1. INTRODUCTION

For conventional arc welding process the composition of shielding gas plays an important role ensuring the quality of welds, but has a marginal influence on the depth of arc penetration [1]. The application of activating flux during welding increases the depth of penetration 2-3 times without increasing the heat input of welding [2-8].

There have been earlier attempts to apply activating fluxes in welding with consumable electrodes. However, this did not yield the expected results, although it was possible to achieve a slight increase in penetration. Basically, activation of the electric arc in metal inert gas (MIG) welding was used to improve the transfer of electrode material, to reduce spattering, and to make a quality weld seam [9-12]. At the expense of the reduction in surface stress, droplets of electrode material are smaller and the frequency of their transmission is increased. With the use of filler wires that contained the activators, seam formation improved, which was manifested by a decrease in excess weld metal reinforcement, smoother transition towards the base metal and the absence of undercut [9-12]. These welding wires primarily contained compounds based on the alkali and alkaline earth metals, which contribute to the reduction in the surface tension of a liquid metal. The task of increasing the penetration was not solved.

At the beginning of the 1990s, positive results were obtained with the use of AMIG welding [13]. Currently, AMIG welding allows the increase in the depth of penetration up to 3-4 times or the reduction of welding heat input up to 6 times, indicating a positive influence on the properties of metals within a welding joint [2, 4-6, 14].

Although there are similarities in the ATIG and AMIG welding processes they are fundamentally different in terms of the pertaining conditions. These conditions include different sensitivity to the presence of impurities in welded material, the difference in the thermal power of the electric arc and the difference in the formation of the metal bath.

2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In steels, regardless of their purity, there are always impurities such as sulfur and oxygen. The percentage content of these impurities determines the degree of purity of the steel. In refined steels their content is minimal and gradually increases in proportion to the reduction of purity of the metal. Sulfur and oxygen are extremely strong countergents [3, 15], strongly affecting the electric arc penetration ability, which is reflected in the increase in the material penetration depth. This is confirmed by the results of our research, shown in Fig. 1. This histogram enables the assessment of the influence of the degree of steel purity on the depth of penetration of the electric arc in metal inert gas (TIG) welding. If we compare the data, we can see that with welding refined steels we can achieve a minimum penetration depth. When switching...
to quality structural alloy steels with high purity using conventional melting, the depth of penetration increases ~1.5 times (Fig.1) and is maintained at that level in other structural steels.

Figure 1. Impact of purity of steel on penetration depth in TIG welding

With MIG welding, the degree of steel purity practically does not affect the depth of penetration (Fig. 2). At all intervals of the welding current, the depth of penetration in steel is approximately equal, and the difference does not exceed the value of 0.2 mm. The explanation is in the fact that all welding wires contain up to 0.025%, and a low carbon welding wire contains up to 0.03% sulfur [16-15]. Welding wires with elevated and high carbon content, used for welding and surfacing, contain up to 0.035-0.04% sulfur [19, 20]. In addition, a sufficient amount of oxygen is always present in the protective atmosphere used in the MIG welding, which explains the absence of a significant influence of steel purity on its depth of penetration. Also, welding wires contain deoxidants which serve as alloying elements [16-18].

Figure 2. Impact of purity of steel on depth of penetration in MIG welding

Therefore, MIG welding is not sensitive to the presence of admixtures in a welded metal. At the same time, in TIG welding, the presence of admixtures significantly affects the increase in the penetration depth which can be increased up to 1.5 times. It should be taken into account that the arrangement of the admixture in the metal is uneven and this unevenness increases with a decrease in the degree of its purity, which is followed by uneven penetration along the length of the seam. The difference in the depth of penetration in the individual parts of a welding joint may vary up to 1.5 mm. This difference is increased by reducing the purity of steel and the welding current.

The other difference between TIG and MIG welding is a big difference in the energy capacity of these processes. One of the indicators of energy capacity while arc welding is the electric arc heat input [20, 21]:

$$ q = I \cdot U \cdot \eta . $$

(1)

The results of the research (Fig. 3) show that with the same welding current as with the MIG process, the thermal power of the electric arc is 2-3 times higher than with the TIG process. This is explained by the fact that under these welding conditions, the electric arc voltage of MIG welding is 1.5-2 times higher. In addition, the efficiency coefficient of electric arc in the MIG procedure is 1.2-1.35 times higher than in the TIG welding process [20, 21].

Figure 3. Heat energy of the electric arc

According to our data (Fig. 3), in TIG welding with 200 A, the heat input of the electric arc is 1440 W. With the same welding current, the MIG process produces 3520 W of heat input of electric arc, which means it is 2.44 times higher. With 300 A of welding current, this difference is more pronounced and is 2.67 times bigger. Therefore, with the same welding current, the heat input of the electric arc in a MIG process is higher than in the TIG process, and this difference is increased by increasing the welding current.

Another indicator of the energy capacity of the welding process is heat input, which represents the ratio of the heat input of the electric arc ($q$) and welding speed ($v_w$) [20, 21].

