

## $K$ - $d$ -frames and their duals

NEHA PAURIYAL\*  0000-0003-4604-794X,  
MAHESH C. JOSHI\*  0000-0001-9222-5925

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define a linear bounded operator for double sequences and give a new generalization of frame called  $K$ - $d$ -frame. We establish that  $K$ - $d$ -frame is square summable in norm for finite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces and prove some results on properties of frame operators and  $K$ - $d$ -duals.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

“A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is called a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ , if there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper frame bounds respectively.”

Frames provide infinite representations of vectors after removing the uniqueness property from bases in a Hilbert spaces. Redundancy becomes the main property of frames which makes them more applicable than bases. The applications of frames in a various fields viz. signal and image processing [8], filter bank theory [12], harmonic analysis [10], wireless communications [11] make the study of frames more interesting. For more literature review one may refer to ([1, 3, 4, 6, 14]). Because of applicability of frames in different areas of study, researchers have introduced various concepts of frames like fusion frames [5], continuous fusion frames [7], generalized frames [17],  $K$ -frames [15] and  $d$ -frames [2] etc.

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes Hilbert/separable Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  a collection of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to  $\mathcal{H}_2$  (if  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ , then it is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ). For  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $R(K)$  is the range space of  $K$ .  $K^*$  is an adjoint of  $K$  and  $K^\dagger$  is a pseudo-inverse of  $K$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 42C15; Secondary: 46C50.

*Key words and phrases*. Frame,  $K$ -frame,  $K$ - $d$ -frame,  $K$ - $d$ -frame operator,  $d$ -Bessel sequence.

*Full paper*. Received 9 Jan 2025, accepted 25 May 2025, available online 29 May 2025.

Considering the fact that every Bessel sequence in a Hilbert space need not necessarily be a frame, recently Biswas et al. [2] gave a new generalization of frame with the help of double sequences.

Infact, Biswas et al. [2] gave the following definition of  $d$ -frame.

**Definition 1.** [2] A double sequence  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be a  $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad A\|x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

here, constants  $A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper  $d$ -frame bounds respectively. If  $A = B$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called tight  $d$ -frame. If  $A = B = 1$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called Parseval  $d$ -frame.

If only the right-hand inequality holds in equation (1), then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a double Bessel sequence or  $d$ -Bessel sequence for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

On the other hand, Gavruta [9] introduced the concept of  $K$ -frame to study atomic systems with respect to a bounded linear operator  $K$  in a Hilbert space. L. Gavruta [9] gave the following definition of  $K$ -frame.

**Definition 2** ([9]). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is called  $K$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ , if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

here, constants  $A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper  $K$ -frame bounds respectively.

It is remarkable that  $K$ -frames are more general than ordinary frames (see [13, 16]).

Motivated by this fact, we extend and generalize  $d$ -frames with the help of linear bounded operator  $K$  and introduce  $K$ - $d$ -frames. Further, we extend the results available in the literature for  $K$ - $d$ -frames.

## 2. $K$ - $d$ -FRAME

**Definition 3.** Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a double sequence in separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$(2) \quad A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

here, constants  $A$  and  $B$  are called lower and upper  $K$ - $d$ -frame bounds respectively.

(i) If  $A\|K^*x\|^2 = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame.

(ii) If  $A = 1$ , the above equality becomes  $\|K^*x\|^2 = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called Parseval  $K$ - $d$ -frame.

**Remark 1.** If only the right hand inequality holds in equation (2), then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called a  $K$ - $d$ -Bessel sequence for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Remark 2.** For  $K = I$ ,  $K$ - $d$ -frames are  $d$ -frames.

**Remark 3.** Every  $K$ -frame is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame.

**Theorem 1.** Every  $d$ -frame is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame. But converse need not to be true.

*Proof.* By definition of  $d$ -frame,

$$(3) \quad A\|x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$(4) \quad \|K^*x\| \leq c\|x\|, \text{ implies } A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq Ac\|x\|^2.$$

On multiplying equation (3) by  $c$ ,

$$(5) \quad Ac\|x\|^2 \leq c \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq Bc\|x\|^2$$

on combining equations (4) and (5),

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq c \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq Bc\|x\|^2$$

$$\frac{A}{c}\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2.$$

Hence,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . □

Now, we give the following two examples for the converse of the theorem.

