Summary

In the modern world there are lots of considerations about transportation in general, including analysis and decision making about the current situation and planning as well, that means preparation for future needs through defining policies, goals and investments to design transportation networks and facilities. The environmental consequences of general transportation and agriculture itself are of special interest as well. Transport activities are given and unavoidable in every society, for any country, but their intensive practice often produces negative effects on surroundings. The quoted problem emerges in a special manner when observing merged - as agricultural transportation: modeling ecological issues here is particularly complex due to great number of variables and random elements dependent on subjectivity in decision making, appearance of unexpected events and often incorrect data. In this paper authors discuss different aspects of agricultural transportation and point out the importance of application of methods and models that are capable of treating uncertainties and are appropriate to keep under control the abundance of ecological effects of transportation in agriculture, making efforts towards the development of sustainable food and raw materials production.
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Introduction

In the economy of production of goods and provision of services supply chain has a broad meaning encompassing demand management, supply management, capacity plans,
strategic procurement, scheduling and logistics as well. In this context logistics as a term mainly incorporates the processes of movement - transportation of goods, services and information between different locations by all kinds of means. Planning, implementing and controlling the optimal inbound-, internal- and outbound flow of goods in agricultural production is very specific compared to other economic activities due to characteristics of agricultural goods, seasonality, strictly set time schedule, large quantities all at once, serious losses during transportation, combination of short and long distances for transportation using connecting roads to and from the field, storehouses, collection-center warehouses, storage buildings of the processing industry, retailers etc. Intensified mobility of goods in agriculture has negative environmental consequences, through emission of pollutants and negative impact on soil quality. While analyzing costs of transportation, for the total real value environmental costs should be taken into account as well. The aim of this paper is to propose application of programming models for agricultural transportation model improvement, taking into account numerous technological and economic constraints with acceptable level of environmental impact while minimizing the sum of relative deviations from individual optimums of different either supportive or competitive goal functions.

**Environmental impact of agriculture and agricultural transportation**

General long term plans have to incorporate long term development of the agro system, which only can exist and possess long-lasting productivity under the conditions of ensuring its sustainability. Agricultural sustainability encompasses economic, social and environmental sustainability, while environmental sustainability as a way to maintain the global ecosystem is unconditional requirement for other two items. Over the last few decades the attitude of producers, analysts, decision makers and public opinion towards agriculture has seriously changed. Before the 1970s the main topic of investigations was problems and enhancement of productivity of human-made inputs, while due to increasing and widespread pollution problems as a consequence of intensification of agricultural production methods the majority of attention was redirected towards setting an acceptable level of ecological impact and to how to ensure its realization (van der Werf, Petit, 2002).

In FAO Statistical Yearbook 2013 in Part 4 about sustainability dimensions of World food and agriculture the land and forestry, water, biodiversity, agro-environmental indicators, organic farming, bio-based economy, climate change and greenhouse gas emissions are analyzed. Natural resource management is mainly connected to agricultural human activities: 30% of land is used for agricultural production and 70% of all freshwater use of the World is designated to agricultural production, so this threatens air, soils and water. Agriculture is the main source of chemical pollution and emissions of greenhouse gases. The dependence is mutual: negative ecological impact of agriculture has the consequence of negative impact of damaged environment on the quantity and quality of agricultural production and the agricultural resources will further be reduced. FAO’s research connected to land and forestry contains main issues about soil degradation and deforestation; connected to water the main problems analyzed is the annual withdrawal of fresh water, which has risen for more than six times in one hundred years – from the beginning of the
twentieth to the beginning of the twenty-first century. Biodiversity is under pressure from
the intensification of agricultural production (FAO, 2013).

