Homotopy extension property for multi-valued functions

ISMET KARACA, MUSTAFA ÖZKAN

ABSTRACT. In this study, we introduce some well-known definitions and properties for multi-valued functions. We present new definitions such as, m-retraction, m-section, m-homeomorphism, m-HEP and reducible function. We give a new result on the relation between multi-homotopy groups and m-homeomorphism. We also deal with some properties of m-HEP.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a meaningful relation between multi-valued and single-valued functions. So, many concepts defined on single-valued function have also been tried to be given on multi-valued function. On the other hand, some notions are still not covered for multi-valued functions. Therefore, an important question comes to mind : Are there any differences on multi-valued application corresponding to same concept for single-valued function?

Some properties of multi-valued functions have been studied various author [4, 11, 14]. Smithson [11] gives generalization of some definition like monotone functions and nonaltering functions. Borges [4] determines which topological properties are preserved by multi-valued functions. The concept of continuity of multi-valued functions studied diverse ways. Strother [13] also studies on the continuity of a multi-valued function in his Doctoral dissertation. He shows that some of definitions of continuity are equivalent. Also, homotopy concepts are defined by Strother [14]. Rhee [9] determine that $M\pi_n$ is a functor. Also, Karaca, Denizalti and Temizel [7] have studied homotopy of multi-valued functions. Lee [8] has investigated m-homotopy groups and absolute m-homotopy extension property for m-functions.

In this study, we first give some background for multi-valued functions. Then we express multi-category and define m-retraction and m-section in this category. We also investigate some properties with this expression.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 40B05; Secondary: 33E99.

Key words and phrases. Multi-valued function, m-retraction, m-homeomorphism, homotopy extension property.

Full paper. Received 19 August 2021, accepted 10 November 2022, available online 15 November 2022.

Later, we define the m-homeomorphism by using m-retraction and m-section. We also give a relation between m-homeomorphism and multi-homotopy group. We generalize the homotopy extension propery, m-HEP, for multi-valued functions and investigate some properties in the section 5. Then we give a definition of reducible multi-valued function. At the end of the section 5, we show the relation between HEP and m-HEP by using the reducible multi-valued function.

2. Prelimarines

Throughout the paper X, Y and Z will be topological spaces and x, y and z elements of these spaces, respectively. For a topological space X we denote the set of all non-empty closed subset of X by S(X). We denote an identical function over X by 1_X unless otherwise stated.

A multi-valued function $F: X \to Y$ maps every point x to non-empty subset of Y [3]. The function $F: X \to Y$ is *n*-th valued if it maps a point to subset n points of Y for all $x \in X$ [5]. We say that n is degree of F and we denote it by D(F). Clearly, if D(F) = 1, then F is a single-valued function. A single-valued function $f: X \to Y$ is a *selection* of F if and only if $f(x) \in F(x)$ for every $x \in X$ [3]. If $X_0 \subset X$, then $F(X_0) = \bigcup_{x \in X_0} F(x)$. For a fixed subset $Y_0 \subset Y$, a multi valued function $F: X \to Y$ is called *constant* if $F(x) = Y_0$ for all $x \in X$ [3]. A multi-valued function $F|_A : A \to X$ called restriction and defined by $F(x) = F|_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $F: X \to Y$ is a multi-valued function and A is a subset of X [11]. $F: X \to Y$ is an extension of $G: A \to Y$ if $F|_A = G$ [12]. A multi-valued function F is said to be surjective if there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $y \in F(x)$ for every $y \in Y$ [3]. The function F is called *one-to-one* if, $x \neq x'$ implies that $F(x) \neq F(x')$ [13]. $F^{-1}(y)$ is defined to be the set of all X such that $y \in F(x)$ and it follows that $(F^{-1})^{-1} = F$ [13]. We define upper and lower inverse $F^+(V)$, $F^-(V)$ as follows

$$F^+(V) = \{x \in X | F(x) \subset V\} \text{ and } F^-(V) = \{x \in X | F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset\},\$$

where V is an open subset of Y. The function F is called *upper* (lower) semicontinuous if, for every open $V \subset Y$, $F^+(V) \subset X$ ($F^-(V) \subset X$) is open. F is continuous if F is both upper and lower semicontinuous [4]. A multi-valued function F is called a *homeomultimorphism* if F and F^{-1} are continuous and one-to-one [13]. If F, and $G: X \to Y$ are two continuous multi(single)-valued functions, then union map $F \cup G: X \to Y$ defined by $F \cup G(x) = F(x) \cup G(x)$ for all $x \in X$ [3].

