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Abstract
Pluvial flooding is a result of overland flow and ponding before the runoff enters any watercourse, drainage system or sewer, or cannot enter it because the network is full to capacity, usually caused by intense rainfall. River and coastal floods get the most attention since they are largest and last the longest, while pluvial floods are relatively marginalized in research. Therefore, the main goal of this research was to show risk posed by pluvial floods, their connection to current global climate change processes, present effects of flooding in European cities, as well as what we can expect in the future. Furthermore, the aims were to present and get more familiar with scientific projects, strategies, directives and measures devised both on national and international levels, that deal with urban pluvial flood issues across the European continent. Climate change projections indicate that there will be an increase in the frequency and intensity of rainfall events throughout Europe and along with ongoing urbanization, the problem of pluvial flooding will most certainly require more attention, which it is starting to receive. Some countries have already developed their strategies and initiatives and implemented both structural and non-structural measures, such as spatial planning, constructional measures, information systems, reducing land sealing through policies, building codes and standards, on-site improvement of retention, infiltration, evaporation, and rainfall water recycling with the use of green roofs, permeable or porous pavements, rain gardening or urban rainwater harvesting. At the same time, there are numerous research papers, studies, conferences and workshops devoted to the problem of pluvial flooding and its management carried out in an attempt to properly deal with this hazard.
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Introduction
Floods are the most prevalent natural hazard in Europe. Between 1998 and 2009, Europe suffered over 213 major damaging floods (Bakker et al., 2013). Coastal and river floods receive the most attention as they are generally the floods that are largest and last the longest, while pluvial floods are relatively underrepresented in research (Nicklin et al., 2019), most likely due to the smaller scale of individual events (Dawson et
Climate change and pluvial flooding

The occurrence of pluvial or flash floods due to high-intensity rainfall events is nothing new. However, it appears that the frequency with which they are happening, their impact on human lives, damage and disruption is increasing, very likely because of the climate change, and unfortunately it’s predicted to increase further (Falconer et al., 2009).

As presented by IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (2014) on the world-wide impacts of climate change on rainfall extremes and urban drainage, it was ob-
served that typical increases in rainfall intensity at small urban hydrology scales range from 10% to 60% from control periods in the recent past (typically 1961–1990) up to 2100 (Figure 1). These changes in extreme short-duration rainfall events may have significant impacts for urban drainage systems and pluvial flooding. The Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) predicts that the intensity of the heavy downpours will rise by 20-50% by 2100, the most for the very rare events which will have great implication on how the rain will run off surfaces and on the burden on sewer systems and watercourses (Copenhagen Climate Adaptation Plan, 2009).

Climate change is expected to increase the frequency and intensity of rainfall events throughout Europe (Sušnik et al., 2014), especially in the central and northern parts ("STAR-FLOOD"; https://www.star-flood.eu/). Flood hazard may also rise during wetter and warmer winters, with increasingly more frequent rain and less frequent snow ("STAR-FLOOD"; https://www.star-flood.eu/), while warmer atmosphere will hold higher amount of water vapor (Kundzewicz, 2015).

There will be a marked increase in extremes in Europe, in particular, in heat waves, droughts, and heavy precipitation events, according to the Fifth IPCC Assessment Report (2014). Changes in extreme precipitation depend on the region, with high probability of increased extreme precipitation in Northern Europe (all seasons) and Continental Europe (except summer). This may result in more frequent and more intense floods of various types such as local, sudden floods (flash floods); extensive, longer-lasting pluvial and fluvial floods; coastal floods and snowmelt floods (Menne & Murray, 2013). With the expected changes, the drainage system built today probably won’t be able to meet the desired service levels in the future (Zhou et al., 2012).

Figure 1. Projected seasonal changes in heavy precipitation for the period 2071–2100 compared to 1971–2000: a) in the months December to February (DJF) and b) June to August (JJA).

Source: IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, 2014
On the other hand, some authors state that climate-change impacts on future extreme precipitation, and consequently on pluvial flooding, is surrounded by large uncertainties. One of the uncertainties lies in the incomplete understanding of processes and components in the Earth’s system, resulting in large model uncertainties and thus large variations in projected change of future precipitation extremes between different models (Kaspersen et al., 2017). In addition, climate models provide an assessment of only anthropogenic impacts and usually don’t account for natural changes that will occur at the same time, while questions arise about the assumptions behind the climate models and how these assumptions influence the projections (Arnbjerg-Nielsen et al., 2013).