A very serious ecological problem arises from greenhouse gas emission, while human
activities are responsible for almost all of the increase in greenhouse gases in the atmosphere
over the last 150 years (IPPC, 2007). According to EPA the main sources of emissions
in the USA in 2014 are electricity production (with share of 30%), transportation (26%),
industry (21%), commercial and residential (as for heating and waste handling, 12%) and
agriculture (9%) (EPA, 2014). Globally, the share of transportation is 23.3% in average for
the World (IEA, 2016). The World’s leading country of dangerous emissions is China with
almost one quarter of all quantities, then the next are USA, EU, India, Russia and so on
(WRI, 2014). The short term and long-term negative consequences should be reduced, and
any effort made towards achieving that goal is desirable.

There are lots of problematic ecological issues in all segments of human life and activities.
Soil degradation caused by in-field transport represents a serious problem in agricultural
production, and that leads to reduction of production and overall soil sustainability.
Several projects were elaborated to deal with this problem, for example the SOCOMO
soil compaction model intended to calculate soil stresses and the subsoil carrying capacity
(van den Akker, 2004) and a decision support system built up to evaluate the severity
of soil compaction (Marx et al., 2006). One of the projects aimed to reduce significant
transport costs in agriculture is development of a sugarcane transport route planning model
“FastTrack” aimed to determine the path between any number of points in space based on
geographical information system; the reason supporting this project is the fact of significant
transport costs, while the objective is to formulate such a design (including existing and
new specialized roads) by which optimum in terms of efficiency and economics could be
found, including minimum cost, maximum travel speed, safety and minimal environmental
impact. (Harris, 2008).

Klenk et al., published key findings of their investigations accomplished about the carbon
footprint of sugar production form beet in the EU compared to that of cane sugar imported
and consumed in the EU. The project was prepared for the European Association of Sugar
Manufacturers (CEFS). The study ventures relevant agro-ecological aspects of sustainable
production. Ecological impacts of transport were given a great importance, i.e. the average
distance from field to sugar mills is 45 km in the EU while the transport cycle of raw cane
sugar involves greater distances; here transport and refining are responsible to 45-61% of
total emissions. (Klenk, 2012).

**Main problems of efficient and effective agricultural transportation**

Hereby we quote an interesting and important statement about agricultural transportation:
“Transport is regarded as a crucial factor in improving agricultural productivity. It enhances
quality of life of the people, creates market for agricultural produce, and facilitates
interaction among geographical and economic regions and open up new areas to economic
focus.” (Ajiboye, Afolayan, 2009).
By the definition of FAO “Transport generally marks the passage from one stage of the post-harvest system to the next. Transport, whether traditional or mechanized, is needed to move the agricultural commodities:

- from the harvest fields to the threshing or drying site;
- from there to the farmer’s storehouses or to collection-center warehouses;
- from there to the processing industries or to bigger central storage buildings (often much farther from where the commodity is grown);
- from these industries or storage buildings to wholesalers or retailers for final marketing.” (FAO, 2017)

Agricultural transportation activities encompasses the movement of:

- materials and supplies such as feed, bedding, fertilizer, seed, plant materials, pest control products, and fuel
- farm products such as fruit, vegetables, hay, feed, processed or unprocessed farm goods, live animals, birds and plants
- wastes such as compost, manure, spent plant material and growing media, mortalities, plastics, and spoiled feed
- people, including employees, contract workers, farm supply representatives, service providers and customers
- equipment”. (MABC, 2014).

Numerous studies and official documents point to the importance of the rational/optimal organization of transportation in agriculture, and considerable are the results of implementation of software products which enable efficient and effective transportation, taking into account various factors with the general aim to ensure continuous supply and cost reduction. In its document about agricultural transport FAO emphasizes: “The transport system must be as economical and effective as possible. This implies strict planning for the use of vehicles, according to transport priorities of certain products, establishment of certain schedules, and availability of personnel… Good transport planning must take account of the location of collection points, processing and storage centers, and markets, of the distances separating them, and of the quantities of products to be loaded or unloaded at each point.” (FAO, 2017).