Smithson [11] shows that being compact, Hausdorff space are required for following result.

Lemma 1 ([11]). If $F: X \to Y$ and $G: Y \to Z$ are continuous and if X, Y and Z are compact, Hausdorff space, then $G \circ F$ is continuous.

"Strother [14]" defined multi-homotopy and multi-homotopy group $M_{\pi_n}(Y)$. I^n denotes the product of n unit intervals. The boundary of I^n is denoted by B^{n-1} .

Definition 1 ([14]). Let $F, G: X \to Y$ be multi-valued function. Then F is said to be *m*-homotopic to G if there exists a continuous multi-valued function $H: X \times I \to Y$ such that H(x, 0) = F(x) and H(x, 1) = G(x).

If F is m-homotopic to a constant multi-valued function, then we say that F is null m-homotopic [12]. If F and G are m-homotopic, then we denote the m-homotopy between F and G by $F \simeq_m G$.

Definition 2 ([14]). Two continuous multi-valued functions $F, G: X \to Y$ are said to be *m*-homotopic relative to $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ if there exists an *m*-homotopy H connecting F and G such that $x \in A$ and $t \in I$ imply that H(x,t) = B.

Definition 3 ([14]). For positive integer n and closed subset Y_0 of Y, we define

 $MQ(n, Y, Y_0) = \{F \colon I^n \to Y | F \text{ is continuous}, F(x) = Y_0 \text{ for all } x \in B^{n-1}\}.$

If Y is a compact, Hausdorff space, then the functions in $MQ(n, Y, Y_0)$ are divided into m-homotopy classes relative to (B^{n-1}, Y_0) [14, p. 284].

Theorem 1 ([14]). Let Y be a compact Hausdorff space. Then the mhomotopy classes of the continuous functions in $MQ(n, Y, Y_0)$ form a group $M\pi_n(Y, Y_0)$.

Theorem 2 ([14]). Let Y be a compact, Hausdorff space. Then we have $\pi_n(S(Y), Y_0) \cong M\pi_n(Y, Y_0).$

A cone CX over a topological space X is quotient space $X \times I/_{\sim}$, where the equivalence relation ~ defined by $(x,t) \sim (x',t')$ if t = t' = 1 [10].

Definition 4 ([7]). A space X is *m*-contractible if 1_X is null *m*-homotopic.

3. Multi-category

In this section, we consider not only multi-category but also section and retraction on multi-valued functions. Initially, we give a definition and example for multi-category.

Definition 5 ([11]). A category is a triple $C = (O, M, \circ)$ consisting of

- (1) a class O, whose members are called C-objects,
- (2) for each pair $A, B \in O$, a set M (or Mor(A, B)), the elements of M called as a C-morphism from A to B(the sets Mor(A, B) are pairwise disjoint),
- (3) for each $A, B, C \in O$, a map $\circ: Mor(A, B) \times Mor(B, C) \to Mor(A, C)$, called composition and denoted $(f, g) \mapsto g \circ f$, such that

- (a) composition is associative,
- (b) for each $A \in O$ there exists $1_A \in Mor(A, A)$ such that $1_A \circ f = f$ and $g \circ 1_A = g$ for all C-morphism f and g.

Let C be a category with morphism class M. If M consist of multi-valued function, then we called C as a multi-category(m-category) and denote m-C.

Example 1. (1) m-SET category: Objects: Sets Morphisms: multi-valued functions Operation: Composition on multi-valued functions
(2) m-cHTop category: Objects: Compact, Hausdorff topological spaces Morphisms: Continious multi-valued functions Operation: Composition on multi-valued functions

It is clear that $M_C \subset M_{m-C}$ and O(C) = O(m-C) for all category C. Thus, a category C can be seen as a subcategory of m-C.

