Impact of drought on the productivity of agricultural crops within the Oltenia Plain, Romania

Irina Ontel* A, Alina Vladut B
Received: October 11, 2014 | Revised: January 27, 2015 | Accepted: February 26, 2015

Abstract
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of moisture conditions on the productivity of agricultural crops within the Oltenia Plain, Romania at various time scales. The agro-databases contain the productions of winter wheat, maize and sunflower reported by the National Institute of Statistics and Agricultural Department for the period 1971-2013. The meteorological elements used were represented by the rainfall amount and air-temperature recorded at the six meteorological stations: Drobeta-Turnu Severin, Calafat, Băilești, Bechet, Craiova, Caracal and Turnu-Magurele. According to the SPI and De Martonne Index, the driest years, with low rainfall amounts, were 1983-1985, 1993, 2000, 2002, 2007 and 2012, with different degrees of water deficit. Moreover, the years with the lowest productions were recorded in the last half of the analysed period: 1993, 1996, 2000, 2002, 2007 and 2012. The impact of the drought variability of yield on the agricultural crops was evaluated using Bravais-Pearson correlations test, which was statistically significant in the last half of the reference period, while the correlation in the first half of the period it was not statistically significant. This result can be explained by the political system and the gradual degradation of the irrigation system, which determined the addiction on climatic conditions after ’89 years.
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Introduction
Climate change manifests through increasing average temperature and changing rainfall regime and it has many negative effects, both globally and regionally. The study of drought is very important in terms of the potential impact on the environment, economy and food security. According with Lobell, et al. (2011), changes in temperature have caused reduction in global yields of maize and wheat by 3.8 and 5.5% respectively from 1980 to 2008. This was relative to a counterfactual without climate change. WMO asserts that between 1976 and 2006 the number of areas and people affected by droughts went up by almost 20%. In 2003, Europe experienced its warmest summer since the records began, resulting in about €12 billion in economic losses and €1.5 billion in 2007, in Greece, Moldava and the rest of the Southeastern Europe. Crop failure was widespread, forest fires raged and tourists deserted holiday spots in droves, put off by water restrictions, scorched landscapes and record temperatures (Demuth, 2009).

In Europe, many studies about the impacts of climate on agriculture have been made in the last decade (Olesen, Bindi, 2002; Parry, et al., 2004; Rounsevell, et al., 2005; Maracchi, et al., 2005; Berry, et al., 2005; Iglesias, et al., 2009, 2011; Potop, 2011; Holzkämper, et al., 2011; Gobin, 2012). All these studies and Climate Crop model, which estimates the combined effects of projected changes in temperature, rainfall as well as CO₂ concentration across Europe, consider-
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In Romania, the impact of climate on agriculture was studied by Sandu et al., 2010, Mateescu and Alexandru, 2010, which show that the winter wheat can benefit from the interaction between increased CO2 concentrations and higher air temperatures, while maize is vulnerable to climate change, mainly in the case of a scenario predicting hot and droughty conditions. According to the ClimateCrop model for the 2050s compared with 1961-1990 for 12 different climate models projections under the A1B emission scenario (Figure 1), in the South of Romania, there have been projected changes in water-limited crop yield, between -5 and 5% in the south-eastern part of the country and between -5 and -15% in the south-western part.

This paper presents the impact of drought on the crop yield within Oltenia Plain, which was observed between two political periods, 1971-1989 and 1990-2013. The changes of the political system after ’89 determined the appearance of some negative effects like fragmentation of farming land and degradation of irrigation systems, which made the crops more vulnerable to drought phenomenon.

Methods and data

In this study, there were used Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and Aridity Index of E. de Martonne (IM), based on precipitation and temperature data registered at seven weather stations (Calafat, Bailesti, Bechet, Tarnu Magurele, Caracal, Craiova and D.T. Severin) from 1971 to 2013.
1961 to 2013. According to the distance between the administrative territorial units (ATU) and weather stations, there were delineated seven agricultural subregions (Figure 2, Table 1) and it was calculated the crops yield for each subregion in order to establish the relationship between climate conditions from each weather station and the crops yield. The agricultural data sets are represented by winter wheat & rye (the values are cumulated), maize and sunflower reported by the National Institute of Statistics (1971-2004) and Agricultural Department for the period 2005-2013. Landsat images were used to calculate NDVI, which show the vegetation growth in the dry years.