The Strategy of agricultural and rural development of Republic of Serbia for the period 2014-2024 anticipates distribution of agricultural budget; in the part concerning solving of priority problems of sustainable rural development the promotion of agricultural infrastructure is planned involving roads and water supply. Relations between road transport, rural development and food safety, connected to marketing and road freight transport costs are being analyzed in details, and there are several examples of comparative studies of agricultural transportation costs prepared on international level. (e.g. Hine and Ellis, 2001). Although there are lots of different sources of agricultural production costs, it seems the transportation itself comprises great part of them so every effort made
to reorganize transportation could have economically, socially and ecologically positive results. Some calculations show that almost half of all costs of agricultural production are derived from transport activities within farms or from transportation of goods between farms and the external market (Turan, 2005). Reduction of costs of agricultural transportation is being realized in different ways and various methods, e.g. redesigning road design and loading zones (Bezuidenhout et al., 2004); undertaking an assessment of farm transportation system and investigating distances from farmers’ residence to farm location and to delivery place for their products, road conditions and use of private and commercial transportation means and considering combinations of direct deliveries to consumption areas and setting up collection centers and warehouses on farm areas (Mijinyawa, Adetunji, 2005); elaborating of agricultural supply chain-, agro-forestry supply chain- and sugar supply chain modeling, calculating with the use of different loading and transport equipment (Stutterheim, 2006). Using of a computer simulation model of an agricultural vehicle-park consisting of different transport means the stability analysis of different vehicle combination is enabled; by introducing stability criteria equations for future stability control programs different unstable states can be determined and the vehicle combinations can be re-stabilized (Szakacs, 2010). When considering decision support systems built for agricultural managers it must be emphasized the role and importance of transport as an expensive operation, which has large impact on the quality of products, needs special collaboration of all the participants, and transport i.e. supply scheduling system has the most impact on supply chain as a whole (Lyne, 2012). There are examples where the impact of transport on agricultural development is assessed through answers to questionnaires given directly by farmers; from one of these surveys the conclusion was derived that transport conditions impact the agriculture of the whole area and bad road conditions raise transport costs and thus strongly affect the income of farmers (Tunde and Adeyini, 2012). Global problems of agricultural transportation in a country may be derived from the wider location of production regions related to urban centers and export facilities; this is case for example for USA where there are great distances between supply sites and consumption places, so movement of agricultural products use all possible transport facilities and represent serious competition to other freight transportation needs (Mayne, 2015). For every component of the agro-food supply chain, namely transport, storage, warehousing, handling and information flow, a set of key performance indicators can be derived; main indicators for transport in agro-food supply chain include charging time, on-time delivery, truck capacities, distances trucks make empty, idle times, maintenance- and repairing time, deviation from schedule, fuel consumption etc. (Dinu, 2016). Under the commission of NAMC (National Agricultural Marketing Council of Australia) the report “The Role of Transport in Logistics of Agriculture” was compiled for South Africa by Max Braun Consulting Services. The objective of this report was to identify and define the key transport cost drivers with impact on agricultural logistics. Their findings include: the transport from farm gate to consumer is complex; the value chains are well diversified concerning size, location, distances and road conditions; transport costs impact on rural development. (Max Brown CS, 2010).
Modeling agricultural transportation

The area of technical, economic, social, environmental etc. problems resulting from transportation is especially complex and any attempt to model this system has to calculate with great number of random elements as consequences of subjectivity in concerns and decision making, appearance of unexpected events and not satisfactory correctness of data; these in a similar manner and perhaps more expressed appear in agricultural transportation. The starting point in organization of transportation is the determination of efficient and effective use of vehicles and drivers employed on existing and new communication facilities. The known procedures result in the minimum number of vehicles, the minimum number of drivers and the minimal total road length. These calculations obtain rational use of resources and expectantly contribute to the reduction of negative ecological effects of transportation.

