Use of historical sources in a study of the 1895 floods on the Danube River and its tributaries
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Abstract

Hydrological data series that are measured on the Danube River are temporally limited. Instrumental flow data can be prolonged by documentary data from historical sources in archives. This paper deals with knowledge gained by studies of historical materials regarding the 1895 catastrophic floods on the Danube River and its tributaries as reflected in the contemporary local press and also in studies of other historical records (flood marks, chronicles, books and photos). Records from the newspapers (\textit{Wiener Zeitung}, \textit{Preßburger Zeitung}, \textit{The New York Times} and \textit{Komáromi Lapok}) and other analysed sources show the relatively large territorial impact of the floods in March and April 1895, which affected not only the Danube and its tributaries, but also some neighboring basins. Catastrophic consequences of the flood were especially reported from the lower parts of the Danube River (from its confluence with the Drava River up to the mouth of the Black Sea) and the tributaries of the Tisza and Sava rivers. In 1895, the second highest flood after the 2006 flood on the Lower Danube was observed since 1841.
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Introduction

Floods belong to the extreme natural phenomena which occur in the Danube basin. Catastrophic floods on the Upper Danube (from the source of the Danube to the Bratislava gauge), the Central Danube, and the Lower Danube (from the Orsova gauge to the river outlet), usually do not simultaneously occur (Pekárová, et al., 2012). On the Upper Danube from the town of Passau, the highest floods during the observation period occurred in the years 1862, 1897, 1899, 1954, 2002, and 2013. On the Lower Danube they occurred in the years 1897, 1940, 1942, 1970, 1980, 1981, and 2014. In the years 1897, 1965, and 2006, floods struck the whole Danube basin. While in the Upper Danube, the highest floods originate from regional heavy rainfall events in the summer (flood durations of 10-20 days), over the Central and Lower Danube, they are mostly caused by extensive snowmelt in combination with rainfalls (flood durations of 1-3 months).

The hydrological data series measured on the Danube River are limited. In Austria, water-level measurements have been available in Vienna-Tabor since 1 January 1784 and have been published in the \textit{Wiener Zeitung} newspaper until January 1785. Later on, regular water-level observations at the Franz Bridge of the Vienna Canal were published in the \textit{Wiener Zeitung} from 1 May 1811. Observations of the water levels in the River Danube have been undertaken at Linz since 1821. In Hungary, readings of the gauge at Buda have been published in a newspaper from 1 May 1814 onwards (Brázdil, et al., 2012).

The water gauging station in Bratislava was established in the 18\textsuperscript{th} century. Systematic observations of water levels began in 1823, but continuous water level data, as well as daily discharges, have been collected since 1876. This is the second longest time series of observed daily discharges; the first was from the Danube
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at the Turnu Severin (today, the Orsova) station. Figure 1a depicts the maximum annual discharges from the Orsova station. In 1895, the second highest flood after the 2006 flood on the Lower Danube was observed.

Instrumental data can be complemented by documentary data from historical sources in different archives (Bel, 1735; Neweklowsky, 1955; Kresser, 1957; Horváthová, 2003; Rohr, 2005; Brázdil, Kundzewitz, 2006; Kiss, 2009; Przybylak, et al., 2010; Kiss, 2011; Pišút, 2011; Stankoviansky, Pišút, 2011; Brázdil, et al., 2012; Elleder, et al, 2013; Melo, Bernáthová, 2013; Pekárová, et al., 2013). Most of the information presented about historical floods in the Upper Danube region has its origin in flood marks, newspaper articles, chronicles, official letters, books, maps and photos. Flood marks contain a brief description of a flooding event with an indication of the peak flood water level. In cities located along the Upper Danube (e.g., Passau, Linz, Mauthausen, Grain, Ybbs, Melk, Krems, and Hainburg an der Donau) more flood marks of historic floods can be found, even since 1501. According to Pekárová, et al. (2013), about ten historically catastrophic floods on the Danube at Bratislava from 1501 to 1876 (Figure 1b) are known. From a long-term point of view, the Danube discharges at the Bratislava station have been constant (Pekárová, et al., 2008; Blaškovičová, et al., 2013; Marušiak, Pekár, 2014).