### Table 1. The agricultural regions and the weather station within Oltenia Plain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>No. ATU</th>
<th>Weather station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2-Calafat</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Calafat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3-Bailesti</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bailesti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4-Craiova</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Craiova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5-Bechet</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bechet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6-Caracal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Caracal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7-Turnu Magurele</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Turnu Magurele</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) is one of the most commonly used indicators of meteorological drought monitoring (McKee, et al. 1993; Hayes, et al., 1999; Paltineanu, 2008; Potop, 2011), which can be established for each time scale (1, 3, 6, 12 months, etc.).

According with Edwards, McKee, 1997, the SPI involves fitting a gamma probability density function to a given frequency distribution of precipitation totals for a climate station. The gamma distribution is defined by its frequency or probability density function:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

where $\alpha$ is a shape parameter ($\alpha > 0$), $\beta$ is a scale parameter ($\beta > 0$), $x$ is the precipitation amount ($x > 0$), and

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y} \, dy$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the gamma function. The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ parameters of the gamma probability density function are estimated for each station, for each time scale of interest (3 months, 12 months, 48 months, etc.), and for each month of the year. Edwards & McKee (1997) suggest estimating $\alpha$ and $\beta$ using the maximum likelihood solutions of Thom (1966):
The resulting parameters are then used to find the cumulative probability of an observed precipitation event for the given month and time scale for the station in question. The cumulative probability is given by:

\[
G(x) = \frac{\int_0^x g(x)dx}{\int_0^{\alpha} \beta \Gamma(\alpha) e^{-x/\alpha} \beta - \alpha} \int_0^x \beta ^{x-1} e^{-x/\beta} dx
\]

where:

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{4A} 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4A}{3}}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{x}{\alpha}
\]

\[A = \ln(x) - \frac{\sum \ln(x)}{n}\]

\[n = \text{number of precipitation observations}\]

The weakness of the SPI is that it is based only on precipitation. Therefore, in this study it was used Aridity Index of E. de Martonne (IM) based on both temperature and precipitation (Dumitrascu, 2006; Paltineanu, 2007; Vladut, 2010; Dumitrascu, 2012; Pravalie, et al., 2013), IM$_{\text{monthly}} = 12^\ast \pi_i/(Ti+10)$, where, Pi is the monthly precipitation amount (mm) and Ti (°C) is the respective mean monthly air-temperature for summer season, IM$_{\text{summer}} = (IM_{VI} + IM_{VII} + IM_{VIII})/3$. IM is used for determination of irrigation demand, the supplement irrigation water becomes necessary when IM < 20 (WMO, 1975 and Nastos, et al., 2013 cited by Shahabfar, Eitzinger, 2013).

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is the most used remote sensing index for monitoring the vegetation growth (Rouse, et al. 1974; Gitelson, 2012; Julien, 2006; Sonnenschein, et al., 2011) and is defined as: \(\left(\frac{\text{pNIR}-\text{pRED}}{\text{pNIR} + \text{pRED}}\right)\), where pNIR is the reflectance of the vegetation in near-infrared and pRED is the reflectance of the vegetation in red. The Landsat images are available at Internet 3.

### Results and discussion

#### Assessment of the drought during the vegetation period of crops

To highlight the drought event for growing crops period it was calculated the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) for 12-months (the end of September), 8-months (the end of May) and 5-months (the end of August). Besides, the De Martonne Index was calculated for the summer season because in this period high temperature determine increase of the evapotranspiration, which has a negative impact on the crops yield of the maize and sunflower.