**Example 1.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a double sequence such that,

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} e_{i+1} + e_i, & i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and  $Ke_n = e_{n+1} + e_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $K$ - $d$ -frame bounds  $A = 1, B = 4$  but not a  $d$ -frame due to non-existence of its lower bound.

**Example 2.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that,

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{e_j}{i}, & i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$Ke_n = \frac{e_n}{n}$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $K$ - $d$ -frame bounds  $A = 1, B = 1$ , but not a  $d$ -frame due to its lower bound which does not exist.

Here, we remark that one can construct  $K$ - $d$ -frames from the given  $d$ -frames/frames by taking a suitable linear bounded operator  $K$  in a Hilbert space. We illustrate this fact by following examples.

Recall that an orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Parseval frame for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 3.** Construct a double sequence  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that,

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} e_i, & i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Parseval  $d$ -frame, so for  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , taking  $Ke_1 = e_1, Ke_2 = e_1, Ke_3 = e_2, \dots, Ke_n = e_{n-1}, \dots, \{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  becomes a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $K$ - $d$ -frame bounds  $A = 1/2, B = 1$ .

**Example 4.** Construct a double sequence  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that,

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} e_i, & i = j \text{ and } i = j + 1; \\ e_j, & j = i + 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $d$ -frame with bounds 1 and 3 (lower and upper bounds respectively), considering  $Ke_1 = e_1, Ke_2 = e_1, Ke_3 = e_2, \dots, Ke_n = e_{n-1}, \dots, \{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  becomes a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $K$ - $d$ -frame bounds  $A = 1/2, B = 3$ .

We give the following result to show that  $K$ - $d$ -frame is square summable in norm for a finite dimensional separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite, then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is square summable in norm.

*Proof.* Let the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $k$  (say) finite and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame such that

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let  $\{e_r\}_{r=1}^k$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We have

$$\|x_{ij}\|^2 = \sum_{r=1}^k |\langle e_r, x_{ij} \rangle|^2, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ (by Parseval's identity).}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \|x_{ij}\|^2 &= \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \sum_{r=1}^k |\langle e_r, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle e_r, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^k B \|e_r\|^2 \\ &= Bk. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is square summable. □

For the separable Hilbert space having infinite dimension,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  need not be square summable in norm. We can see it in the following example.

**Example 5.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} e_i, & i = j = 1; \\ e_{i-1} + e_i, & i = j > 1; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

$K : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded linear operator such that  $Ke_n = e_{n+1} + e_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

But,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^n \|e_{i-1} + e_i\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^n |\langle e_{i-1} + e_i, e_{i-1} + e_i \rangle|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^n 4 = \infty.$$

Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame, so it is a  $d$ -Bessel sequence.

So, we define the operators  $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$T(\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} a_{ij} x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$$

and  $T^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$  by

$$T^*x = \{\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{S} = TT^*$  be a frame operator from  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\mathcal{S}x = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a double Bessel sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with lower and upper bounds  $A$  and  $B$  respectively,
- (ii) there exists  $A$  such that  $A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^*x\|^2$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $A > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{S} = TT^* \geq AKK^*$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii)

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, x \right\rangle &= \langle TT^*x, x \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle \\ &= \|T^*x\|^2 \\ &\geq A\|K^*x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K^*x\|^2 &\leq \|T^*x\|^2 \\ \langle AKK^*x, x \rangle &= A\langle K^*x, K^*x \rangle = A\|K^*x\|^2 \\ &= \|T^*x\|^2 \\ &= \langle TT^*x, x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$AKK^* \leq TT^*.$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a double Bessel sequence and  $\mathcal{S} \geq AKK^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AKK^*x, x \rangle &= A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{S}x, x \rangle = \langle TT^*x, x \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, x \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . □

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bound  $A$ , then

1.  $\mathcal{S} = AKK^*$ .
2.  $\|T\| = \sqrt{A}\|K\|$ .

