Remediation of Veliki bački kanal and sustainable use of resources in its surroundings
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Abstract

Veliki bački kanal is one of the most polluted watercourses in Serbia and it is frequently the central topic of the analysis of huge ecological issues in Serbia. It was dug at the turn of the 19th century with the main purpose to drain the wetland areas in central Bačka and to enhance economic growth of the settlements that had been colonized several times during the 18th century. Although it is being studied mostly from environment protection perspective at present, it has been changing the features of the landscape and the environment in this area. The issue of intensive pollution, predominantly from food industry, has been increasing since the second half of the 20th century. This type of pollution completely diminished the canal functions planned by the project designers. Current and future canal functions are: drainage and flood protection, irrigation, water supply, wastewater recipient, canal traffic, fish farming, tourism (recreation) and nature protection. Remediation is crucial and fundamental prerequisite for sustainable use or Veliki bački kanal resources.
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Introduction

The construction of Veliki bački kanal at the turn of the 19th century was at that time one of the largest hydro-technical projects aimed at drainage of flooding areas and building inland navigable routes in Europe. In the beginning, the canal connected the Danube near Bački Monoštor and the Tisza near Bačko Gradište. Due to the relocation of the Danube waterbed during the mid 19th century, the water intake structure was dislocated to the vicinity of Bezdan, whereas the mouth was dislocated to the vicinity of Bečej due to regulation works on the Tisza river (Milošev, 2002). Veliki bački kanal was integrated into the Hydro-system Danube-Tisza-Danube and divided into two canal sections in the second half of the 20th century. The upstream section consists of canal Vrbas-Bezdan (80.9 km), and the downstream section consists of the part of the canal Bečej-Bogojevo, between Bečej and triangle shaped area near Vrbas (39.0 km). Veliki bački kanal has four water gates and four ship locks: Bezdan, Mali Stapar, Vrbas and Bečej. The canal gets all its water gravitationally from the Danube and also by means of water intake pumps near Bezdan, then gravitationally over Bački kanal, by means of tributaries Krivaja and Beljanska bara and from the first aquifer (Likić, 2002; Pavić, et al., 2007; Public Utility “Vode Vojvodine”).

Veliki bački kanal is probably the water course attracting most attention of the domestic general public regarding ecological and environment protection issues. There have been bombastic newspaper headlines in support of this statement: Veliki Bački Kanal Poisoned (daily newspaper Politika, July 21, 2007), Veliki Bački Kanal Europe’s Black Spot (daily newspaper Blic, November 4, 2009), Veliki Bački Kanal Country’s Stepson (daily newspaper Večernje novosti, May 18, 2013). In general, Veliki bački kanal is one of the most frequent ecological topics in Serbia and gener-
al public is familiar with its significance. Despite the numerous appeals, activities aimed at minimizing fatal environmental effects for this water course and the surrounding area have not commenced yet.

Significance of the topic pollution of Veliki bački kanal may be observed from the point of view that rational and conscious water utilization is among the most important segments of environment protection. Theory and practice of sustainable development describe certain water issues as the ones of immense importance, such as: water scarcity, water use purpose, inefficient water use in towns, even and adjusted water distribution, and pollution (Elliott, 2013). Solution to the problem and water related issues are to be found in environment management (Barrow, 2006). Water protection issues impacted decision making processes for a series of important documents, which made water protection domain one of the most widely regulated in the European Union environmental legislation. Water Framework Directive (WDF) from 2000, regulating water policy frameworks, adheres to sustainable development and integral water management principles (Pantelić, 2012). Within the EU accession process, Serbia would need to intensify discussion on these issues and such trend should not be observed only as fulfilling prescribed standards, but understood as essential process in environment and resources protection.

Wastewater release into surface water courses has reached and alarming level and become one of the serious problems (Alvarez-Vázquez, et al., 2009). This trend is the main problem of Veliki bački kanal and one of the reasons why this water course resources remain unused for local community welfare.