Definition 6. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a multi-valued function. If there exists a multi-valued function $G: Y \to X$ such that following holds for all $y \in Y$:

$$\{y\} = \bigcap_{x \in G(y)} F(x),$$

then we say that F is an m-retraction and G is called as a cross retraction of F.

If F and G are single-valued functions, then we obtain a retraction definition. Here, $y = \cap F(x) = F(x) = F(G(y)) = F \circ G(y)$ where x = G(y). It means that there exists a single-valued function $G: Y \to X$ such that $F \circ G = 1_Y$ for all $y \in Y$.

Presently, we touch on an important proposition about selections. For the following proposition surjectivity is essential, and so, we assume that multi-valued functions which our mentioned are surjective.

Proposition 1. Let $F: X \to Y$ be an *m*-retraction. Then there exists a single-valued selection of F.

Proof. Assume that $F: X \to Y$ be an m-retraction. The function H is defined by $H(y) = A_i \subset X$ for all $y \in Y$. For some i, A_i has two elements at least. Since H is surjective, we can construct a single-valued function $f: X \to Y$ such that $f(x) = \cap F(x_i)$, where $x_i \in A'_i$ for a set A'_i containing x and if $x_i \in A_i$ and $x_i \in A_j$, then $x_i \in A'_i$ and $x_i \notin A'_j$ for $i \neq j$. Here, we have $f(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence, f is a single-valued selection of F.

Remark 1. Selection of an m-retraction is a surjective function. However, it may not be injective.

Now, we give a following example in which G is an m-retraction.

Example 2. Let $F \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a multi-valued function defined by

$$F(x) = \{\sqrt{x}, -\sqrt{x}\}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let's define a multi-valued function $G \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$G(y) = \begin{cases} \{y^2, 1\}, & \text{if } y > 0, \\ \{y^2, 0\}, & \text{if } y \le 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be arbitrary. Then we have $F(x_0) = \{\sqrt{x_0}, -\sqrt{x_0}\}$. Here

$$G(\sqrt{x_0}) \cap G(-\sqrt{x_0}) = \{(\sqrt{x_0})^2, 1\} \cap \{(-\sqrt{x_0})^2, 0\}$$
$$= \{x_0, 1\} \cap \{x_0, 0\}$$
$$= \{x_0\}.$$

Since

$$\{x\} = \bigcap_{y \in F(x)} G(y)$$

always hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we can say that G is an m-retraction. Moreover, from Propositon 1 we have a selection $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $g(y) = y^2$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

In contrary to general, we can not guarantee that the composition of two m-retractions is an m-retraction without any assumption.

Lemma 2. The composite of m-retraction and retraction is an m-retraction.

Proof. Taking a retraction $h: X \to Y$ and an m-retraction $F: Y \to Z$, we see that $F \circ h: X \to Z$ is an m-retraction from using definition.

We can define an m-section in a similar logic to the m-retraction.

Definition 7. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a multi-valued function. If there exist a multi-valued function $G: Y \to X$ such that the following hold for all $x \in X$:

$$\{x\} = \bigcap_{y \in F(x)} G(y),$$

then we say that F is an m-section and G is called as a cross section of F.

If F and G are single-valued function, then we derive a section definition like the m-retraction. So, $x = \cap G(y) = G(y) = G(F(x)) = G \circ F(x)$, where y = F(x). It means that there exists a single-valued function $G: Y \to X$ such that $G \circ F = 1_X$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 3. The composite of two m-sections is an m-section.