However, the uncertainties associated with climate change should not be an argument for delaying investigating its possible impact on pluvial flooding or postponing adaptation actions. Instead, uncertainties should be accounted for while flexible and sustainable solutions should be sought, some of which will be presented in the following sections.

**Current risks from pluvial flooding and future projections**

Risks and adverse effects posed by pluvial flooding are numerous (Figure 2). The direct and indirect impacts of extreme weather include losses in economic terms, the damaging and destruction of private buildings and urban infrastructure, the loss of human lives and the degradation of safety and the deterioration of water quality (Szewrański et al., 2018a). In addition, flooding, especially as a result of intense precipitation, is the predominant cause of weather-related disruption to the transport sector (Pregnonato et al., 2017) and traffic delay and inconvenience (Zhou et al., 2012). Examples of indirect effects are also lost working hours and health impacts on affected residents, which can manifest if sewer water flows onto streets or if pluvial flood water stands stagnant (Sušnik et al., 2014). Furthermore, indirect impacts may occur beyond the location and time of a flood event, such as long-lasting trauma and stress (Szewrański et al., 2018b). On the other hand, average mortality for just drainage floods is low. More than half of the drainage events in the dataset causes one or zero fatalities (Jonkman & Vrijling, 2008).

According to the European Environment Agency (2012) there are several factors that tend to increase the risk of pluvial flooding:

- Old drainage infrastructure often does not keep pace with an on-going urbanization.
- Combined sewer systems in older areas (rainfall drains into sewers that are carrying sewage and both are transferred to sewage treatment) which are more vulnerable to excessive rainfall than a separate treatment.
• The existence of inadequate maintenance of the drainage channels to monitor debris and solid waste within such systems.
• Inadequate discharge of excess water to the regional water system.

Douglas et al. (2010) analyzed potential weak points of risk management of serious pluvial flooding in the case study of flooding in Heywood, Greater Manchester in 2004 and 2006. Here it was revealed that all agencies involved in flood risk management, and in particular planners, require more robust, and more localized data. This study has also highlighted that the general public are confused about who does what and who is responsible for pluvial flood risk management, and are not so well informed about how best to protect their properties. Also, many agencies underestimate the ongoing health and social effects of flooding.

Modeling studies show that urbanization and increasing rainfall intensity will increase drainage overflow volumes that will result in more frequent and severe pluvial flooding (Miller & Hutchins, 2017). At present about 55% of the global population live in cities and by 2050 almost two thirds of the world’s population will live in urban environments (Sörensen et al., 2016). Over 80% of the population in Britain lives in urban areas while it’s predicted that population growth will reach 74.3 million by 2039 (Miller & Hutchins, 2017).

A new study shows that the total urban area exposed to flooding in Europe has increased by 1000% over the past 150 years (Jongman, 2018). This means that urbanization with an increase of non-permeable surfaces and lack of natural drainage created additional flooding issues that did not previously exist and that never before there had been so many human assets that were in the way of floods like today. And according to Kazmierczak & Cavan (2011), the negative correlations between green space cover and the proportion of an area susceptible to flooding suggest that the increasing amount of sealed surfaces in an area aggravates the problem of flooding through increased runoff and reduced infiltration capacity. Furthermore, Guerreiro et al. (2017) developed a map of Europe which represents a percentage of city flooded for historical hourly rainfall for a 10-year return period (Figure 3).

The growing urban population and degree of urbanization puts great pressure on the existing drainage systems, increasing the likelihood of them being overwhelmed (“Urban pluvial flooding and climate change: London (UK), Rafina (Greece) and Coimbra (Portugal)”; https://www.imperial.ac.uk/grantham/research/resources-and-pollution/water-security-and-flood-risk/urban-flooding/). Systems currently designed for a 20-year return period of flooding, might flood with a mean recurrence interval of 5 years by the end of the century (“Flash floods and Urban flooding”; https://www.climatechangepost.com). On 7th August in 2002, an inch of rain fell in central London in 30 minutes during the evening “rush hour”, resulting in the closure of 5 mainline railway stations, and considerable disruption as London’s drainage infrastructure was too old and overloaded to cope with such events (Crichton, 2005). According to the UK statistics (“Facts About Floods in the UK”; https://rainbow-int-franchise.co.uk/flooding-statistics-uk/) the residents of around 2.4 million UK properties are at risk from fluvial and coastal flooding each year, while a further 2.8 million are susceptible to surface water – or pluvial – flooding.