Figure 1.
a) Annual maximum discharge series $Q_{\text{max}}$ (points), differences in 7-year moving averages (bolt line), the Danube at Orsova, 1840–2010.
b) Historic Danube floods at Bratislava from 1500 to 1876 (dark columns – summer floods, light columns – winter floods); since 1876 – the observed annual peaks $Q_{\text{max}}$. In 1501, the discharge was estimated up to 14,000 m$^3$s$^{-1}$.
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Times from the archives on the website of that newspaper (http://www.nytimes.com/). The photographs originate in the archives of the Danube Museum in Esztergom (Hungary) and in the archives of a private collection on the website: http://orsova.xhost.ro/.

We used the mean daily discharge data (as well as the maximum annual discharge) from the database of the “Flood regime of rivers in the Danube basin” project from two stations: Danube: Bratislava and Danube: Turnu-Severin (Orsova). In Figure 4 there is the mean daily discharge in the selected stations in 1895. In 1895, the flood wave at the Bratislava station culminated on 31 March 1895 with a discharge of 6979 m$^3$s$^{-1}$. At Orsova, the flood wave in 1895 culminated on 14-18 April (about 16 days later than at Bratislava) with a discharge of 15200 m$^3$s$^{-1}$.

Flood marks
After the Danube flood of 1895, six flood marks remained on historical buildings in towns located near the river (three in Slovakia: Bratislava, Komární and Iža; three in Hungary: Komárom (Figure 5), Szőny (Figure 6) and Szigetmonostor). In all of these cases the maximum flood water level is expressed by marks delineated on churches. They contain the same brief descriptions of the flooding events in Hungarian: “VIZRAJZI MAGASSÁGJEGY 1895” (“hydrograph-
ic level mark 1895”) and indicate the peak flood wa-
ter level. From these marks it is possible to imagine
the actual Danube water level’s elevation in 1895. We
also found one flood mark in 1895 from the Tisza Riv-
er (Szeged in Hungary).

Another flood mark is from the Elbe catchment,
and the date of culmination on 28 March 1895 is spec-
ified on the Elbe River in Pilnitz near Dresden.

Information from the New York Times
and Komáromi Lapok

The New York Times only paid attention to reports about
the 1895 Danube flooding in two short reports from their
European correspondents. The first report was written
by a Budapest correspondent on 9 April and printed in
the newspaper on 10 April 1895 with the headline “Terri-
ble Overflow in South Hungary” (see Figure 7). The sec-

Figure 5. The 1895 flood mark in Komárom, St. Stephen’s church (photo by C.Dujsíková, 2013)

Figure 6. The 1895 flood mark in Szőny on a Roman Catholic church (photo by C.Dujsíková, 2013)

Figure 7. Report on the catastrophic 1895 Danube floods in southern Hungary in the New York Times, 10 April, 1895. (http://www.nytimes.com/)

Figure 8. Report on the 1895 Danube flood at Presburg (Bratislava) in the New York Times, 16 April, 1895. (http://www.nytimes.com/)
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ond report, with the title “Blue Danube Fatal at Pressburg”, was published on 16 April 1895 by a Vienna correspondent (Figure 8). The headline of this report is not very precise, because the report mostly relates to Hungary and not only to Bratislava (at that time, Pressburg).

At the beginning of April 1895, two reports appeared in the local weekly newspaper Komáromi Lapok about the Danube and Váh floods in several places in the vicinity of the town of Komáorno (Slovakia and Hungary) (No. 14 from 6 April and No. 15 from 13 April 1895).