Proof. Let $F: X \to Y$ and $G: Y \to Z$ be a two m-sections. Then there is a multi-valued function $H: Y \to X$ such that for all $x \in X$:

(1)
$$\{x\} = \bigcap_{y \in F(x)} H(y).$$

Let $y \in F(x) \subset Y$ be an arbitrary point. Since G is an m-section, we can write

(2)
$$\{y\} = \bigcap_{z \in G(x)} K(z),$$

where K is an m-retraction, i, e., there is a single-valued selection function $k: Z \to Y$. So, we can replace k(z) with $y \in F(x)$. From the definition of a selection we have $F(x) = k(G \circ F(x))$, i.e., $H \circ F(x) = H \circ k(z)$, where $z \in G \circ F(x)$. Therefore, the intersection of $H \circ k(z)$ equals to $\{x\}$ for all $z \in G \circ F(x)$. It means that $G \circ F$ is an m-section.

Now, we can give a few categorical proposition and conclusion. We see that M_{π_n} is a functor from m-pTop to Grp (see [9]). From now on, we assume that all of topological spaces are compact, Hausdorff spaces. Firstly, we give a functor example which called Selection functor. Before the following proposition denote that m-pTop_{*} is a multi-category with restriction of M of m-pTop, multi-pointed topological spaces category, to M', where the elements of M' are continuous multi-valued function having a continuous selection.

Proposition 2. Let S_F be defined by

$$S_F \colon \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{p}_* \to \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{o} \boldsymbol{p}$$
$$(X, X_0) \mapsto (X, x_0)$$
$$F \mapsto S_F(F) = f,$$

where f is a selection of F and X_0 is a topological space with a base point x_0 . Then S_F is a covariant functor.

Proof. It is obvious that $S_F(1_X) = 1_X$ and $S_F(F \circ G) = f \circ g = S_F(F) \circ S_F(G)$. So, S_F is a covariant functor.

Corollary 1. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a continuous multi-valued function with a continuous selection f. Then $M_{\pi_n}(F) = \pi_n \circ S_F(F)$.

4. Multi-valued homeomorphism

At the beginning of this section, we introduce a homeomultimorphism in the sense of the m-retraction and the m-section.

Definition 8. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a multi-valued function. If the following conditions hold, then we say that F is an m-homeomorphism and show $X \approx_m Y$:

- (1) F is a continuous;
- (2) F is m-section and m-retraction;
- (3) The cross section and the cross retraction of F are continuous.

If there exists an m-homeomorphism between two topological space X and Y, then we say that these spaces are m-homeomorphic.

If F is single-valued function, then we can tought m-sect and m-retract of F as inverse of F. So, above conditions becomes that F and inverse of Fis continuous. It implies that F is a homeomorphism.

Corollary 2. Let F be a m-homeomorphism between X and Y, then there exists continuous single-valued selections $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to X$.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $Y = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with topologies $\tau_X = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{Y, \emptyset, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$. A map $F: X \to Y$ defined with

$$F(a) = \{0, 1\}, F(b) = \{1, 2\}, F(c) = \{2, 3\}, F(d) = \{3, 4\}, F(e) = \{0, 4\}$$

is an m-homeomorphism. It is easy to see that F is continuous. Now, defined $G: Y \to X$ by

$$G(0) = \{a, e\}, G(1) = \{a, b\}, G(2) = \{b, c\}, G(3) = \{c, d\}, G(4) = \{d, e\}, G(4)$$

where G is continuous. Also, for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ the condition of being the m-retraction and the m-section holds, e.g., $a = G(0) \cap G(1)$ and $3 = F(c) \cap F(d)$.

Remark 2. If $f: X \to Y$ is a homeomorphism, then $f': X/\{x\} \to Y/\{f(x)\}$ is also a homeomorphism. Nevertheless, this condition is not provided for an m-homeomorphism.

For the following proposition we assume that F is n-th valued.

Proposition 3. Every homeomultimorphism is an m-homeomorphism.

Proof. Assume that $F: X \to Y$ be a homeomultimorphism. From the definition of homeomultimorphism we say that F and F^{-1} are one-to-one and continuous. It is clear that $x \in F^{-1}(y)$, where $y \in F(x)$. Suppose that $\{x, x'\} = \cap F^{-1}(y)$, where $y \in F(x)$. So, F maps x' to all of $y \in F(x)$. Since D(F) = n, we have that F(x) = F(x'). It contradict to being one-to-one, hence F is an m-section. Similarly, we can observe F is an m-retraction because of $(F^{-1})^{-1} = F$.