*Proof.*

1. This is obvious from Theorem 3.
2.  $A\|K^*x\|^2 = \|T^*x\|^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| = \|T^*\| &= \sup_{\|x\|=1, x \in \mathcal{H}} \|T^*x\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1, x \in \mathcal{H}} \sqrt{A}\|K^*x\| \\ &= \sqrt{A}\|K^*\| \\ &= \sqrt{A}\|K\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In general frame operator of a  $K$ - $d$ -frame is not invertible on  $\mathcal{H}$ , but with the help of the following definition, we can show that it is invertible on a closed subspace  $R(K) \subset \mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 4** ([3]). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space, and suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  has a closed range. Then, there exists a pseudo-inverse  $K^\dagger \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$N(K^\dagger) = R(K)^\perp, \quad R(K)^\dagger = N(K^\perp), \quad KK^\dagger = I,$$

and it is uniquely determined for all  $x \in R(K)$ . In fact, if  $K$  is invertible, then  $K^{-1} = K^\dagger$ .

**Theorem 4.** The frame operator  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $K$ - $d$ -frame is invertible if range space of  $K$ , i.e.,  $R(K)$  is closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R(K)$  is closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , so by Definition 4 there exists a pseudo-inverse  $K^\dagger$  of  $K$  such that

$$KK^\dagger = I,$$

implies

$$(K^\dagger)^*K^* = I^*.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \|x\| &= \|(K^\dagger)^*K^*x\| \leq \|K^\dagger\| \|K^*x\| \\ \|K^\dagger\|^{-1} \|x\| &\leq \|K^*x\| \leq \|K\| \|x\| \\ \|K^*x\|^2 &\geq \|K^\dagger\|^{-2} \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $K$ - $d$ -frame

$$A\|K^\dagger\|^{-2} \|x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S}x\| = \left\| \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\| \leq B\|x\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in R(K),$$

thus  $\mathcal{S} : R(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(R(K))$  is a homeomorphism.

And we get

$$B^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|\mathcal{S}^{-1}x\| \leq A^{-1}\|K^\dagger\|^2 \|x\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{S}(R(K)). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bound  $A$ , then  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a tight  $TK$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with the same bound  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame, i.e., for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(7) \quad A\|K^*x\|^2 = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2.$$

Since,  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  implies  $T^*x \in \mathcal{H}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K^*T^*x\|^2 &= A\|(TK)^*x\|^2 = \\ \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle T^*x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 &= \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, Tx_{ij} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a tight  $TK$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with the same bound  $A$ .  $\square$

Taking linear bounded operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  are separable Hilbert spaces, we obtain the following result for the operator perturbation of a  $K$ - $d$ -frame.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $K_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K_1$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . Let  $K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  with a closed range and  $TK_1 = K_2T$ . If  $R(K_2^*) \subset R(T)$ , then  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K_2$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K_1$ - $d$ -frame, then

$$A\|K_1^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}_1.$$

For all  $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K_1^*T^*y\|^2 &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle T^*y, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle y, Tx_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq B\|T^*y\|^2 \leq B\|T\|^2\|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $TK_1 = K_2T$ . So,  $K_1^*T^* = T^*K_2^*$ .

We know that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  has a closed range  $R(K_2)^* \subset R(T)$ , then from the Definition 4,  $T$  has the pseudo-inverse  $T^\dagger$  such that  $TT^\dagger = I$ . This implies  $(T^\dagger)^*T^* = I$ .

