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Abstract. In this paper, we utilize a class of implicit function studied by Imdad et al. [Some common fixed point theorems in Menger PM spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 2010, Article ID 819269, 14 pages] and prove a common fixed point theorem for converse commuting mappings in Menger space. We give an example which demonstrate the validity of the hypotheses and degree of generality of our main result.

1. Introduction

The notion of a probabilistic metric space was first introduced by Menger [14] which is a generalization of metric space. In this theory, the concept of the distance between two points has a probabilistic nature, i.e., it is exhibited by distribution functions. In [31], Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid showed the existence of the fixed point for one-valued local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces. The study of this space was expanded rapidly with the pioneering works of Schweizer and Sklar [30]. The theory of probabilistic space is of fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis.

The notion of compatible mappings was introduced by Jungck [9] in 1986. Most of the common fixed point theorems for contraction mappings invariably require a compatibility condition besides continuity of at least one of the mappings. Later on, Jungck and Rhoades [10] studied the notion of weakly compatible mappings and utilized it as a tool to improve commutativity conditions in common fixed point theorems. Many mathematicians proved several fixed point results in Menger spaces (see, for instance [4, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 29]). In 2002, Lü [12] presented the notion of the converse commuting mappings and established some fixed point theorems for single-valued mappings in metric spaces (also see [13, 26]). Recently, Pathak and Verma [20, 21], Chugh et al. [7], Chauhan and Sapher [6], Chauhan et
al. [3] and Wang and Zhu [32] proved some interesting common fixed point theorems for converse commuting mappings in different settings.

In metrical fixed point theory, implicit relations are utilized to cover several contraction conditions in one go rather than proving a separate theorem for each contraction condition. The first ever attempt to coin an implicit relation can be traced back to Popa [22]. Since then many authors modified this class of implicit function and obtained fixed point theorems under weaker conditions (see [1, 2, 23, 24, 25, 27]).

In this paper, we prove a unique common fixed point theorem for two pairs of converse commuting mappings in Menger space by using implicit relation due to Imdad et al. [8]. An illustrative and interesting example to highlight the realized improvements is also furnished.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([30]). A t-norm is a function \( \triangle : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) satisfying:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(T1)} & \quad \triangle(a, 1) = a, \quad \triangle(0, 0) = 0; \\
\text{(T2)} & \quad \triangle(a, b) = \triangle(b, a); \\
\text{(T3)} & \quad \triangle(c, d) \geq \triangle(a, b) \text{ for } c \geq a, \quad d \geq b; \\
\text{(T4)} & \quad \triangle(\triangle(a, b), c) = \triangle(a, \triangle(b, c)) \text{ for all } a, b, c \text{ in } [0, 1].
\end{align*}
\]

Examples of t-norms are \( \triangle(a, b) = \min\{a, b\} \), \( \triangle(a, b) = ab \) and \( \triangle(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\} \).

Definition 2 ([30]). A real valued function \( F \) on the set of real numbers is called a distribution function if it is non-decreasing, left continuous with \( \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} F(u) = 0 \) and \( \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} F(u) = 1 \).

We shall denote by \( \mathcal{D} \) the set of all distribution functions defined on \((-\infty, \infty)\) while \( H(t) \) will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

\[
H(t) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } t \leq 0; \\
1, & \text{if } t > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

If \( X \) is a non-empty set, \( \mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) is called a probabilistic distance on \( X \) and the value of \( \mathcal{F} \) at \( (x, y) \in X \times X \) is represented by \( F_{x,y} \).

Definition 3 ([14]). A probabilistic metric space is an ordered pair \( (X, \mathcal{F}) \), where \( X \) is a non-empty set of elements and \( \mathcal{F} \) is a probabilistic distance satisfying the following conditions: for all \( x, y, z \in X \) and \( t, s > 0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad F_{x,y}(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y; \\
(2) & \quad F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t); \\
(3) & \quad F_{x,y}(0) = 0;
\end{align*}
\]
(4) if \( F_{x,y}(t) = 1 \) and \( F_{y,z}(s) = 1 \), then \( F_{x,z}(t+s) = 1 \) for all \( x, y, z \in X \) and \( t, s \geq 0 \).

Every metric space \((X, d)\) can always be realized as a probabilistic metric space by considering \( F: X \times X \to \mathbb{S} \) defined by \( F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y)) \) for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). So probabilistic metric spaces offer a wider framework than that of metric spaces and are better suited to cover even wider statistical situations, i.e., every metric space can be regarded as a probabilistic metric space of a special kind.

**Definition 4** ([30]). A Menger space \((X, F, \Delta)\) is a triplet where \((X, F)\) is a probabilistic metric space and \( \Delta \) is a \( t \)-norm satisfying the following condition:

\[
F_{x,y}(t+s) \geq \Delta(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s)),
\]

for all \( x, y, z \in X \) and \( t, s \geq 0 \).