Kaspersen et al. (2017) in their research found that urban development in Odense and Vienna influences the extent of flooding considerably, while only marginally affecting the degree of flooding for Strasbourg and Nice. This suggests that, while further soil sealing in Odense and Vienna (and similar urban areas) should be considered very carefully, as it may substantially increase their exposure to pluvial flooding, urban development effect on pluvial flooding varies locally and should be considered with that in mind.

The financial implications of pluvial flooding can be significant. It is estimated that in the Netherlands, between 1986 and 2009 the total damage from pluvial floods was €674 million (Sušnik et al., 2014). Nicklin et al. (2019) did the research and used a combination of 3D flood modelling and the WSS (Dutch ‘Waterschadeschatter’) flood damage estimation tool to assess
direct flood damage from a 60 mm/1-h pluvial flood event in two urban areas: Belgrave (Leicester, United Kingdom) and Lombardijen (Rotterdam, the Netherlands). For Belgrave, direct damage was estimated at roughly €11 million, while for Lombardijen direct damage was €12.4 million. In England and Wales during summer of 2007 there were about 48 000 households and nearly 7300 businesses flooded (Menne & Murray, 2013) while insurance claims from the homes and businesses affected are approaching €3 billion and other costs amount to around €1 billion (Environment Agency, 2007). According to Bernet et al. (2017) in Switzerland, of all damage due to surface water floods and fluvial floods between 1999 and 2013, surface water floods are responsible for at least 45% of the flood damage to buildings and 23% of the associated direct tangible losses.

Houston et al. (2011) estimated that almost 2 million people in urban areas in the UK face an annual 0.5 per cent probability (‘1 in 200-year’) of pluvial flooding. Most of the areas with lower percentage of city flooded are in the north and west coastal parts of Europe, while the higher percentages are predominately in continental and Mediterranean areas (Guerreiro et al., 2017). When talking about the Mediterranean region, major population and economic growth has taken place along its coast in the past century, which led to extension of urban settlements inside flood prone areas (Gaume et al., 2016). Lugeri et al. (2006) analyzed flood risk exposure in 13 European countries and found that Slovenia has the highest share of urban fabric built in flood prone areas - more than 70%.

An estimated 3.8 million properties are thought to be at risk from pluvial flooding in England which represent around 10% of all properties, while in Scotland some 15 000 properties have been estimated to be at pluvial flood risk (Houston et al., 2011). The expected annual damages from urban flooding in the UK are estimated at £0.27 billion which compares to £0.6 - 2.1 billion for fluvial and coastal flooding and the estimate for the future is that this could be as much as £2 to 15 billion by 2080 compared to £1.5 – 20 billion for fluvial and coastal flooding (Dawson et al., 2008). Furthermore, Evans et al. (2008) in the Pitt Review estimated that the future risk from the intra-urban system flooding might rise by the 2080s to be of the same order as fluvial and coastal flood risk.

Menne & Murray (2013) did the research on the floods in the European region and their health effects and found that in the period between 2005 and 2010, 16 countries were affected by pluvial floods: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Republic of Moldova, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Tajikistan, Republic of North Macedonia, Turkey, Ukraine, United Kingdom (England and Wales). And as mentioned in the previous part, with the projection for the continuous increase of heavy rain contribution to total precipitation (Santato et al., 2013) and with current urbanization and population growth, it is estimated that by 2050, 3.2 million people in urban areas in the UK could be at risk from pluvial flooding, which is an increase of 1.2 million (Houston et al., 2011).