History of digging the canal and its impact on landscape features in central Bačka

The canal digging occurred due to historical circumstances in this region during the 18th century coupled with natural features of the surroundings and wider area of the Pannonian plain. At the end of the 17th century and the beginning of the 18th century, southern parts of the Pannonian plain (present Vojvodina) were so sparsely populated that the areas were mapped as such. This was particularly true for inner parts of Bačka (Popović, 1990). In 1699, Bačka became a part of Austria (Treaty of Karlovci) instead of Turkey. The area changed drastically from new spatial organization and new economic ideas to colonisations which had to revitalize it demographically (Lazić, et al., 1998). Due to the total negligence of water courses during the Turkish reign there were frequent floods and huge wetlands were formed.

Figure 1. Map of central and southern Bačka from 1787 with flooded settlements due to the groundwater level rise.
Source: National Archives of Hungary, Budapest
(Popović, 1996). Such situation was unfavourable for the new settlers and new economic projects - primarily the idea of turning this area into cultivated land; therefore certain interventions in the environment were inevitable.

Ethnically dominant among the settlers were Germans who colonized this area on several occasions during the 18th century and remained dominant by the end of the World War II (Kicošev, et al., 2006). Wetlands threatened to spoil the success of the colonization in the 18th century. Huge mud areas and wetlands were spreading around Sivac, on the bank of the present Veliki bački kanal; numerous bogs covered in reed were stretching from the time immemorial along the southern rim of Telečka visoravan, which is today the course of the canal (Petrović, 1978). Crna bara was stretching east from Vrbas and causing numerous problems (Pantelić, 2012).

The solutions to the accumulated problems in this part of Bačka were searched for in the project of Veliki bački kanal designed by brothers József and Gabor Kiss. The construction of the canal lasted from 1793 to 1802. The first function of the canal was draining the wet soil in central Bačka and shortening the navigable route between the Danube and the Tisza for approximately 160 km (Milošev, Stojanov, 1998). The project was successful with respect to the first task, since in the initial construction phase between Sivac and Kula, water withdrawal was recorded for the areas previously covered in water. József Kiss, encouraged with such success, dug a wider and longer canal (30 km) between Sivac and Vrbas with the permission of Hungarian chamber for the purposes of draining the excess water from the depression of Sivac into Crna bara (Petrović, 1978). Comparison of archive maps from the period prior to the canal construction give evidence about complete transformation of landscape features of the canal course. The rising level of Sivačka bara would cause flooding of the nearby settlement Veprovac (today Kruščić), and floods would spread towards south up to Odžaci prior to the canal construction (Figure 1). After the construction of the canal, embankments and roads, the area obtained completely different features in a short period (Figure 2).

**Pollution of Veliki bački kanal**

Pollution of Veliki bački kanal reached its peak in the second half of the 19th century, although the problem had appeared a bit earlier. A fish kill that occurred in 1936 in the canal near Srbobran was caused by water pollution from Vrbas sugar factory (Pantelić, 2012). The apprehension of Veliki bački kanal pollution may be obtained from the pollutant cadastre (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia No. 135/04), which is the prerequisite for regular data collection, analysis, synthesis, presentation to interested legal and natural persons (Dalmacija, 2004).

There are 24 industrial objects within the group of pollutants of Veliki bački kanal (Table 1). Most of them are food industry plants. This industrial branch is a huge water consumer and its process water has high levels of organic matter. Data on mean values of wastewater quality for all concentrated water on the course of Veliki bački kanal indicate that wastewater from meat and meat processing industry pose the greatest hazard due to high concentration and quantity of organic pollutants. Another threat comes from utility services in Kula and Vrbas. Moreover, huge problem is sedimentation of suspended solids (that fill the canal with sediment), microorganisms use oxygen for these compounds oxidation processes, and also the release of nutrients (phosphorus and nitrogen), that stimulate the growth of water plants (Pantelić, 2012). Detailed research shows that industrial wastewater is far more burdened with organic elements than standard municipal wastewater. It has been confirmed that waste from industrial wastewater is less biodegradable than that from standard municipal wastewater (Krčmar, 2006).