**Definition 5** ([10]). A pair \((A, S)\) of self mappings defined on a non-empty set \( X \) is said to be weakly compatible (or coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if \( Ax = Sx \) for some \( x \in X \), then \( ASx = SAx \).

**Definition 6** ([12]). A pair \((A, S)\) of self mappings defined on a non-empty set \( X \) is called conversely commuting if, for all \( x \in X \), \( ASx = SAx \) implies \( Ax = Sx \).

**Definition 7** ([12]). Let \( A \) and \( S \) be self mappings of a non-empty set \( X \). A point \( x \in X \) is called commuting point of \( A \) and \( S \) if \( ASx = SAx \).

### 3. Implicit Relation

Following Imdad et al. [8], let \( \Theta \) be the set of all continuous functions \( \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6): [0, 1]^6 \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying the following condition:

\[
(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) < 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).
\]

**Example 1.** Define \( \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6): [0, 1]^6 \to \mathbb{R} \) as

\[
(1) \quad \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \psi(\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}),
\]

where \( \psi: [0, 1] \to [0, 1] \) is increasing and continuous function such that \( \psi(t) > t \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \). Notice that

\[
(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = u - \psi(u) < 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).
\]

**Example 2.** Define \( \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6): [0, 1]^6 \to \mathbb{R} \) as

\[
(2) \quad \varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = \int_0^{t_1} \phi(t)dt - \psi\left(\int_0^{\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}} \phi(t)dt\right),
\]
where $\psi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is increasing and continuous function such that
$\psi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue integrable function
which is summable and satisfies
$$0 < \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s)ds < 1, \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad \int_0^1 \phi(s)ds = 1.$$  

We observe that
$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t)dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t)dt\right) < 0,$$  

for all $u \in (0, 1)$.  

**Example 3.** Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = \int_0^{t_1} \phi(t)dt - \psi\left(\min\left\{\int_0^{t_2} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{t_3} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{t_4} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{t_5} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{t_6} \phi(t)dt\right\}\right),$$

where $\psi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is increasing and continuous function such that
$\psi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a Lebesgue integrable function
which is summable and satisfies
$$0 < \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s)ds < 1, \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad \int_0^1 \phi(s)ds = 1.$$  

We observe that
$$(\varphi_1) \quad \varphi(u, u, 1, 1, u, u) = \int_0^u \phi(t)dt - \psi\left(\int_0^u \phi(t)dt\right) < 0,$$  

for all $u \in (0, 1)$.  

4. **Main results**

Now we prove a unique common fixed point theorem for two pairs of self
mappings satisfying a class of implicit function $\Theta$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $A, B, S$ and $T$ be four self mappings of a Menger space
$(X, \mathcal{F}, \Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is a continuous $t$-norm, the pairs $(A, S)$ and $(B, T)$ are
conversely commuting respectively satisfying:

$$(4) \quad \varphi(F_{Ax, By}(t), F_{Sx, Ty}(t), F_{Ax, Sx}(t), F_{By, Ty}(t), F_{By, Sx}(t), F_{Ax, Ty}(t)) \geq 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$, and $\varphi \in \Theta$. If $A$ and $S$ have a commuting point, $B$
and $T$ have a commuting point, then $A, B, S$ and $T$ have a unique common
fixed point in $X$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $u$ is the commuting point of $A$ and $S$, then $ASu = SAu$.
Also let $v$ be the commuting point of $B$ and $T$, then $BTv = TBv$. Since the
mappings $A$ and $S$ are conversely commuting, we have $Au = Su$. Similarly,
the mappings $B$ and $T$ are conversely commuting, then we have $Bv = Tv$. Hence $AAu = ASu = SAu = SSu$ and $BBv = BTv = TBv = TTv$.

(i) We assert that $Au = Bv$. Suppose that $Au \neq Bv$, then using inequality (4) with $x = u, y = v$, we get

\[
\varphi(F_{Au,Bv}(t), F_{Su,Tv}(t), F_{Au, Su}(t), F_{Bv,Tv}(t), F_{Bv, Su}(t), F_{Au,Tv}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
\varphi(F_{Au,Bv}(t), F_{Au,Bv}(t), 1, 1, F_{Bv, Au}(t), F_{Au,Bv}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

which contradicts $(\varphi_1)$. Therefore $Au = Bv$. Thus $Au = Su = Bv = Tv$.