Figure 4, developed by European Environment Agency (2012), shows the projected change in the annual number of days with heavy rainfall in 2071–2100 against the reference period (1961–1990). Projections for regions south of the Alps show a decline in the number of days with extreme precipitation of up to...
five days and more. Most regions north of the Alps expect an increase, mostly of one to three days. In addition, this map shows the degree of mean soil sealing per urbanized areas of cities. Cities with high soil sealing and an increasing number of intensive rainfall events — in particular in north-western and northern Europe — face a higher risk of urban drainage flooding. Nevertheless, cities in areas with a decreasing number of such events but high soil sealing still face a flooding risk, just less often. Cities of high and low soil sealing can be found in all regions and do not cluster in a particular region with the exception of low sealing levels in cities in Finland, Norway, Slovenia and Sweden. Cyprus, Estonia, Greece and Luxembourg are countries with a high share of cities with elevated levels of soil sealing.

Examples of pluvial flooding events across European continent

Gaume et al. (2009) have compiled a comprehensive data record of flash floods for seven European hydrometeorological regions. This inventory was the first step towards an atlas of extreme flash floods in Europe while the objective was to document a minimum number of 30 floods in each region, especially the events considered as the most extreme or “top 30” flash floods which are homogeneously distributed over the selected period. However, this research didn’t include pluvial floods and there couldn’t be found any similar analysis that would focus on pluvial flooding events in Europe. Therefore, this section will provide a few examples of pluvial flooding occurrences that had significant economic and social impact on the communities in Europe affected by this hazard.

In the summer of 2007, floods that struck much of the United Kingdom during June and July affected hundreds of thousands of people. This event was the most serious inland pluvial and fluvial flood ever recorded, with 13 deaths, about 7000 people rescued from floodwaters by the emergency services, and about 48 000 households and nearly 7300 businesses flooded (Menne & Murray, 2013), while the insurance claims from the homes and businesses flooded approached £3 billion (Environment Agency, 2007). The floods caused the loss of essential services, almost half a million people were without water or electricity supply, transport networks failed, a dam breach was narrowly averted, and emergency facilities and telecommunications were put out of action (Menne & Murray, 2013).

During June, July, and August of 2007 a succession of depressions tracked over the UK, bringing heavy rainfall and triggering multiple flooding events (Stuart-Menteth, 2007). With 414 mm of rain, England and Wales haven’t seen a wetter May to July since records began in 1766 (Environment Agency, 2007). On 12th June 2007, a total of 98.3 mm of rain fell in one hour in East and South Belfast which resulted in both fluvial flash flooding and pluvial flooding which caused major disruption throughout Belfast with over 400 properties affected (Falconer, 2009). Two particularly large floods hit within just four weeks of each other. First, the northeast of England was badly affected following heavy rainfall on June 25th, which caused flooding in cities and towns such as Sheffield, Doncaster, Rotherham, Louth, and Kingston-upon-Hull (Figure 5). Some areas were hit again by further flooding after more severe rains on July 20th, which affected a much larger area of central England, including Oxford, Gloucester, Tewkesbury, Evesham, and Abingdon (Stuart-Menteth, 2007). According to the emergency services, that summer saw the greatest number of search and rescue missions in the country since the Second World War (Environment Agency, 2007).

Figure 5. Pluvial floods in the UK in summer 2007: A) Tewkesbury, England; B) Toll Bar, Yorkshire, England.
Source: Stuart-Menteth, 2007
Just a couple of years before this event, at the end of July in 2002, another extreme case of storms affected much of the UK, especially West and central Scotland, and produced extreme amount of rainfall at several locations in localized intense heavy downpours generating surface water flooding and pluvial flooding affecting small urban watercourses, drainage systems and sewers (Falconer, 2009). The full storm began at approximately 10:30 am on 30 July 2002 and continued for a total of approximately 10 hours, it measured 75mm depth and had a maximum intensity of 94.5 mm/h which can be linked to a maximum return period of 100 years (Wilson & Spiers, 2003).

According to the European Environment Agency (2012), on July 2nd 2011, Copenhagen in Denmark was hit by a huge thunderstorm after a substantially hot period. During a two hour period over, 150 mm of rain fell in the city centre. This became the biggest single rainfall in Copenhagen since measurements began in the mid-1800s. The city’s sewers were unable to handle all of the water and as a result many streets were flooded and sewers overflowed into houses, basements and onto streets thereby flooding the city (Figure 6). Insurance damages alone were estimated at €650–700 million. Damage to municipal infrastructure not covered by insurance, such as roads, amounted to €65 million.