Actually, we give a more general homeomorphism concept on multi-valued function than Strother's definition [14].

Corollary 3. Let F and G be two m-retractions such that $(G \circ F)^{-1}$ is one-to-one and continuous then $G \circ F$ is an m-retraction.

The next corollory is a natural consequence of Definition 8, Lemma 3 and Corollary 3.

Corollary 4. The composition of two m-homeomorphism is an m-homeomorphism provided that their composition is an m-retraction.

Before stating a good result, we give the following remark.

Remark 3. Let $F: (X, X_0) \to (Y, Y_0)$ be an m-homeomorphism and let f and g be continuous selections such that $f(x_0) = y_0$ and $g(y_0) = x_0$ for base points x_0, y_0 . Then the following diagram exists.

Theorem 3. Let F be a m-homeomorphism between X and Y. Then we have $M_{\pi_n}(X, X_0) \cong M_{\pi_n}(Y, Y_0)$ if selections are injective and continuous.

Proof. By Theorem 2, we have $\pi_n(S(X), X_0) \cong M\pi_n(X, X_0)$ for every compact, Haussdorf space X. Assume that $F: (X, X_0) \to (Y, Y_0)$ be an m-homeomorphism and let $h_1: \pi_n(S(X), X_0) \to M\pi_n(X, X_0)$ and $h_2: \pi_n(S(Y), Y_0) \to M\pi_n(Y, Y_0)$ be isomorphisms. We have a selection $f: (X, x_0) \to (Y, y_0)$, by Proposition 1. Suppose that f and g are injective and continuous. Then, we have $f^{-1} = g$, where $g: (Y, y_0) \to (X, x_0)$ is the other selection. So, f is a homeomorphism. Let $\psi: M\pi_n(X, X_0) \to M\pi_n(Y, Y_0)$ be a group homomorphism defined by $\psi([H]) = h_2 i_{2*} f_* i_{1*}^{-1} h_1^{-1}([H])$. Since f is a homeomorphism, f_* is a isomorphism. Hence, ψ is a group isomorphism. Consequently, $M_{\pi_n}(X, X_0) \cong M_{\pi_n}(Y, Y_0)$.

5. Homotopy extension property for multi-valued functions

In this part of this study, we first introduce a relation between Homotopy Extension Property for the multi-valued function and the m-retraction. We also introduce some m-HEP properties. Then we define a reducible function. First, we give an original definition of Homotopy Extension Property.

Definition 9. [2] Let A is a subset of a topological space X. Then we say that the pair (X, A) has the homotopy extension property with respect to Y, if every continuous function $f: X \to Y$ and every homotopy $G: A \times I \to Y$ that starts with $f|_A$, we can extend G to a homotopy $H: X \times I \to Y$ that starts with f.

Definition 10. A subspace A of X is an *m*-retract of X if there is an m-retraction $R: X \to A$ such that $R \circ i(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in A$, where i is an inclusion map.

Here, if $R: X \to A$ is an m-retraction, then there exists a single-valued selection $r: X \to A$. Since $r(x) \in R(x)$ for all $x \in X$ we have $r \circ i(x) = x$.

If r is a continuous function, then it is a retraction. Thereby, we have following results.

- **Corollary 5.** (1) If A is a retract of X and $r: X \to A$ is a retraction, then there is an multi-valued extention of r such that $R: X \to A$ is an m-retraction;
 - (2) A subspace A is an m-retract of X and single-valued selection r is a continuous function if and only if A is a retract of X.

Now, we give a definition of Homotopy Extension Property for multivalued functions.

Definition 11. For a subspace A of X, a pair (X, A) is said to be have an m-Homotopy Extension Property (m-HEP) if an arbitrary continuous multivalued function $G: X \to Y$ and an m-homotopy function $G': A \times I \to Y$ such that G(x) = G'(x, 0) for all $x \in A$, there exists an m-homotopy function $F: X \times I \to Y$ such that F(x, 0) = G(x) and $F|_{A \times I} = G'$.