Progress of the flood in 1895 on the Danube River and some of its tributaries based on the newspapers Wiener Zeitung and Preßburger Zeitung

Here we are also furnishing information based on the study of materials regarding the historical floods on the Danube River and its tributaries in 1895 as reflected in the contemporary local press (Wiener Zeitung and Preßburger Zeitung) in the period from late February till the end of April 1895. From approximately 5 March until 24 April, reports appeared in the Wiener Zeitung and Preßburger Zeitung about floods at several places in Central Europe with the first of them arising on the tributaries of the Tisza River in Transylvania according to these newspapers.

The first wave of floods attacked the tributaries of the Tisza and the tributaries of the Danube in the regions of Transylvania and Vojvodina on 5 March, 1895 (the Mureş River in Arad (in western Romania), the Timiş River in Timișoara (in western Romania) (this river flows directly to the Danube near the Serbian town of Pančevo), the Crişul Alb River in Chisineu-Cris (in western Romania), the Moravica canal (runs directly into the Danube in Serbia) and the Brzava canal (a tributary of the river Timiş) near Zrenjanin (in Vojvodina in Serbia) (WZ 7 and 8 March). After this first wave of flooding of the tributaries of the Tisza and Danube rivers in this region, the situation stabilized for about two weeks.

In the period from late March till the beginning of April, as a result of continuous rain, flooding was documented in several areas in the Czech Republic, especially in southern Morava (the Morava River and its tributaries: the Dyje (Thaya), Svratka, Bečva, Jihlava, and Svitava), and some other rivers were rising in Bohemia. In several places the water left the inundation area (the Morava River near Olomouc, the Svratka River near Brno). Several dams collapsed at that time, and water flooded some areas of the surrounding fields, meadows and woods as well as the settlements and roads. Likewise, an area near the basin of the Morava River was underwater in Slovakia (PA, 23 March till PZ 6 April, WA 26 March till WA 1 April).

Over the entire Bavarian, Austrian and Slovak arms of the Danube, there was an increase in the water levels recorded (Straubing, Passau, Linz, Krems, Vienna, and Bratislava). Also, the Inn River in Scharding was constantly rising (PA and WZ 26 and 29 March). Snow in the mountains started to rapidly melt due to rain falling and thus caused a rapid increase in the water level of the Danube River and the tributaries in its upper part. The Wiener Zeitung reported on the flooding in Vienna on 27 and 28 March 1895, and the Pressburger Zeitung reported about the flooding in Bratislava in its evening edition from 1 April. Due to heavy rains the rivers Raab, Mur, Drava, Sava and the upper Tisza were rising (WA 1 April, PZ 2 April). The Danube was slightly rising below Bratislava, as was the entire Tisza (WA 1 April). The river Repčze near Sopron (in Hungary) broke its dams. Several thousand furlongs of fields were underwater. The area of Komáorno-Komárom (in Slovakia and Hungary) was flooded. The Váh River near Komáorno (in Slovakia) broke through its protective dam. Several houses collapsed. Fields and roads between Hurbanovo and Komáorno (in Slovakia) and near Tata (in Hungary) were flooded. The Danube near Esztergom (in Hungary) was rising rapidly. The municipal garden in this city was completely underwater, and there was water standing in the surrounding fields as well. The Danube had already been rising for 5 days in Mohacs and had flooded two chalets situated on the island (WA 2 April). The Danube in Budapest had risen from 3 April to 4 April by approximately 14 cm, and part of the city at the riverside remained underwater, for instance, the buildings and shops of the Danube Steamship Company. The groundwater started to rise in cellars in many houses in the inner city (PZ 4 April). According to information from Bucharest, the Prut River and other smaller tributaries in Moldova overflowed their banks and caused floods (WZ 4 April). Near Mohacs, the water exceeded the highest water level, which had been reached in 1892, by 10 cm (WZ 6 April).