As results, the SPI$_{12}$ registered the lowest values in 1993, between -3.24 (Caracal station) and -1.23 (Drobeta Turnu Severin station); at all meteorological stations it was registered extreme drought, except D.T. Severin, where it was moderate drought (Figure 3). Other years with extreme drought were 1974 (only at Turnu Magurele, -2.02), 1985 (Bechet, -2.27 and Turnu Magurele -2.16) and 1992 (-2.39 at Băilesti, -2.32 at Calafat and -2.17 at D.T. Severin), and severe drought it was registered in 1974, 1990, 1992, 1993 and 2002 (Figure 3). The SPI$_{12}$ values show that extreme drought was 2-4% from 52 years (1962-2013), severe drought 2%, moderate drought 10-11% at all meteorological stations and more than 65% were normal years.

The SPI$_{8}$ values (the end of May), show that the lowest values were recorded in 2002 (Craiova, -3.61; D.T. Severin, -3.55; Calafat, -3.21), exceeding the SPI$_{12}$ and SPI$_{8}$ values from 1993. This situation is reflected on the production of wheat and rye from both years; therefore, in 2002, the production was less than in 1993. Severe and extreme drought was indicated by SPI$_{8}$ in 1968, 1983, 1985, 1992, 2001 and 2007 (Figure 4). The frequency of the drought events is higher in the south-western part of Oltenia Plain (173 % at Calafat and Băilesti) than in the north (135 % at Craiova) and west (115 % at D.T. Severin). In the same time, normal years were recorded more than 70 % at D.T.Severin (76.9%), Craiova (73.1 %) and Calafat (71.2 %) and between 63.5 % and 67.3% at Băilesti, Bechet, Turnu Magurele and Caracal.

The assessment of the SPI$_{8}$ values (the end of August) is very important for maize and sunflower. The vegetation period of these crops starts at the beginning of April and ends at the end of August. So, the values of SPI$_{8}$ reveal the extreme drought in 2000 (-2.72 at Caracal, -2.44 at Turnu Magurele and -2.07 at Craiova) and in 1993 at the Craiova station and Caracal (-2.63 and respectively -2.53). The severe drought

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPI Values</th>
<th>Drought Category</th>
<th>Time in Category (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.99 to 0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.00 to -1.49</td>
<td>Moderate drought</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.50 to -1.99</td>
<td>Severe drought</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ -2.00</td>
<td>Extreme drought</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was registered in 1962, 1988, 1993, 1996 and 2000 (Figure 5). The percentage of drought years in the southwestern part of Oltenia Plain is greater than the eastern part (15-17 % at D.T. Severin, Calafat, Bailesti and Bechet and 11.3 – 13.2% at Craiova, Caracal and Turnu Magurele).

Other important climatic parameter during the vegetation period of the maize and sunflower along with precipitation amount, is temperature. So, it was calculated the De Martonne Index (IM\textsubscript{summer}) for three months (June, July and August) in order to identify the period when the crops require water from irrigation systems, evapotranspiration surpassing the water from precipitation. For example, July 2007 was an extremely dry month, not only due to the decrease of the precipitation amount but also as a result of high temperature (Sandu, et al., 2010; Ontel, Morosanu, 2014). The temperature trends in summer increased between 1961 and 2010 and the trends are statistically significant (more than 99% confidence level) at all meteorological within Oltenia Plain (Vladut, Ontel, 2013). Therefore, IM\textsubscript{summer} registered the lowest values

![Figure 3. Standardized Precipitation Index for 12 months (Oct. - Sept.)](image1)

![Figure 4. Standardized Precipitation Index for 8 months (Oct. - May)](image2)

![Figure 5. Standardized Precipitation Index for 5 months (Apr.- Aug.)](image3)
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Assessment of the impact of drought on the crops yield

Between 1971 and 2013, the crop yield within the Oltenia Plain has been influenced by many factors (social, political, economic and climatic). In terms of political system, it can be distinguished two periods: the communist (1971-1989) and the post-communist period (1990-2013). In the first period, the crop production was less vulnerable to the climatic factors and the trend of the crop yield was an increasing one. According to Fraser, Stringer (2009), and Stringer, Harris (2013) productivity was maintained through the irrigation systems, intensive fertiliser application and the plantation of shelterbelts. In the second period, the relationship between crop production and climatic conditions was closer; therefore in the post-communist period, vegetation was more vulnerable to the climatic factors than in the first period.