Proposition 4. [6] For a subspace $A \subset X$, $X \times \{0\} \cup A \times I$ is an *m*-retract of $X \times I$ if a pair (X, A) has the *m*-HEP.

Proof. For a special map (identity map) $id: X \times \{0\} \cup A \times I \to X \times \{0\} \cup A \times I$, the *m*-HEP implies that the identical map id extends to an extension map $r: X \times I \to X \times \{0\} \cup A \times I$, so *r* is a retraction. By the Corollary 5-(*i*), $X \times \{0\} \cup A \times I$ is an m-retract of $X \times I$.

Proposition 5 ([1]). Suppose that (X, A) has the m-HEP and that two continuous multi-valued functions $F_0, F_1: A \to Y$ are m-homotopic. Then F_0 has a continuous extension if and only if F_1 has a continuous extension.

Proof. Let $F_0 \simeq_m F_1$. Then there exists a continuous m-homotopy function $F: A \times I \to Y$ such that $F(x,0) = F_0$ and $F(x,1) = F_1$ for all $x \in A$. Let $F'_0: X \to Y$ be a continuous extension of F_0 . So, $F(x,0) = F_0 = F'_0$ for all $x \in A$. Since (X, A) has the *m*-HEP, there exists an m-homotopy function $H: X \times I \to Y$ such that $H(x,0) = F_0$ and $H|_{A \times I} = F$. A multi-valued function $F'_1: X \to Y$ is defined by $F'_1(x) = H(x,1)$ for all $x \in X$. F'_1 is a continuous multi-valued function and $F'_1(x) = H(x,1) = F(x,1) = F_1$ and $F'_1|_A = H|_A = F|_A = F_1$ for all $x \in A$. Hence, F_1 has a continuous extension.

Proposition 6. Let $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$. If both pairs (Y, X) and (Z, Y) have the *m*-HEP, then (Z, X) has also an *m*-HEP.

Proof. Let $K: Z \to T$ be a continuous multi-valued function. Assume that $K': X \times I \to T$ be an m-homotopy such that K(z) = K'(z, 0) for all $z \in X$. Since (Y, X) has *m*-HEP, for a restriction map $K|_Y$ there exists an m-homotopy $F: Y \times I \to T$ such that $F(y, 0) = K|_Y$. From our assumption (Z, Y) has the *m*-HEP, so we can extend an m-homotopy F to an m-homotopy $H: Z \times I \to T$, where $H|_{X \times I} = F|_{X \times I} = K'$ and H(z, 0) = K(z). Therefore, (Z, X) has the *m*-HEP. **Theorem 4.** Let CX be a cone of any topological space X. Then the pair (CX, X) has the m-HEP.

Proof. Let $F: CX \to Y$ be a continuous multi-valued function with an mhomotopy $H: X \times I \to Y$ such that $F(\bar{x}) = H(x, 0)$, where \bar{x} denotes the image of $x \in X$ in CX. Let $G: CX \times I \to Y$ be an m-homotopy defined by

$$G(\overline{(x,t)},t') = \begin{cases} F\overline{(x,1-t'')}, & \text{if } t'' \leq 1, \\ H(x,t''-1), & \text{if } t'' \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where t'' = (1-t)(1-t'). Here, $G((x,t),0) = F(\bar{x})$ and $G|_{X \times I} = H$. Then (CX, X) has the *m*-HEP.

Definition 12. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a continuous multi-valued function. If $F = \bigcup f_i$ for some continuous single-valued function $f_i: X \to Y$, then we say that F is a reducible multi-valued function.

Proposition 7. Every constant multi-valued function is a reducible.

Proof. Let $F: X \to Y$ be a constant multi-valued function defined by $F(x) = Y_0$ for all $x \in X$, where $Y_0 \subset Y$. Assume that $Y_0 = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$. Then there exists continuous constant functions $f_n: X \to Y_0$ defined by $f_n(x) = y_n$ for all $x \in X$. $F = \bigcup f_i$, where $1 \le i \le n$.

Remark 4. If F is a constant multi-valued function, then F has an extension.