Then, for all  $x \in R(T)$

$$\|x\| = \|(T^\dagger)^*T^*x\| \leq \|T^\dagger\| \|T^*x\|$$

implies

$$\|T^\dagger\|^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|T^*\| \|x\|, x \in R(T).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K_1^*T^*y\|^2 &= A\|T^*K_2^*y\|^2 \\ &\geq A\|T^\dagger\|^{-2}\|K_2^*y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A\|T^\dagger\|^{-2}\|K_2^*y\|^2 &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle y, Tx_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq B\|T\|^2\|y\|^2, \quad y \in \mathcal{H}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K_2$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  has a closed range with  $TK = KT$ . If  $R(K^*) \subset R(T)$ , then  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $K_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K_1$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . Let  $K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  be surjective with  $TK_1 = K_2T$ . Then,  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K_2$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .*

We give the following result for the perturbation of a linear bounded operator  $T$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$  with a closed range and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ , if  $R(T^*) \subset R(K)$ , then  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $T$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , i.e.,

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 \leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}_1.$$

For all  $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $T^*y \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K^*T^*y\|^2 &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle T^*y, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \leq \\ &B\|T^*y\|^2 \leq B\|T\|^2\|y\|^2, \quad \text{for all } T^*y \in \mathcal{H}_1. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $K$  has a closed range and  $R(T^*) \subset R(K)$  then from equation (6), we get

$$\|K^\dagger\|^{-2}\|T^*y\|^2 \leq A\|K^*T^*y\|^2.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^\dagger\|^{-2}\|T^*y\|^2 &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle T^*y, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 = \\ \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle y, Tx_{ij} \rangle|^2 &\leq B\|T\|^2\|y\|^2, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathcal{H}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{Tx_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $T$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .  $\square$

Now we define the dual of  $K$ - $d$ -frame and establish some results related to  $K$ - $d$ -dual.

**Dual of  $K$ - $d$ -frame:** Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A  $d$ -Bessel sequence  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a  $K$ - $d$ -dual of  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  if

$$(8) \quad Kx = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**Theorem 8.** *Every  $K$ - $d$ -dual is  $K^*$ - $d$ -frame.*

*Proof.* Let a  $d$ -Bessel sequence  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $K$ - $d$ -dual of  $K$ - $d$ -frame  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . By definition, we have

$$Kx = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kx\|^4 &= |\langle Kx, Kx \rangle|^2 = \left| \left\langle \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, Kx \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle|^2 \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle Kx, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle|^2 B \|Kx\|^2, \\ \|Kx\|^2 &\leq B \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle|^2, \\ \frac{1}{B} \|Kx\|^2 &\leq \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $K^*$ - $d$ -frame.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame for separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a  $d$ -Bessel sequence  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -dual of  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \|y_{ij}\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{A}.$$

*Proof.* We know that

$$Kx = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

implies

$$K^*x = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle y_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since,  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a tight  $K$ - $d$ -frame i.e.,

$$A\|K^*x\|^2 = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &= A \left\| \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle y_{ij} \right\|^2 \\ &= A \sup_{\|y\|=1, y \in \mathcal{H}} \left\| \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \langle x, x_{ij} \rangle \langle y_{ij}, y \rangle \right\|^2 \\ &\leq A \sup_{\|y\|=1, y \in \mathcal{H}} \left( \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle y_{ij}, y \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &= A \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \sup_{\|y\|=1, y \in \mathcal{H}} \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle y_{ij}, y \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq A \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |\langle x, x_{ij} \rangle|^2 \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \|y_{ij}\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} \|y_{ij}\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{A}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 10.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -dual of  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then for any  $L \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle \overline{\langle Kx, x_{ij} \rangle} - \left\| \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{i,j \in L^c} \overline{\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle} \langle Kx, x_{ij} \rangle \right) - \left\| \sum_{i,j \in L^c} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\{y_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $K$ - $d$ -dual of  $\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $L \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and the operator

