionality, institutionalisation, cognitive damage and post hospital-release dementia). The objective of this study is to determine whether there are possible incompatibilities of treatment of delirium with the recommendations in the guides of good clinical practice from developed countries. The grounded method was used in the study. The so-called principal sampling of 17 psychiatrists, anesthesiologists and registered nurses was conducted. Afterwards, the unstructured interviews with the selectees were conducted, transcribed and analyzed immediately through coding, category and concept detection. Having completed this, the theoretical sampling of new interview examinees was conducted. Their analysis enabled the concepts to be linked into a working theory and graphically displayed. The new sampling, the new interviews and their analysis were then continued interactively until the saturation of the working theory was achieved and the final version of the theory was formulated based on the findings reached through the interviews. Having completed the principal sampling and coding of the transcripts led the researchers to the saturation of the theory through the separation of eight categories: A - Delirium as a sign of systemic infection, B - Therapy - Anaesthesiologists administer benzodiazepines, whereas psychiatrists administer antipsychotics, C - An inconspicuous onset of delirium is overlooked, D - Bleeding as the cause of delirium, E - Anticholinergics as a cause of delirium, F - Misunderstanding the nature of delirium by anesthesiologists, G - Being aware that the patient is vitally endangered, and H - The nurses apply enhanced health care measures. Delirium is a syndrome which can be prevented in 30-40% of cases (50). An etiological treatment would help avoid complicating delirium's clinical picture and would very much prevent poor outcomes, such as institutionalisation, cognitive damage and delirious patients' high mortality rate.
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INTRODUCTION

Delirium is an etiologically nonspecific organic cerebral syndrome with an acute onset and a fluctuating course, characterised by simultaneous disorders involving consciousness, attention, perception, thought, psychomotor behaviour, emotion and sleep cycle [1]. Depending on the patient's psychomotor activity, delirium can be hyperactive, hypoactive or mixed. During their hospitalization 11 - 25% of hospitalized elderly patients suffer a delirium attack, while 29 - 31% of them develop a case of delirium in the course of their hospitalization [2]. The rate of delirium cases in Intensive Care Units (ICUs) is significantly higher and reaches 77% with ventilated patients, with a 45 - 87% incidence [3,4]. Delirium is connected to bad short-term (the increase in hospital mortality rate and hospital days) and long-term outcomes (disfunctionality, institutionalisation, cognitive damage and post hospital-release dementia) [6,7,8]. The severity of delirium could be reduced through various intervention procedures such as a rational titration of sedation and anaesthesia [9], slight exposure to benzodiazepines [10-12], sleep promotion [13], early mobilisation and occupational therapy in ICUs [14], the use of antipsychotics as well as other supportive measures [15,16].

The treatment of delirium is conducted in intensive care units because it requires constant monitoring due to the fact that the patients are vitally threatened. In developed countries, there are guides of good clinical practice with clear guidelines in terms of screening, diagnostics and treatment of delirium [17-19]. The key principle of these guidelines is a holistic approach to treatment of delirium conducted by a multidisciplinary team with various clinical skills (a registered nurse, a psychologist, an anaesthesiologist and/or a physician of another specialty, a psychiatrist), all of which are necessary due to this syndrome's complex nature.

In Serbia, there are neither approved guides for treatment of delirium in ICUs, nor protocol-defined multidisciplinary teams and procedures for prevention, diagnostics or treatment of this syndrome.
STUDY OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study is to determine whether there are possible incompatibilities of treatment of delirium with the recommendations in the guides of good clinical practice from other countries and thus form a comprehensive explanation of the genesis of this incompatibility based on which corrective measures could be planned.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

GROUNDED THEORY

This research was commenced on the assumption that there are certain incompatibilities in treatment of delirium with the recommendations of guides of good clinical practice in developed countries. Based on this assumption, the so called principal sampling of psychiatrists, anesthesiologists and registered nurses was conducted. Afterwards, the researcher conducted unstructured interviews with the selectees, had the interviews transcribed and analyzed immediately through coding, category and concept detection. Having completed the principal interviews, the theoretical sampling of new interview examinees was conducted. The analysis of the new series of interviews enabled the concepts to be linked into a working theory and graphically displayed. The new sampling, the new interviews and their analysis were then continued interactively until the saturation of the working theory was achieved and the final version of the theory was formulated based on the findings reached through the interviews. In accordance with the flow rate of the saturation of the theory, 17 examinees were interviewed.