Other reports from the tributaries of the Tisza and Danube in the region of Transylvania and Vojvodina appeared in the Wiener Zeitung on 27 March, including reports about a significant increase in the level of the Tisza and its tributaries due to heavy rains. The river Tisza flooded several streets in the suburbs near the town of Chust (in western Ukraine). The Wiener Zeitung and Pressburger Zeitung from 27 March to 21 April brought daily notices about floods in various locations on the Tisza River and its individual tributaries: Someş, Criş, Mureş, the Bega canal, etc., and the tributaries of the Danube: Timiş and the Brzava and Moravica canals. For example, they provided information about flooding in the towns of Nyíregyháza and Szegedin (in Hungary) on the Tisza Riv-
er, in the town of Chisineu-Cris on the river Crisul Alb, in the towns of Deva, Târgu Mureş, Arad and Reginh (in western Romania) on the river Mureş, near the town of Dej (northwestern Romania) on the river Someş, and in the towns of Timișoara and Boka (in Vojvodina in Serbia) on the river Timiş. The information related to, for example, human casualties, losses of livestock, broken dams, collapsed houses, washed-out railway tracks, flooded meadows and fields, etc. A fourth flood wave flowed down the rivers Timiş and Bega (WZ 4 April). Due to the extremely heavy rain and constant snow melting, there was an imminent risk of flooding in Târgu Mureş. The residents of villages on the river Mureş left their houses, and many towns on this river were flooded. There was a great deal of panic (WZ and PZ 5 April). The Tisza in Szeged surpassed the until then known highest water level from 1888 by 17 cm (PZ 10 April). Early on the morning of 11 April, the dam near Csákolya broke on the left bank of the Tisza River, thus flooding approximately 2,500 furlongs of the area (WZ 11 April). The village of Tiszatárján was completely flooded; a lot of houses had collapsed in Tiszakécs, which was mostly underwater; Tiszákürt was in great danger, and its inhabitants were trying to escape (PA 16 April).

The floods also affected a large part of the basins of the rivers Drava and Sava. For example, the newspapers were reporting about the floods in Zagreb, where the Sava suddenly rose and flooded the suburbs, where the water was one meter deep in the dwelling houses. Also affected were the narrow streets of the lower city of Zagreb. The area looked like a large lake. Only the roofs of houses could be seen due to the water in the villages on the banks of the Sava. There had not been such a flood in 29 years (PZ and WZ 28 March). Due to the rapid rise of the Sava and Danube, the evacuation of citizens was ordered from Belgrade from houses in the lower parts of the city. The Danube and Sava suburbs were partly underwater (WZ 6 and 7 April). The newspapers reported on the flooding in Bosnia and Herzegovina; Bosanski Brod (on the Sava River) was completely flooded. Part of the residents stayed in Slavonia, and part escaped to a hill. The whole area reminded spectators of the sea (PA 8 April).

The water level on the Danube in Zemun (now part of Belgrade) reached 7½ meters on midday, 8 April. Due to the significant inflow from the Sava and Drina rivers, there was a risk of a further increase. The transhipment point of the Hungarian State Railways in Zemun was flooded by water to a height of more than one meter. In an even worse situation, the neighboring former Hungarian villages of Barcsa (now Borca in Serbia) and Ostcza (Ovča in Serbia) were completely flooded. With great efforts and with the help of ships of the Hungarian State Railways, approximately 2,000 residents of these villages were evacuated to Zemun and to Pančevo (in Serbia). Rain had been falling since the early morning of 9 April, so the flood concerns were becoming greater. The Hungarian and Croatian riverside areas of the Danube and Sava had flooded more than the Serbian bank. The residents escaped to Serbia, where the bank was located at a higher altitude (WA 9 April). The Danube was rising rapidly from Bogojeva (in Vojvodina, Serbia) downward, especially at its confluence with the Sava (WZ 10 April). Conditions in the area of Torontal gave rise to a high level of concern. The village of Boka (currently in Vojvodina, Serbia) on the river Tamiš was flooded; more than 200 houses collapsed, and 700 people were left homeless (WA 9 April). The passenger and freight railway traffic from Belgrade to Smederevo (in Serbia) was stopped due to the flooding of the tracks (WZ and PA 11 April).