In practice, TIG welding is performed at an optimum speed of 6-12 m/h. In extreme cases, the welding speed can be up to 18 m/h. MIG welding is carried out with an optimum speed of 25-30 m/h. Not counting the application of activating fluxes, without special technological measures, the TIG procedure cannot achieve faster speeds, as it is the case with the MIG procedure. For the purpose of comparing the heat input under the same welding conditions, it is wise to use the welding speed of 6-12 m/h for MIG welding.

Fig. 4 curves depict the dependence of heat input on welding current for the TIG and MIG welding with a welding speed of $v_w$ for TIG and MIG welding.

In practice, TIG welding is performed at an optimum speed of 6-12 m/h. In extreme cases, the welding speed can be up to 18 m/h. MIG welding is carried out with an optimum speed of 25-30 m/h. Not counting the application of activating fluxes, without special technological measures, the TIG procedure cannot achieve faster speeds, as it is the case with the MIG procedure. For the purpose of comparing the heat input under the same welding conditions, it is wise to use the welding speed of 6-12 m/h for MIG welding.

Fig. 4 curves depict the dependence of heat input on welding current for the TIG and MIG welding with a welding speed of 6 m/h and 12 m/h. Data show that with identical welding current, the heat input of MIG with a welding speed of 12 m/h significantly exceeds the value of the heat input of TIG welding, regardless of its welding speed.
It has been experimentally established for TIG welding with heat input corresponding to curves 1 and 2 (Fig. 4), that activating fluxes increase the depth of penetration within the limits of the optimal welding currents. In MIG welding with heat input corresponding to curves 3 and 4 (Fig. 4), the influence of the flux on the depth of penetration is not significant.

Based on numerous experimental data, it has been concluded that when achieving the welding current of 280-300 A, the influence of activating fluxes on the depth of penetration in AMIG welding is terminated. By achieving this level of welding current, the depth of penetration is reduced to the level of the conventional MIG welding (Fig. 5). This is not the case with the ATIG welding process.

The maximum energy capacity of TIG welding is achieved by the welding current of 300 A and the welding speed of 6 m/h. With these welding parameters, the heat input is 16167 J/cm, which is 1.53 times more than MIG welding. The metal bath surface is 140 mm², 23.08% less than for MIG welding with minimum heat input.
AMIG process is 200-500 A and in most cases it exceeds the value of 280-300 A, thus achieving the critical surface of the metal bath. This is one of the explanations of the failure of previous attempts to apply activating flux in the MIG welding.

Considering the properties of the TIG and MIG welding, and also the ATIG and AMIG welding, it is possible to significantly reduce or completely eliminate the negative impact of the critical value of the metal bath surface on the effectiveness of AMIG welding.

3. CONCLUSION

Regardless of the similarities between the ATIG and the AMIG welding processes, they differ significantly in terms of their implementation: the difference in sensitivity towards the presence of admixtures in the welded material, the difference in the heat input of the electric arc at which welding is achieved and the difference in the conditions of the metal bath formation.

It was found that there is a critical surface of the metal bath where, if this value is exceeded, the activating fluxes do not show any influence on the penetration ability of the electric arc.

The effect of the critical surface of the metal bath is not manifested with the ATIG welding, because the interval of its optimum welding current is significantly lower than the interval in which the metal bath is formed with the critical value of its surface.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>welding current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>electric arc voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>electric arc efficiency coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>heat input of the electric arc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_w$</td>
<td>welding speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q/v_w$</td>
<td>heat input of the welding process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>penetration depth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acronyms**

- **ATIG**: Activating Fluxes for arc welding with Tungsten Inert Gas
- **AMIG**: Activating Fluxes for arc welding with Metal Inert Gas
- **TIG**: Tungsten Inert Gas
- **MIG**: Metal Inert Gas

**SPECIFICITY OF APPLICATION ACTIVATING FUSION WELDING AT ELECTRODELESS WELDING IN THE ATMOSPHERE**

А.М. Савицки, М.М. Савицки, Д. Бажић

Без повећања струје заваривања активирајући топитељи при електролучном заваривању нетопљивом електродом (АТИГ) и при заваривању нетопљивим електродама (АМИГ) омогућавају повећање дубине пенетрације 2-4 пута. Ови поступци се суштински разликују у условима ихове реализације. При АМИГ заваривању потешкоће у реализацији завареног споја су последица утицаја критичне вредности површине металног купатила. Критична вредност њене површине се постиже струјом заваривања од 280-300 А и према експерименталним резултатима њена вредност је 160-190 мм². Постизањем критичне вредности површине губи се позитивни утицај активирајућег топитеља на пенетрациону способност електричног лука. Оптимални интервал струје заваривања за АМИГ је 200-500 А, што значајно превазилази дозвољен праг. При АТИГ заваривању ефект критичне површине металног купатила не посматра се јер то захтева струју заваривања која превазилази интервал оптималне вредности (макс. 250 А) што је условање постојања нетопљиве електроде. Повећањем струје заваривања преко 250-300 А, радни крај електроде се брзо троши и стабилност процеса заваривања се нарушује.