The Marmara region in north-western Turkey suffered from a series of floods during the period from 7th until 10th September in 2009, with 35 000 people affected, 32 human losses and more than $100 million of economic damage. The 24-hour rainfall amounts varied between 100 and 253 mm during the flooding period and additional factors such as land use changes, urbanization, poor drainage, and construction and settling in the flood-prone areas worsened consequences of the floods, especially in major urban areas of the region. Istanbul suffered most from floods where some suburban districts were submerged and the city’s highways were turned into rivers and transportation and communication infrastructures were damaged (Kömuşçü & Çelik, 2012).

On the 18th September in 2007 an extreme rainfall event affected approximately one-third of Slovenia, causing the damage of €200 million and six casualties (Rusjan et al., 2009). In the town Železniki, the observed maximum daily amount of rainfall was nearly 200 mm, which was the highest recorded amount of precipitation since the beginning of the measurements in 1930 and it devastated the town of Železniki: three people lost their lives, while it was estimated that the flood caused nearly €100 million of damage (Markošek, 2008). In June of 2010 storms hit the south-east of France and the large amounts of heavy rain led to localized flash flooding and pluvial flooding which caused severe damage and loss of life in southern France, and a number of towns in the department of Var were affected, with hundreds of homes flooded (Moreau & Roumagnac, 2010). Torrential rainfall hit southern Italy and produced major flooding in parts of Sicily and Calabria on October 4th 2018. The urban area of Catania, Sicily was strongly hit where streets turned into rivers (Figure 7). Catania experienced intense rainfall with about 50 mm falling in only 20 minutes as the severe thunderstorm passed (“Major flash floods hit urban areas of Catania, Sicily”; http://www.severe-weather.eu/news/major-flash-floods-hit-urban-areas-of-catania-sicily/). In May and June of 2016, Germany was struck with recurring thunderstorms, with damage across Germany totaled €2.6 billion (Faust, 2018). Parts of Germany have come to a standstill after storms and torrential rain, especially in the south in May this year as well. One person died and daily life has been disrupted. Heavy rain and thunderstorms, mainly in southern and central Germany, have left rivers overflowing and streets flooded (Silk, 2019).

Figure 6. Pluvial flood in Copenhagen, Denmark on 2nd July, 2011
Pluvial flooding risk management

**Adopted measures and strategies**

Measures and strategies that increase the specific response capacity of cities to flooding, according to Swart et al. (2012), can be classified into structural and non-structural measures or into grey, green and soft measures (Figure 8). The response capacity measures include spatial planning, constructional measures, risk acceptance, behavioral adaptation, information systems, technical flood protection and increasing natural water retention in catchment areas and reducing land sealing. Structural measures decrease the risk and they are mostly effective, but they usually involve management problems. On the other hand, non-structural measures reduce vulnerability and when they are permanent they are reliable but can be socially costly while when they are temporary and less costly they become less reliable (Working Group F, 2010). These can be classified as passive and active where active non-structural measures are those that promote direct interaction with people, such as training, local management, early warning systems for people, public information, while passive measures involve policies, building codes and standards, and land use regulations (Acosta-Coll et al., 2018). Some of the adaptation measures involve the on-site improvement of retention, infiltration, evaporation, and rainfall water recycling with the use of green roofs, permeable or porous pavements, rain gardening, urban rainwater harvesting, or the application of water-absorbing geocomposites (Szewrański et al., 2018b).

The problem of pluvial flooding is slowly starting to receive more attention, according to the interviews conducted by Mees et al. (2016) and numerous research papers (Candela & Aronica, 2016; Falconer et al., 2009; Szewrański et al., 2018a/b; Fritsch et al., 2016), conferences and workshops (Third Hydrology Forum, Oslo, 2016; Flash Floods and Pluvial Flooding Workshop, Calgari, 2010; 3rd European Conference on Flood Risk Management, Lyon, 2016) done on this topic. Through further examples of different projects, strategies and initiatives implemented in European countries separately or in mutual cooperation across the continent, various methods of urban pluvial flooding management will be observed.