The Danube in Zemun had risen on 11 April by approximately 15 cm since the previous day. There was water standing in the streets of Zemun up to a height of 1.5 m. The residents were greatly concerned as the rivers Sava and Drina were rapidly rising again. In addition to the villages of Bortcsa and Ostcsa, there were also other flooded villages between Zemun and Pančevo. Steamboats and towboats were helping to evacuate the residents (WA 11 April). According to a report from Belgrade, significant damage to fields, streets, and bridges was caused not only by the Sava and Danube, but also by the rivers Morava and Drina in Serbia (WA 11 April). A significant increase in the water level was reported below the Novi Sad (WZ 12 April). The river Sava flooded the salt and oil reservoirs of a monopoly enterprise in Belgrade (PZ 13 April).

As reported from Bucharest on 13 April, the Danube’s level increased considerably on the Romanian territory and flooded some areas, but, without any major damage thus far. The water level raised by approximately 5.6 meters in the towns of Giurgiu and Orsova (WA 13 April, PZ 14 April). The Danube island fortress of Ada-Kalé was completely flooded. Turks escaped to the fortress, which was strengthened by embankments. There were great shortages of necessities and the risk of starvation. The old Turkish mosque was underwater and close to collapsing. Villages on the Serbian bank were completely flooded. Residents escaped to the inlands of Serbia (WZ and PZ 20 April). The Danube at Pančevo reached its highest recorded water level, surpassing the record set in 1888. There were 23 buildings flooded thus far. Vidin in Bulgaria reported that on 17 April, the Danube rose by approximately 10 cm (WZ 20 April). The Danube and most of the other rivers on the Romanian territory were rising and causing partial flooding. There were fields
flooded near Galati (in Romania), and in multiple locations transportation could only be carried out by boats (WZ 21 April). The Danube in Romania continued to rise. In Giurgiu, the dam and port were under a risk of flooding. In the town of Braila, brick plants and low parts of the town were flooded. Four people and a large number of cattle drowned in the village of Cocargeana close to Calarasch. Also, the village of Chirnogi was affected by the flood (WA 24 April).

The March/April 1895 floods also affected some other rivers in Central Europe, such as the Vltava (Prague), Elbe (Dresden, Hamburg vicinity), Dniester and the river Bug.

Other historical sources (chronicles, books and photos)

The occurrence of the 1895 flooding on the Danube River was confirmed by records from the local chronicles of the following villages: Königsbrunn am Wagram in Austria, and Medvedov and Obid in Slovakia. Some mentions of the flooding in 1895 are recorded in the yearbook of meteorological observations of 1895 (JCME, 1898) (e.g., Přerov on the Bečva River in the Czech Republic) and in a book “Study of selected natural extremes and their impacts in Moravia and Silesia” (Brázdil, et al., 2007) (e.g., the Dyje and Svratka rivers in the Czech Republic). Contemporary photos suitably illustrate the flooding events. During the flood of 1895, water overflowed several inundation areas of the Tisza River (Figure 9), and the streets of Orsova were underwater (Figure 10).

Conclusion

Information from the Wiener Zeitung, Preßburger Zeitung, and other historical sources helped us reconstruct the history of the flooding, record the consequences and the territorial radius itself as well as clarified the causes of the origin of the flooding in March and April 1895 of the Danube and its tributaries. Analysed records from the newspapers show relatively
large territorial impact of the flooding in March and April 1895, which affected not only the Danube and its tributaries, but also some other neighboring basins. The catastrophic consequences of the flooding were especially reported from the lower parts of the Danube River (from its confluence with the river Drava up to the mouth of the Black Sea) and the tributaries of the Tisza and Sava rivers.

It was necessary for the Danube countries to closely cooperate. In their national archives, they possess many valuable documents that help in evaluating the Danube flood regime in the entire Danube River catchment area.
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