Besides the water quality problems, special issue is a huge amount of mud, an estimate of approximately 400.000 m³, at the most endangered section of Veliki bački kanal in the total length of six river kilometres near Vrbas. Such large quantity of mud caused the canal to become unnavigable due to its depth of only 30 cm at certain points. In general, the sediment of Veliki bački kanal is a problem based on pseudo total metal content because the classification of studied profiles indicate that the value of at least one met-
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Remediation is a process of introducing measures for cutting pollution and further degradation of the environment up to the level at which future use of the locality including spatial planning, revitalization and recultivation is safe (Krčmar, 2010). The pollution of Veliki bački kanal and health hazard for the inhabitants of this region has been continuous for eighty years. However, harmful consequences spread further to the Tisza and the Danube river and become international. The application of appropriate technological procedures for wastewater sanitation would reduce the excess organic pollution loading imposed on this body of water, i.e. Veliki bački kanal. Sanitation also implies mud removal and its safe disposal, revitalization of certain objects, restructuring works on the canal banks, fish introduction, and bringing the canal into the condition when sustainable development principle is achieved by means of routine maintenance. Industrial wastewater may be treated by application of joint treatment with municipal wastewater or separately (Wang, et al., 2005; Hongxia, et al., 2004). Moreover, application of various methods such as wastewater treatment by means of membrane filtration process to remove active mud may have high efficiency of removing organic, nitrogen and phosphorous elements from wastewater (Krčmar, 2006).

One of the leading environmental issues in the surroundings of Veliki bački kanal is the impact of food industry wastewater. The treatment of this wastewater is similar to treatment of municipal wastewater. In practice, all usual techniques applied in municipal wastewater treatment are also applied in food industry wastewater treatment (Dalmacija, et al., 2011). However, there is one difference that should be highlighted: anaerobic wastewater treatment techniques are used more frequently in wastewater treatment compared to municipal wastewater treatment. Anaerobic wastewater treatment techniques are suitable for highly contaminated wastewater and frequently combined with aerobic treatment, first anaerobic treatment is applied and then follows aerobic treatment. Application of anaerobic and aerobic treatments instead of only aerobic treatment may reduce costs of wastewater treatment works and also for factor eight (Chan, et al., 2009). On the other hand, there is a surprising finding obtained from the comparison of the emission of greenhouse gases (expressed in equivalent quantity of carbon dioxide) during three types of processes in food industry wastewater treatment: aerobic (aerobic mud removal and use of biogas), anaerobic and combined anaerobic-aerobic process. Conversely, the most efficient one with regard to greenhouse gases emission is aerobic system with anaerobic mud removal, but not combined anaerobic-aerobic process as might have been expected (Shahabadi, 2009).

Food industry in Serbia applies anaerobic wastewater treatment sporadically, but there has been evidence of growing interest in anaerobic wastewater treatment lately.

In order to reduce pollution of Veliki bački kanal, first, all pollutants should cease untreated wastewater release. Next, the sanitation and revitalization of the canal may start (mud removal and cleaning, mud depositing, sanitation of other objects, aquatic vegetation removal, fish introduction and other works aimed at canal revitalization). Also, it is necessary to monitor, maintain and utilize the canal according to the principles of sustainable development. Detailed analyses and recordings indicate that sanitation and revitalization of the canal is pointless unless the caus-

Table 1. List of concentrated pollutants on the Veliki bački kanal route

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Pollutants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>JKP “Vodovod” Sombor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Panonka” Sombor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“Sunce” Sombor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>“Crvenka” AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DOO “Panon 021” Crvenka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>“Jaffa” Crvenka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>JKP “Vodovod” Crvenka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AD “Eterna” Kula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>JKP “Komunalac” Kula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DOO “Stork” Kula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AD “Carnex” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AD “Carnex” PJ “Farmacoop” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>JKP “Standard” OJ “Vodovod i kanalizacija” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AD “Bačka” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AD “Vital” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AD “Medela” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DD “Trivik-Pek” Vrbas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>“Reahem” DOO RJ “Elan” Srubran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>JKP “Graditelj” Srubran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>AD “Bag” Bačko Gradište</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PIK “Bečej” RJ “Flora” Bečej</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>DOO HKC “Fadip” Bečej</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>JKP “Vodokanal” Bečej</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>DD “Remont” Bečej</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

es of the current condition are eliminated and the consequences diminished for a longer period (Action plan, 2005). The first and foremost solution for pollution reduction is the construction of municipal wastewater collecting, conveyance and treatment facilities with the aim of canal water quality maintenance pursuant to legal regulations. Numerous studies and analyses have shown that the problem of wastewater treatment may be solved optimally by means of constructing joint central facilities for wastewater treatment (CPPOV).