To satisfy the needs for environmentally sustainable transport in agriculture industry an environmentally sustainable supply chain for agriculture has been developed, and opportunities for innovations were defined, which could reduce some of negative impacts on the environment using fuzzy performance analysis (Leigh and Li 2015). Agricultural products need to be transported and kept in storage in an adequate way, and connected to that question it is very important to evaluate the supply chain risk; one possible access is given by the application of the TOPSIS method combined with the entropy method to the supply chain risk evaluation in agricultural production and transport (Wang and Hao, 2016). The Agtrix, an Australian company provides sophisticated technology solutions for agricultural sector particularly in the area of supply chain. Their product FREDD is a traffic scheduling system that maintains a continuous supply of agricultural product to a milling location managing the trips for the fleet of trucks that supply that mill. This decision support system is aimed to minimize transport costs through the number of trucks, and calculates with several inputs as mill crushing rate, average bin weight, changes in trip time due to variable road conditions such as fog, rain, holiday traffic and road works and the number of trucks available at any time, to allow for breakdowns. (Agtrix, 2017). The Australian Government is planning to make transport for agriculture more efficient and thus invests about AUD 50 billion in high quality infrastructure for agricultural production. For transport costs make up more than 20% of products’ value, better infrastructure will reduce total costs through shorter delivery times and lower vehicle operating costs. (Australian Government, 2017).

As mentioned above various methods and models have been proposed aimed at solving serious transportation problems in agriculture. In case of all known variables with exact values deterministic programming models find application to optimize routing problems. On the other hand in the real word general data and so data related to transportation are by their nature uncertain that can be treated as stochastic vehicle routing problem starting from randomness and probability distribution and construct either a chance constrained program or a stochastic program with resources (Brito et al., 2012). Random elements are inevitably present in modeling of transportation in agriculture, so the classical terms and methods of mathematical statistics, probability theory and mathematical programming are satisfactory neither for theory nor for the real life performances in complex situations filled
with randomness. Other theories are needed to solve these problems, enable model building and obtain solutions, especially when there are subjective attitudes and expert opinions, and it is not possible precisely to define model parameters. To relax these shortcomings one of the possibilities is to apply a special theory based on fuzzy sets.

Traditional way of computer programming is based on binary evaluations like yes/no, true/false or zero/one, but much closer to human way of thinking is to allow intermediate values between these two opposites, and this is enabled by fuzzy logic. In classical mathematics there are strictly separated elements that belong to crisp sets and the membership function takes a value of zero or one. On the contrary fuzzy logic uses fuzzy sets where membership function can indicate “more or less” belonging to a set and can take any value between 0 and 1; also this means that some elements belong to overlapping sets. In his seminal work “Fuzzy Sets” Zadeh begins with the next: “A fuzzy set is a class of objects with a continuum of grades of membership. Such a set is characterized by a membership (characteristic) function which assigns to each object a grade of membership ranging between zero and one.” (Zadeh, 1965). Originally the fuzzy logic was intended to model human activities, but it can be also applied for development of automatic control systems. (Mamdani, 1974). Zimmermann quotes a variety of applications of fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic: “artificial intelligence, computer science, medicine, control engineering, decision theory, expert systems, logic, management science, operations research, pattern recognition, and robotics…, computational intelligence or soft computing.” (Zimmermann, 2010).