(ii) Now, we show that $Au$ is a fixed point of the mapping $A$. Let, on the contrary, $Au \neq AAu$. On using inequality (4) with $x = Au, y = v$, we have

\[
\varphi(F_{AAu,Bv}(t), F_{SAu,Tv}(t), F_{AAu, SAu}(t), F_{Bv,Tv}(t), F_{Bv, SAu}(t), F_{AAu,Tv}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

and so,

\[
\varphi(F_{AAu,Au}(t), F_{AAu, Au}(t), 1, 1, F_{Au, AAu}(t), F_{AAu,Au}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

which contradicts $(\varphi_1)$. Hence $AAu = Au$. Similarly we assert that $Bv = BBv$. If $Bv \neq BBv$, then using inequality (4) with $x = u, y = Bv$, we get

\[
\varphi(F_{Au,BBv}(t), F_{Su, TBv}(t), F_{Au, Su}(t), F_{BBv, TBv}(t), F_{BBv, Su}(t), F_{Au, TBv}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
\varphi(F_{Bv,BBv}(t), F_{Bv,BBv}(t), 1, 1, F_{BBv, Bv}(t), F_{Bv,BBv}(t)) \geq 0,
\]

which contradicts $(\varphi_1)$. Thus $BBv = Bv$.

Since $Au = Bv$, we have $Au = Bv = BBv = BAu$ which shows that $Au$ is a fixed point of the mapping $B$.

On the other hand, $Au = Bv = BBv = TBv = TAu$ and $Au = AAu = ASu = SAu$. Hence $Au$ is a common fixed point of $A, B, S$ and $T$.

Uniqueness of the common fixed point is an easy consequence of inequality (4).

Now, we furnish an example which illustrates Theorem 1.
Example 4. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the metric $d$ defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ and for each $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$F_{x,y}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + |x-y|}, & \text{if } t > 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Define $\triangle(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$. Clearly $(X, F, \triangle)$ is a Menger space with $\triangle(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$. Define $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) : [0, 1]^6 \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \psi(\min\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}),$$

where $\psi(s) = \sqrt{s}$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. Let $A, B, S$ and $T$ be self mappings defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 2x - 1, & \text{if } x < 2; \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & \text{if } x < 2; \\ x + 3, & \text{if } x \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 2x - 1, & \text{if } x < 2; \\ 2, & \text{if } x \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad T(x) = \begin{cases} 3x^2 - 2, & \text{if } x < 2; \\ x^2 + 1, & \text{if } x \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus both the pairs $(A, S)$ and $(B, T)$ are each conversely commuting. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and 1 is a unique common fixed point of self mappings $A, B, S$ and $T$.

By choosing $A, B, S$ and $T$ suitably, we can derive a multitude of common fixed point theorems for a pair or triod of mappings. As a sample, we obtain the following natural result for a pair of mappings.

**Corollary 1.** Let $A$ and $S$ be two self mappings of a Menger space $(X, F, \triangle)$, where $\triangle$ is a continuous $t$-norm, Suppose that the pair $(A, S)$ is conversely commuting and satisfy:

(5) $\varphi(F_{Ax,Ay}(t), F_{Sx,Sy}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(t), F_{Ay,Sy}(t), F_{Ay,Sx}(t), F_{Ax,Ty}(t)) \geq 0,$

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$, and $\varphi \in \Theta$. If $A$ and $S$ have a commuting point, then $A$ and $S$ have a unique common fixed point in $X$.

**Corollary 2.** The conclusions of Theorem 1 remain true if condition (4) is replaced by one of the following conditions: for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

(6) $\int_0^{F_{Ax,By}(t)} \phi(t)dt \geq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(x,y)} \phi(t)dt \right),$

where

$$M(x, y) = \min\{F_{Sx,Ty}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(t), F_{By,Ty}(t), F_{By,Sx}(t), F_{Ax,Ty}(t)\},$$

where $\psi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a lower semi-continuous function such that $\psi(t) > t$, for all $t \in (0, 1)$ along with $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(1) = 1$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a
summable Lebesgue integrable function such that
\[ 0 < \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s)ds < 1, \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \phi(s)ds = 1. \]

\[ \int_0^{F_{Ax,By}}(t) \phi(t)dt \geq \]

(7) \[ \psi\left( \min \left\{ \int_0^{F_{Sx,Ty}}(t) \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{F_{Ax,Sx}}(t) \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{F_{By,Ty}}(t) \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{F_{By,Sx}}(t) \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{F_{Ax,Ty}}(t) \phi(t)dt \right\} \right) \]

where
\[ M(x, y) = \min\{F_{Sx,Ty}(t), F_{Ax,Sx}(t), F_{By,Ty}(t), F_{By,Sx}(t), F_{Ax,Ty}(t)\}, \]

where \( \psi : [0, 1] \to [0, 1] \) is increasing and continuous function such that \( \psi(t) > t \), for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a summable Lebesgue integrable function such that
\[ 0 < \int_0^\epsilon \phi(s)ds < 1, \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \phi(s)ds = 1. \]

Proof. The proof of each inequalities (6) and (7) easily follows from Theorem 1 in view of Examples 2 and 3. □
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