For instance, The EU Directive on the assessment and management of flood risks (pluvial floods included), often referred to as the Floods Directive, entered into force on 26th November 2007, which main aim is to reduce and manage the risks posed by floods to human health, the environment, cultural heritage and economic activity (Bakker et al., 2013). Floods Directive contains a three-stage approach: first, a preliminary flood risk assessment must be undertaken, then flood hazard maps and flood risk maps are to be pre-
pared and in the final stage, member states must establish Flood Risk Management plans. Priest et al. (2016) did an analysis which indicates that the effect of the Flood Directive is highly variable among the six European countries they studied (Belgium, England, France, the Netherlands, Poland, and Sweden), but despite the shortcomings of the Flood Directive in directly affecting flood risk outcomes, it has had a positive influence in stimulating discussion and flood risk management planning in member states that were perhaps lagging behind. Or, another example, according to Land Use Consultants (2003) Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems, involves moving away from conventional piped systems and toward engineering solutions that mimic natural drainage processes and minimize adverse effects on the environment which may take the form of infiltration systems whereby water is soaked away into the ground or they may be attenuation systems, which release flows gradually to watercourses or sewers. Separate storm water and foul water systems can increase drainage capacity and reduce the likelihood of sewage mixing with pluvial flood water (Houston et al., 2011).

As mentioned previously, there are different uncertainties that surround risk assessments for urban flooding, particularly connected to the climate change models and small-scale projections of extreme precipitation. Kaspersen & Kirsten (2017) proposed a way to address these uncertainties by using a very detailed integrated data and modelling approach, such as the DIAS Danish Integrated Assessment System tool they described in detail, which can help identify particularly vulnerable and valuable assets that climate change adaptation measures should protect.

While the warning about extreme weather events in Germany is done nationwide by the German Meteorological Service, flood forecasting and warning is decentralized in Germany which poses the main challenge of handling of measured data coming from various providers and monitoring networks in individual formats (Osnabrugge et al., n.d.). German Water Association (DWA) set up different working groups with the aim to establish technical standards and provide affected interest groups with guidelines and practical advice which in the year 2013 during a heavy rainfall event with a return period of about 100 years has proven to significantly reduce flood risk and gain acceptance in public (Fritsch et al., 2016). As a further example, Hamburg has introduced a separate rain water drainage system in recent years and introduced financial penalties, if rain water is not locally drained by home owners (Schlünzen & Bohnenstengel, 2016).

Projects related with pluvial flooding issues

The following table represents various examples of different projects, strategies and initiatives implemented in European countries separately or in mutual cooperation across the continent that deal with and manage pluvial flooding.

Good examples of pluvial flooding risk management could be found outside of Europe as well and perhaps studied further in the attempt to adapt good practices from across the world. For example, China is currently in the process of implementing a policy initiative called sponge cities to holistically tackle urban pluvial flooding while promoting sustainable urban development with reduced environmental impact. This initiative is well-grounded in scientific under-
standing of urban hydrology and sustainable stormwater management. It seeks to mitigate rising flood disasters and related water and environmental issues by green infrastructure and low impact technologies integrated with urban development through planning and design (Jiang et al., 2018).