RESULTS

Having completed the principal sampling and coding of the transcripts, the qualitative research of the possible existence of certain incompatibilities in the treatment of delirium with the recommendations of the guides of good clinical practice from developed countries led the researcher to the saturation of the theory through the separation of eight categories. These are: Category A - Delirium as a sign of system infection, Category B - Therapy - Anaesthesiologists administer benzodiazepines, whereas psychiatrists administer antipsychotics, Category C - An inconspicuous onset of delirium is overlooked, Category D - Bleeding as the cause of delirium, Category E - Anticholinergics as a cause of delirium, Category F - Misunderstanding the nature of delirium by anaesthesiologists, Category G - Being aware that the patient is vitally endangered, and Category H - The nurses apply enhanced health care measures (Picture 1).

The results of a qualitative analysis point to ICU doctors’ key and majority standpoint when it comes to treating delirious patients. It is mostly accepted that delirium is a life-threatening state. However, there are also different views which state that the basic problem with delirium is its behavioural aspect consistent with the psychomotor type of delirium. Bleeding, system infection and the use of anticholinergics are seen as its leading causes.

An incomplete knowledge of delirium’s etiopathogenesis and clinical picture often results in a simplified approach to the treatment of delirious patients. The patients suffering from a hypoactive type of delirium are a potentially problematic group, because this type is often overlooked, resulting in a lack of treatment.

Anaesthesiologists’ and psychiatrists’ pharmacotherapeutic approach differs in the choice of psychopharmacs. Anaesthesiologists administer benzodiazepines more often, while psychiatrists prescribe antipsychotics immediately. Not being completely familiar with their
therapeutic effects, neither the former nor the latter are absolutely certain which pathogenic aspect these pharmacs are aimed at. Registered nurses, approaching a delirious patient as a vitally endangered one, apply measures of enhanced health care.

**DISCUSSION**

The doctors’ essential lack of knowledge as far as the pathogenesis and clinical picture are concerned, as well as the absence of a multidisciplinary approach to the treatment of delirium are the set of basic problems marked by this qualitative study. Despite the fact that many systems of organs in terms of damage or dysfunction are closely monitored in ICUs, by using pulse oximetry and gas analyses in lung damage monitoring, blood pressure and electrocardiography in heart dysfunction monitoring or diuresis and serum creatinine in renal dysfunction monitoring to give a few examples, the absence of instruments to monitor the most important organ of all - the brain - is very conspicuous. Monitoring the patients in terms of the development of delirium could perhaps be a good way of monitoring brain dysfunction, while modifications in treatment and care could help prevent this potentially catastrophic complication [20]. We should always bear in mind that delirium is a manifestation of damage to the brain, which in turn is the final product of a series of insults and injuries, occurring rather often i.e. in almost 80% of patients in critical condition hospitalised in ICUs [21]. In hospital services (Emergency Rooms, general wards in hospitals, post-operative and general ICUs) delirious patients suffer a higher mortality rate, a greater degree of cognitive damage, the number of hospital days is increased as well as the number of complications in hospital treatment [21]. Depending on its behavioural expression, three types of delirium can be discerned: hypoactive, hyperactive and mixed [21,22]. Peterson and others [23] found hypoactive delirium in its full form in less than 5% of the number of patients monitored out of an entire cohort of 613 ventilated and non-ventilated ICU patients where the cases of delirium were monitored in over 20,000 observations. Hyperactive delirium is characterised by anxiety, agitation, psychotic symptoms and very often by heteroaggressiveness. The decline of mental and physical activity coupled with attention disorders is the chief characteristic of hypoactive delirium. The mixed type presents us with a constant alteration between the hypo and hyperactive type of delirium. Hypoactive delirium or the so called “silent delirium” is often overlooked by both the doctors and nurses, because delirium is seen by the majority of clinical practitioners as the presence of agitation and hallucinations [20,24,25]. Hypoactive delirium is most often seen in older patients coupled with aspiration, pulmonary embolism, bed sore and other complications common for immobile patients. On the other hand, extremely agitated patients (hyperactive delirium) do not only suffer the risk of extubating themselves but also the risk of removing their central venous catheter or falling off of their beds which could lead to possibly fatal injuries [20]. Therefore, such patients are often over-sedated or even coupled to a mechanical ventilator again, which is one of the risk factors for the onset of delirium, closing the vicious circle [20,26]. It is thought that delirium is connected to a disbalance in synthesis, release and inactivation of neurotransmitters responsible for the control of cognitive functions, behaviour and mood [27,28]. There are three neurotransmitter systems included in the pathophysiology of delirium: dopamine, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and acetylcholine [29]. Given that the central concentrations of these neurotransmitters are sensitive to many organic and biochemical changes, there are a lot of factors which could lead to their disbalance [20]. Van Rompay and others [30] split the risk factors for the onset of delirium in two groups: predisposing (the patient’s characteristics and chronic pathology) and precipitating (acute illnesses and environmental factors). Inoue [22,31] also split the risk factors into predisposing and precipitating. In his opinion, the predisposing factors are the patient’s characteristics which are difficult to modify - more than 65 years of age, male, demented, cognitive damage, prior history of delirium, depression, immobility, sensory impairments, dehydration, malnutrition, alcohol abuse, prior psychopharmac treatment, especially anticholinergics and the accompanying medical condition [31]. The precipitating factors act as triggers for the onset of delirium, but some of them could be modified through the application of preventive measures. These factors are: administering sedatives, opioids, anticholinergics, polypragmasy, addiction to alcohol and/or medicaments, a primary neurological illness, a new illness (infection, hypoxia, shock and metabolic disorders), type of surgery (orthopaedic or cardiac surgery), admission to ICU, mechanical fixation, catheterisation and other similar procedures, pain, emotional stress and prolonged sleep deprivation. It is always important to keep in mind that delirium can be a manifestation of a life-threatening condition which demands prompt treatment, such as hypoxia, hypoglycaemia, metabolic disorders or shock. Having quickly recognised and differentiated between the aforementioned conditions, the delirious patient should be subjected to a pharmacological and etiological treatment [17,20]. Nevertheless, it should be remembered that many pharmacs whose aim is to couple delirium’s clinical picture can also have a prodeliriogenic effect and should be administered cautiously, in the smallest of doses and the shortest possible period of time. Benzodiazepines, which are most often used for sedation in ICUs, are not recommended for the treatment of delirium because their use could lead to over-sedation, worsening of the patient’s confusion and breathing depression [17,20,32]. However, it should not be forgotten that they are the drugs of choice when it comes to delirium tremens (as well as other withdrawal syndromes) and convulsions.