Currently, municipal and industrial wastewater is released into Veliki bački kanal either untreated or inadequately treated thus endangering the quality of its water. The analyses of canal water and sediment quality point out to the completely degraded water quality and sediments in the canal, which may become human health hazard, endanger the canal function and quality of the environment of the border region.

**Functions and sustainable development of Veliki bački kanal resources**

Analysis of usefulness i.e. functions of Veliki bački kanal was performed back in 1791 by its project designers, the Kiss brothers (Figure 3), because Austrian economic administration was primarily interested in it. First, the canal navigability significance was highlighted. The construction of the canal shortened the navigable route from Szeged, the main salt port for the eastern part of the monarchy, to the Danube. In the vicinity of the canal’s contact with the Tisza river there is the canal’s mouth into the Begej river, which is the shortest link to the mines of the Carpathians. At that time the trade in Bačka declined and the canal would provide the perspective for its revitalization (Petrović, 1978). The industry also benefited from the canal, which is observable in the fact that several settlements on the bank of the canal had the role of important local and regional industrial centres in the 19th and 20th century, among which were: Sombor, Crvenka, Kula, Vrbas, Srbobran and Bečej. Food industry was the main industrial branch, especially bread making, meat processing, sugar, confectionery and vegetable fat and oil industry. This industrial region was one among three largest in Bačka, together with Podunavlje and Potišje (Tomić, et al., 2005). Finally, although not mentioned among the first benefits, the benefit of meliorations is undisputable, since they changed the features of the landscape, but provide progress for agricultural production. It is especially remarkable east from Vrbas, where Crna bara as an elemental and uncontrolled aquatoria finally obtained a multifunctional course.

After the World War II, Veliki bački kanal was included into Hydro-system Danube-Tisza-Danube. The idea on its building was in concordance with the concept of comprehensive water problems solution and general discussions on the idea in the period 1945-1947 (Milovanov, 1972). This was one of magnificent and immensely important projects in former Yugoslavia. Parallel to its planning and construction, the possibilities of its use and functions of canal network were discussed. The base of the whole idea was a unique hydro-melioration system with multiple agricultural purposes such as: navigation, water supply for industry and settlements, fish farming with fish ponds in canal network, recreation and tourism (Subotički, 1972). Current and future use of Veliki bački kanal potentials is linked to the idea of sustainable development (Pantelić, 2012). Basic functions of the canal with regard to this issue are: drainage, irrigation, water supply, wastewater recipient, canal traffic, fish farming, tourism (recreation) and nature protection. There are ten settlements on the bank of the canal with the population of 130,000. The aforementioned functions are of vital importance for their lives and work. Urban and ecological problems would be solved by sanitation of those problems and the towns would become better places for living (Vrbas, Kula, Crvenka and Srbobran).
Conclusion

Despite its significant historical role in shaping the landscape and contributing to economic growth of central Bačka, Veliki bački kanal has been experiencing the largest problems with regard to environment protection in Serbia due to negligence. Similarly to other hydrological objects of anthropogenic origin, the issue of the canal usefulness stands as crucial segment in overall valorisation of not only the water course, but also its immediate surroundings. The canal functions and their realisation have been burning issues for numerous nearby settlements ever since the 18th century, i.e. the time when the canal was dug and up to the most recent studies on its sanitation and remediation. Almost all current plans and studies on environment protection mainly rely on pollution indicators and remediation possibilities. However, none of the studies highlights its historical significance or analyses it as an important segment within cultural landscape in this part of the country. According to the fact that sustainable development rests on three fundamental principles – ecological, economic and social-cultural, introduction of a wider social and cultural criterion into overall valorisation would facilitate the canal reconstruction plans.
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