### Table 1. Summary of the projects related with pluvial flooding in urban areas across the European continent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Project title</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Realization</th>
<th>Country(ies)</th>
<th>webpage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FloodProBe (Flood Protection of the Built Environment)</td>
<td>Providing cost-effective solutions for flood risk reduction in urban areas and developing technologies, methods and tools for flood risk assessment and for the practical adaptation of new and existing buildings, critical infrastructure and flood defences leading to a better understanding of vulnerability, flood resilience and defence performance (&quot;Civil Engineering &amp; Environmental Hydraulics&quot;).</td>
<td>2009 - 2013</td>
<td>The Netherlands, UK, France, Norway, Poland, Spain.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.floodprobe.eu">http://www.floodprobe.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>STAR-FLOOD (Strengthening And Redesigning European Flood risk practices: Towards appropriate and resilient flood risk governance arrangements)</td>
<td>Analysing, explaining, evaluating and designing policies to better deal with flood risks from rivers in urban agglomerations across Europe.</td>
<td>2012 - 2016</td>
<td>The Netherlands, UK, Belgium, Sweden, Poland, France.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.starflood.eu">https://www.starflood.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Eye on Earth</td>
<td>Online mapping tool which shows the mean percentage of each city covered with impervious surfaces such as buildings, concrete or asphalt, where the soil is ‘sealed’.</td>
<td>2011 - 2016</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td><a href="https://eye-on-earth.net/">https://eye-on-earth.net/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IMPREX (Improving predictions and Management of Hydrological Extremes)</td>
<td>This project was designed to support the reduction of Europe's vulnerability to hydrological extremes through improved understanding of the intensity and frequency of future disrupting events and is built upon a strong team of experts from public and private sectors as well as universities and research institutes with complementary skills and experiences (Osnabrugge et al., n.d.).</td>
<td>2015-2019</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td><a href="https://www.imprex.eu/">https://www.imprex.eu/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FloodCitiSense</td>
<td>Integrating crowdsourced hydrological data, collaboratively monitored by local stakeholders, including citizens, making use of low-cost sensors and web-based technologies, into a flood early warning system.</td>
<td>2017-2020</td>
<td>Belgium, the Netherlands, UK</td>
<td><a href="http://floodcitisense.webflow.io/">http://floodcitisense.webflow.io/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FRC (FloodResilienCity)</td>
<td>Integrating the increasing demand for more houses and other buildings in urban areas with the increasing need for more and better flood risk management measures (Ashley et al., 2019).</td>
<td>2007-2013</td>
<td>France, Germany, Ireland, Belgium, England, the Netherlands</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FloodList</td>
<td>European system for Earth monitoring which reports on all the major flood events from around the world and raises awareness of the risks of flooding.</td>
<td>2008- present</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td><a href="http://floodlist.com">http://floodlist.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RainGain</td>
<td>European project aimed at improving the prediction of pluvial floods in cities where four different types of radar techniques was tested in four pilot cities: Leuven, London, Paris and Rotterdam.</td>
<td>2011-2016</td>
<td>Belgium, the Netherlands, France, UK</td>
<td><a href="http://www.raingain.eu">http://www.raingain.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>№</td>
<td>Project title</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Realization</td>
<td>Country(ies)</td>
<td>webpage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>URBAN-PREX</td>
<td>Project for monitoring, forecasting and development of online public early warning system for extreme precipitations and pluvial floods in urban areas in the Hungarian-Serbian cross-border region. Measured data from the two precipitation networks deployed in Novi Sad (Serbia) and Szeged (Hungary) (Figure 9) will be used to fine-tune the remotely sensed data thus enabling the development of more precise 2-day forecast model for the Programme area. Measured and forecasted data are freely available for everyone in real-time on the project website, project social pages and mobile android application giving an early warning to the citizens and public authorities in order to protect them and prepare their effective response to these extreme precipitations and pluvial flood situations.</td>
<td>2017-2019</td>
<td>Serbia, Hungary</td>
<td><a href="http://www.urban-prex.org/">http://www.urban-prex.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Amsterdam Rainproof</td>
<td>Making Amsterdam resistant to the increasingly frequent showers and make better use of the free rainwater that is being drained through water-permeable paving, green roofs and façade gardens.</td>
<td>2014-2050</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td><a href="https://www.rainproof.nl/">https://www.rainproof.nl/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>PLUIE Flood Prevention Plan (OECD - The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development)</td>
<td>Adopting measures that can help to ensure that the built environment is better adapted to increased precipitation, such as compulsory installation of rainwater tanks for new housing promoted through regional grants for existing housing and to increase infiltration and evapotranspiration (limit on built-up areas, choice of permeable materials, plantings and green roofs).</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td><a href="https://www.oecd.org">https://www.oecd.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SWITCH (Sustainable Water Management Improves Tomorrow’s Cities’ Health)</td>
<td>Providing systemic solutions for cities and urban areas in the face of dynamic global urbanisation and climate change using ecohydrology approaches (Li, 2012).</td>
<td>2006-2011</td>
<td>UK, Poland, Germany, Spain, Ghana, Egypt, China, Brazil, Colombia, Peru, Israel.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.switchurbanwater.eu/">http://www.switchurbanwater.eu/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Making Space for Water, Urban Flood Risk and Integrated Drainage</td>
<td>This project was aimed to use pilot studies to identify effective ways for partnerships to manage surface water flooding in high risk urban areas through an integrated drainage approach. An initial scoping review was carried out to review ongoing best practice and to identify current projects that are relevant to the pilots or should be considered in developing future guidance. Trial partnerships took place through different lead organisations and a lessons learnt report has been produced for each pilot. Based on these reports and the results of the pilots, a final guidance document will be produced to highlight recommendations for achieving successful management.</td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>England</td>
<td><a href="https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20090731150607/http://www.defra.gov.uk/environ/fcd/policy/strategy/ha2.htm">https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20090731150607/http://www.defra.gov.uk/environ/fcd/policy/strategy/ha2.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Find out if you’re at risk of flooding</td>
<td>Environment Agency flood maps were finally updated to include details of pluvial flooding, in a bid to provide a more detailed assessment of overall flood risk.</td>
<td>2013-present</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td><a href="https://www.gov.uk/check-flood-risk">https://www.gov.uk/check-flood-risk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Flood wardens initiative</td>
<td>Under these programmes, interested citizens are registered and trained to assist in the execution and coordination of response activities during emergency events (Mees et al., 2016).</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>England</td>
<td><a href="https://www.dover.gov.uk/Environment/Flooding/Flood-Wardens.aspx">https://www.dover.gov.uk/Environment/Flooding/Flood-Wardens.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Management of risks from natural hazards</td>
<td>This strategy considers current boundary conditions such as higher incidence of extreme weather events including floods and increasing utilisation of our living environment.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td><a href="http://www.planat.ch">http://www.planat.ch</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Pluvial flooding, or flooding that is a result of intense localized rainfall that exceeds the capacity of a drainage system, is getting wider awareness in Europe. The estimates that cumulative damage from pluvial flooding over the years can be just as high as from fluvial flooding events or even higher is worrying and a cause for a concern. Risks posed by pluvial flooding are numerous, from economic losses, destruction of private buildings and urban infrastructure to the loss of human lives, decrease of water and health impacts.