The wheat and the rye (Figure 7) registered, between 1990 and 2013, the lowest productions in 2002 and 2007 (< 1,000 kg/ha for all agricultural areas, 283 kg/ha at the Bailesti region, 401 kg/ha at the Craiova region, 449 kg/ha at the Calafat region, etc.); values below the mean were also recorded in 1993, 1996, 2000, 2003 and 2012. The productivity has been decreasing between 1990 and 2013; however, the dependence coefficient of the linear trends have been statistically insignificant ($R^2=0.16$ within Caracal region, $R^2=0.13$ within Turnu Magurele region, $R^2=0.05$ within Bechet region, $R^2=0.02$ Bailesti and very close by 0 within Craiova and D.T.Severin regions), (Figure 7). Observing the variability of the wheat and rye yield (Figure 7) and the SPI$_8$ (Figure 4), it can be assumed that the lowest productions were registered in drought years 1993, 2002, 2007, except 1996 when the wheat productions had low values (~1,500 kg/ha) even if the values of SPI$_8$ were between 1 and -1 at all the meteorological stations. In 2002, the wheat productivity decreased with 54.1% than 1998 (normal year according SPI$_8$) and with 48.9% than mean of the post-com-

Figure 6. De Martonne Index for the summer season

Figure 7. The wheat and rye yield within Oltenia Plain (1990-2013)
munist period. In 1993, it decreased with 27.9 % than mean and in 2007 with 70 % than mean and with 66.7 % than 2006 (normal year according SPI value).

Significant relationships were found between wheat & rye yield and the SPI\textsubscript{8} values (Table 3) in post-communist period, \( r = 0.578 \) for Bailesti and \( r = 0.517 \) for Bechet, which according to Bravais-Pearson test correlations are significant at the 0.01 level and for Craiova and Calafat the correlations are significant at the 0.05 level, while in the communist period the correlations were statistically insignificant. Therefore, wheat was more vulnerable to drought in last twenty four years than in the first period.

The maize and sunflower yields are significantly different between the 1971-1989 and 1990-2013 periods; the mean of the first period was 3,900 kg/ha for maize and 1,700 kg/ha for sunflower, while the second was 3,100 kg/ha for maize and 1,250 kg/ha for sunflower, which means that the yield of the two crops has decreased. As in the case of wheat and rye, the variability of maize (Figure 8) and sunflower (Figure 9) yield, from 1990 to 2013 was influenced by climatic conditions, especially by drought. Therefore, the maize registered production less than 1,000 kg/ha in 1993 (202.4 kg/ha within Turnu Magurele region, 290.2 kg/ha within Bailesti region, between 313 and 350 kg/ha within D.T.Severin, Calafat and Craiova) and 2000 (325.6 kg/ha within Craiova region, 300-600 kg/ha Turnu Magurele, Caracal and Bailesti and 800-900 kg/ha D.T. Severin and Bechet), 2002 (the values have been between 275 and 832 kg/ha) and 2007 (200-590 kg/ha). In those years, the maize yield de-

**Figure 8.** The maize yield within Oltenia Plain (1990-2013)

**Figure 9.** The sunflower yield within Oltenia Plain (1990-2013)
### Table 3. The correlation between crop yield and SPI and the statistical significance according to Bravais-Pearson test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>D.T. Severin</th>
<th>Calafat</th>
<th>Bailesti</th>
<th>Bechet</th>
<th>Craiova</th>
<th>Caracal</th>
<th>Turnu Magurele</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat &amp; rye</td>
<td>1990-2013</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.469 *</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.578 **</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.517 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>1990-2013</td>
<td>0.452 *</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.543 **</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.666 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>1990-2013</td>
<td>0.606 *</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.491 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (2-tailed); ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); – No Data; r - Correlation Coefficient; SS - Statistical Significances; N - Number of pairs.