The preliminary results of prospective randomised sedation studies in post-operative cardio-surgical patients show that the patients treated with dexmedetomidine, compared to those treated with propofol or midozlam, suffer a significantly lower rate of delirium onset [32]. Dexmedetomidine is one of the most frequently applied intravenous pharmacs used for sedation in ICUs. It is a non-benzodiazepine, highly selective alpha 2 - adrenergic agonist which links itself to the transmembrane g protein alpha 2a, alpha 2b and alpha 2c of the peripheral, cerebral and spinal adrenoreceptors [33]. Unlike other sedatives dexmedetomidine, by acting on the alpha 2 receptors of locus coeruleus [34], causes an-
Delirium is a syndrome which can be prevented in 30-40% of cases [50]. High mortality rates are often the result of this diagnosis being overlooked, which is especially the case with the hypoactive types of delirium. Anyway, the advice of the British authors [51] stating that any change in behaviour and mental status in both psychiatric patients and the ones who are not is to be considered delirium until proven otherwise. In relation to that, in both these patients and the ones who are clearly delirious, a comprehensive diagnostics in terms of revealing all the etiological factors is a necessity. An etiological treatment would help avoid complicating delirium’s clinical picture and would very much prevent poor outcomes, such as institutionalisation, cognitive damage and delirious patients’ high mortality rate. It would significantly reduce the number of hospital days, the patient’s personal suffering, as well as the overall treatment expenses which are borne by the health fund. An estimate of these expenses has never been done in Serbia, but the data from the USA and 18 European countries could serve to illustrate the point: around 164 billion dollars a year for the USA [52] and over 182 billion dollars a year for those 18 European countries together [53,54].
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SAŽETAK
Delirijum je povezan sa lošim kratkoročnim (porast bolničkog mortaliteta i broja bolničkih dana) i dugoročnim ishodima (disfunkcionalnost, institucionalizacija, kognitivno oštećenje i demencija). Cilj ove studije je da se utvrdi da li postoje moguća neslaganja u le-
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