Before giving a relation between extension of multi-valued functions and m-continactible, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 5. [7] Let Y be an m-contractible space. Then every continuous multi-valued function $F: X \to Y$ is null m-homotopic.

Theorem 6. If Y is an m-contractible, then every continuous multi-valued function has the continuous extension.

Proof. Let Y be an m-contractible and $F: X \to Y$ be a continuous multivalued function. From Theorem 5 F is a null m-homotopic. Then there exists an m-homotopy between F and constant multi-valued function C, i.e., $F \simeq_m C$. By the Remark 4 and Proposition 5, we conclude that F has the continuous extension.

Now, we can refer the relation between m-HEP and HEP.

Theorem 7. If every continuous multi-valued function is a reducible from arbitrary space X to Y, then the pair (X, A) has the HEP if and only if (X, A) has the m-HEP.

Proof. Assume that (X, A) has the HEP and $F: X \to Y$ be a continuous reducible multi-valued function such that $F = \bigcup f_n$. Since (X, A) has the HEP, there exists homotopies $G_n: A \times I \to Y$ and extensions $H_n: X \times I \to Y$

for all f_n . Let two *m*-homotopies $G': A \times I \to Y$ and $H': X \times I \to Y$ defined by $G' = \bigcup G_n$ and $H' = \bigcup H_n$, respectively. So, $H|_{A \times I} = G'$ and $G'(x) = \bigcup f_n(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Hence, the pair (X, A) has the *m*-HEP. Conversely, if we choose a special continuous reducible multi-valued function F such that F = f, then the *m*-HEP requires the HEP. \Box

6. Conclusion

We can consider single-valued functions as a special version of multivalued functions. Many researchers have worked on multi-valued functions with this idea. In this paper, we give a definition of multi-category and also investigate some properties related m-retraction and selection. Moreover, we generalize a homeomorphism concept in general topology and give theorems related to the homotopy in algebraic topology.

The purpose of this paper is to cover some properties to multi-valued functions using algebraic topology. Therefore, we determine whether there is any difference in multi-valued function version.

References

- [1] M.R. Adhikari, Basic Algebraic Topology and its Applications, Springer, 2016.
- [2] M. Aguilar, S. Gitler, C. Prieto, Algebraic Topology from a Homotopical Viewpoint, Springer, 2002.
- [3] M. C. Anisiu, Algebraic Topology, Point-to-set-mappings. Continuity, Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics, Research seminaries, preprint, 3 (1981), 1-100.
- [4] C.J.R. Borges, A study of multivalued functions, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 2022, 23 (3) (1967), 451-461.
- [5] R.F. Brown, Fixed Points of n-Valued Multimaps of the Circle, Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Science, 54 (2) (2006), 153-162.
- [6] A. Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [7] I. Karaca, H.S. Denizaltı, G. Temizel, On Classifications of Multi-valued Functions Using Multi-homotopy, Journal of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 11 (1) (2021), 161-188.
- [8] K.Y. Lee, M-Homotopy Extension Property and M-Homotopy Groups, Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society, 7 (2) (1992), 241-254.
- [9] C.J. Rhee, Homotopy Functors Determined by Set-Valued Maps, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 113 (1970), 154-158.
- [10] J.J. Rotman, An Introduction to Algebraic Topology, Springer, 1988.
- [11] R.E. Smithson, Some General Properties of Multi-Valued Functions, Pacific Journals of Mathematics, 15 (2) (1965), 681-705.

- [12] Spainer, E.H., Algebraic Topology, Springer, 1966.
- [13] W.L. Strother, Continuity for Multi-Valued Functions and Some Applications to Topology, Ph.D. Thesis, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, 1952.
- [14] W.L. Strother, Multi-Homotopy, Duke Mathematical Journal, 22 (2) (1955), 281-285.

ISMET KARACA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EGE UNIVERSITY IZMIR TURKEY *E-mail address*: ismet.karaca@ege.edu.tr

Mustafa Özkan

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EGE UNIVERSITY IZMIR TURKEY *E-mail address*: ozkan.mustafaa97@gmail.com

12