### Table 4. The correlation between crop yield and De Martonne Index and the statistical significance according to Bravais-Pearson test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>D.T. Severin</th>
<th>Calafat</th>
<th>Bailesti</th>
<th>Bechet</th>
<th>Craiova</th>
<th>Caracal</th>
<th>Turnu Magurele</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>1990-2013</td>
<td>0.436 *</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.530 **</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.552 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>1990-2013</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1989</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (2-tailed); ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); – No Data; r - Correlation Coefficient; SS - Statistical Significances; N - Number of pairs
creased with respect to the mean of the period (1990-2013), with 77 % in 2000, 83.8 % in 2002, 86.5 % in 1993 and 87 % in 2007.

The correlations between maize yield and SPI for 1990-2013 were significant at the 0.001 level, $r = 0.666$ at Bechet, $r = 0.645$ at Caracal, significant at the 0.01 level, $r = 0.609$ at Turnu Magurele, $r = 0.543$ Baleshti, 0.518 at Craiova, while for 1971-1989 period, the correlations were statistically insignificant according to Bravais-Pearson test (Table 3).

Analyzing the correlations between maize yield and De Martonne Index it has been observed that the correlation coefficients were lower than in the first case, which means that precipitation plays a greater role in the variability of maize yield than temperatures (Table 4).

The sunflower yield decreased by 50.2 % in 1993, 58.7 % in 2000, 72.7 % in 2002 and 70.8 % in 2007 (Figure 9). The correlation between SPI and sunflower yield for 1990-2013 period was significant at the 0.05 level, while for 1971-1989 period the correlation was statistically insignificant (Table 3). The values of the correlation coefficient for the De Martonne Index and sunflower were statistically significant only for Caracal and Turnu Magurele, at the 0.05 level (Table 4).

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index values vary between -1 and +1. According to Weier, Herrng (2000), very low values of NDVI (0.1 and below) correspond to barren areas of rock, sand, or snow and moderate values represent shrub and grassland (0.2 to 0.3), while high values indicate temperate and tropical rainforests (0.6 to 0.8) (Internet 4). The NDVI shows the low density of vegetation in dry years (Figure 10), particularly in 2000, when there have been registered values between -0.2 and 0.1, which confirm the decrease of the maize and sunflower production in this year. The values of NDVI in 1985, 1993 and 2007 were higher than in 2000, these ranging from -0.2 to 0.1, which confirm the decrease of the maize and sunflower production in this year. According to Landsat images, vegetation in the dry years after `89 was affected throughout the plain whatever the type of soil or regional socio-economic conditions.

**Conclusion**

The assessment of the variability of drought phenomenon at different time scale shows that the frequency of dry years was higher from 1983 to 2002, in the rest of the period predominating positive values of precipitation amounts. However, the upward trends of air-tem-
peratures determined the intensification of dry periods in the last decade and increased the demand for water in agriculture, which according to the climatic model by the 2050s the mean relative changes in water-limited crop yield within Oltenia Plain, will be between -15 to -5 %, due to temperature increase.

Drought is the most important climatic factor influencing crop growth and yield. Vulnerability of crops increased in the last 24 years due to the political and socio-economic conditions, which lead to the degradation of the irrigation system and fragmentation of crops. It was found that the crop yield decreased by 50 – 70 % in the dry years of the post-communist period, while in 1971-1989 period, there were observed upward trends, the production decrease being statistically insignificant.

The relationships between dry years and crop yield were statistically significant according to Bravai-Pearson test for the 1990-2013 period, depending on the type of crop, so the correlation coefficients for maize and wheat were higher than in case of the sunflower correlation. The impact of the drought on the vegetation can be confirmed by NDVI, which has very low values in dry years.
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