Fuzzy set theory can be successfully applied to transportation investment project selection problems where multi objectives are given (Tzeng et al., 1993). Meixner used Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process in the evaluation process of road network elements reconstruction versions (Meixner, 2009). The importance of weights of all multi-criteria assessment the weight evaluation gain wider meaning by using fuzzy variables (Danka, 2011). It is convenient to apply fuzzy technique to simultaneously minimize total costs of production and transportation along with minimization of total delivery time, subject to budgetary and supply constraints, given transportation vehicles- and warehouse capacities with forecasted demand (David, Pandian, 2011). The three-dimensional concept of sustainability (social, economic and environmental) of transportation politics is elaborated and formalized by using the fuzzy-based evaluation method (Rossi et al., 2012). Akumu et al., developed a technique to model and map soil depth classes based on GIS-fuzzy logic modeling; this approach was performed based on the soil-environment model (Akumu et al., 2016). A new fuzzy-stochastic multiple criteria decision-making method was proposed by researches intended for water resources management while taking into account various economic criteria, environmental and ecological dimensions (Subagadis et al., 2016). Some authors used hesitant fuzzy sets to present a possible application in the area of human resources allocation (Ciric et al., 2015). The fuzzy Delphi method is applicable in the process of analyzing performance levels in the problems of agricultural management encompassing transportation problems as well (Lin and Yang, 2016). A modified fuzzy hybrid genetic algorithm was proposed to establish scheduling model of the use of agricultural machinery from the resource centers of farms, in various situations considering time, weather and road
factors (Luo and Zhang, 2016). The fuzzy importance-preference analysis is unavoidable in studying eco-innovations in agriculture for here preferences are described by linguistic variables and the qualitative attributes include subjective and objective preferences (Horng, Lin 2013; Chen 2016). Some authors used the expanded and changed decision making system, by developing and installing a new fuzzificated model for decision making tree in farm management. In the model with several inputs and one output input elements on the lower level become fuzzy. The outcome of the model is a specific decision, which is influenced by a considerable number of factors and the soundness of assumptions. This model could simulate sustainable functioning of farm management (Sedlak et al, 2011).

Conclusions

The importance of agricultural transportation resulted in numerous and various theoretical and practical studies about different aspects of this problem. In this paper authors tried to present organization of agricultural transportation as determined by efficacious and beneficial use of facilities on existing and new potentials, while the goal is to maximize production and to keep negative ecological effects under control in the same time; the aim is to make the whole reproduction cycle in agriculture sustainable. In farm management in real-life situations in the field of agricultural transportation any attempt of modelling and optimization is limited by the fact that very often the equations for most linear or non-linear processes are unknown and therefore approximations are unavoidable. Input data to the model are not precisely determined or not exactly known; constraints cannot be explained precisely enough, and the goal function cannot be clearly defined; there is a lack of precise information on the value of individual input parameters, on the values of coefficients in constraint and goal functions; an imprecise formulation of limitations themselves is possible as well. The nature of the agricultural transportation problem represents the features of uncertainty and vagueness and for all these reasons the introduction of fuzzy sets into the existing decision making models in several ways is recommended.
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Sadašnje doba obiluje različitim razmatranjima o transportu uopšte, uključujući analizu i donošenje odluka u vezi sa postojećom situacijom kao i planiranjem, u smislu priprema za buduće potrebe putem definisanja politika, ciljeva kao i ulaganja u dizajn transportne mreže i transportnih sredstava. Uticaj na okolinu transporta uopšte, a posebno poljoprivrednog transporta su od posebne važnosti. Transportne aktivnosti su date i neizostavne delatnosti za svako društvo i zemlju, međutim, intenziviranje transporta veoma često ima negativne posledice po okolinu. Navedeni problem se javlja s posebnom težinom kod poljoprivrednog transporta: modeliranje, koje uključuje i ekološke probleme je u ovom slučaju naročito složeno zbog veoma velikog broja varijabli i slučajnih elemenata koji zavise od subjektivnosti u procesu donošenja odluka, zbog pojave neočekivanih događaja, i, veoma često, zbog netačnih i nedovoljno preciznih polaznih podataka. U ovom radu autori razmatraju različite aspekte poljoprivrednog transporta i ukazuju na korišćenje takvih postupaka i metoda kojima se mogu tretirati neizbesnost i pomoću kojih se mogu kontrolisati nepovoljni ekološki efekti poljoprivrednog transporta, što doprinosi unapređenju održivog sistema proizvodnje hrane i sirovina.
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