With climate change projections that there will be an increase in the frequency and intensity of rainfall events throughout Europe and with ongoing urbanization with its own effects, adaptive and sustainable solutions should be explored and pursued as soon as possible.

The problem of pluvial flooding is most certainly starting to receive more attention and some countries have already developed their strategies for dealing with this hazard. As this review shows, there are already numerous researches, papers, studies, conferences and workshops dedicated to the problem of pluvial flooding and its management. Various project strategies and initiatives that deal with pluvial flooding risk management have been implemented in some of the European countries separately or in cooperation with one another.

Some of the measures presented include spatial planning, constructional measures, risk acceptance, information systems, early warning systems for people, reducing land sealing through policies, building codes and standards and land use regulations, as well as adaptation measures such as the on-site improvement of retention, infiltration, evaporation, and rainfall water recycling with the use of green roofs, permeable or porous pavements, rain gardening or urban rainwater harvesting.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by Interreg-IPA CBC Hungary-Serbia program through the project URBAN-PREX (ID: HUSRB/1602/11/0097).
References


Crichton, D. (2005). Flood risk & insurance in England & Wales: are there lessons to be learned from Scotland? Technical Papers 1 Benfield Greig Hazard Research Centre


Consortium, Utrecht, the Netherlands. ISBN: 978-94-91933-02-8


Santato, S., Bender, S., & Schaller, M. (2013). The European Floods Directive and Opportunities offered by Land Use Planning. *CSC Report 12, Climate Service Center, Germany*


The Technical and Environmental Administration, City of Copenhagen (2009). Copenhagen Climate Adaptation Plan, Copenhagen Carbon Neutral by 2025.


~

Internet 1: Amsterdam Rainproof https://www.rainproof.nl

Internet 2: Civil Engineering & Environmental Hydraulics | HR Wallingford http://www.hrwallingford.com


Internet 4: Facts About Floods In The UK - Flooding Statistics UK - Rainbow Int. https://rainbow-int-franchise.co.uk/flooding-statistics-uk/


Internet 6: Flash floods and Urban flooding. https://www.climatechange postpone.com

Internet 7. FloodCitiSense. https://jpi-urbaneurope.eu/project/floodciti sense/


Internet 12. PLANAT National Platform for Natural Hazards Information platform on natural hazards in Switzerland. [http://www.planat.ch](http://www.planat.ch)