$$U_L x = \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

One can easily observe that  $U_L$  is well defined and bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, we have  $U_L + U_{L^C} = K$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle \overline{\langle Kx, x_{ij} \rangle} - \left\| \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\|^2 \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, Kx \rangle \right) - \|U_L x\|^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, Kx \rangle \right) - \langle U_L x, U_L x \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j \in L} \langle K^* \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, x \rangle - \langle U_L^* U_L x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle K^* U_L x, x \rangle - \langle U_L^* U_L x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle (K^* - U_L^*) U_L x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle U_{L^C}^* U_L x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle U_{L^C}^* (K - U_{L^C}) x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle U_{L^C}^* K x, x \rangle - \langle U_{L^C}^* U_{L^C} x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle x, K^* U_{L^C} x \rangle - \|U_{L^C} x\|^2 \\
&= \left( \left\langle Kx, \sum_{i,j \in L^C} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij}, x \right\rangle \right) - \left\| \sum_{i,j \in L^C} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\|^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i,j \in L^C} \overline{\langle x, y_{ij} \rangle} \langle Kx, x_{ij} \rangle \right) - \left\| \sum_{i,j \in L^C} \langle x, y_{ij} \rangle x_{ij} \right\|^2. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. CONCLUSION

The paper gives a new concept of constructing frames using linear bounded operator  $K$  on  $d$ -frames. Further, the results which are true for the  $K$ -frames are extended and proved for the  $K$ - $d$ -frames. The results and concept of  $K$ - $d$ -frame can be further applied in the field of sampling theory or any other related field.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Benedetto, M. Fickus, *Finite normalized tight frames*, Advances in Computational Mathematics, 18 (2003), 357-385.
- [2] N. Biswas, C. Mehra, M. C. Joshi, *Frames generated by double sequences in Hilbert spaces*, Mathematica Moravica, 27 (1) (2023), 53-72.
- [3] P.G. Casazza, *The Art of Frame Theory*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 4 (2) (2000), 129-201.
- [4] P.G. Casazza, *Custom building finite frames, Wavelet frames and operator theory*, Contemporary Mathematics, 345 (2004), 61-86.

- 
- [5] P.G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, *Frames of subspaces*, In: Wavelets, frames and operator theory, Contemporary Mathematics, 345 (2004), 87-113.
- [6] O. Christensen, *An introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases: An introductory course*, Birkhouser, 2003.
- [7] M.H. Faroughi, R. Ahmadi, *Some properties of  $C$ - fusion frames*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 34 (3) (2010), 393-415.
- [8] P.J.S.G. Ferreira, *Mathematics for multimedia signal processing II: Discrete finite frames and signal reconstruction*, In: Signal Processing for Multimedia, Nato ASI Series of Computer and Systems Sciences, 174 (1999), 35-54.
- [9] L. Gavruta, *Frames for operators*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 32 (2012), 139-144.
- [10] K. Grochenig, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, Springer Science, 2001.
- [11] R.W. Heath, A.J. Paulraj, *Linear dispersion codes for MIMO systems based on frame theory*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 50 (2002), 2429-2441.
- [12] H. Bolcskei, F. Hlawatsch, H.G. Feichtinger, *Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 46 (12) (1998), 3256-3268.
- [13] M. Jia, Y.-C. Zhu, *Some results about the operator perturbation of  $K$ -frame*, Results in Mathematics, 73 (4), 138 pages.
- [14] N. Pauriyal, M. C. Joshi, *More Results on  $K$ - $d$ -Frames*, Journal of Mountain Research, 18 (2) (2023), 87-100.
- [15] G. Ramu, P. Sam Johnson, *Frame operators of  $K$ -frames*, Sociedad Espanola de Mathematica Aplicada, 73 (2016), 171-181.
- [16] X.-C. Xiao, Y.-C. Zhu, L. Gavruta, *Some properties of  $K$ -frames in Hilbert spaces*, Results in Mathematics, 63 (2013), 1243-1255.
- [17] X.-C. Xiao, Y.-C. Zhu, Z.B. Shu, M.L. Ding,  *$G$ -frames with bounded linear operators*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 45 (2) (2015), 675-693.

**NEHA PAURIYAL**

FACULTY OF BIRLA INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES  
BHIMTAL 263136  
UTTARAKHAND  
INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [nehapauriyal1996@gmail.com](mailto:nehapauriyal1996@gmail.com)

**MAHESH C. JOSHI**

D. S. B.CAMPUS  
KUMAUN UNIVERSITY  
NAINITAL 263002  
UTTARAKHAND  
INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [mcjoshi69@gmail.com](mailto:mcjoshi69@gmail.com)