EVALUATION OF A SUMMARY SCORE FOR DYSLIPIDEMIA, OXIDATIVE STRESS AND INFLAMMATION (THE DOI SCORE) IN WOMEN WITH POLYCYSTIC OVARY SYNDROME AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH OBESITY
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Summary

Background: Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a cardiometabolic disorder whose features include dyslipidemia, increased oxidative stress (OS, oxy) and chronic inflammation. The aim of this study was to investigate the ability of a summary score for dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation (the DOI score) to discriminate PCOS patients from healthy individuals and to evaluate the effect of obesity on individual scores and the DOI score in patients.

Methods: Lipid status parameters, OS status parameters (advanced oxidation protein products; total oxidative status; prooxidant-antioxidant balance; malondialdehyde; total protein sulphydryl groups and paraoxonase 1 activity) and CRP were measured in 114 patients and 50 controls using standardised assays. The DOI score was calculated as the sum of dyslipidemia, oxy and inflammation scores, determined as Z-score values for every subject in relation to the controls.

Results: PCOS patients had significantly higher oxy-score compared to controls (P<0.001). In addition, the DOI score was also higher in PCOS patients than in controls (P<0.001). The DOI score was also significantly higher in patients with obesity than in those without obesity (P<0.05).

List of abbreviations: OS, oxidative stress; DOI, dyslipidemia, oxidative stress, inflammation; CG, control group
ROS in PCOS are adipose tissue, fatty acid oxidation, is associated with OS (8). The potential sources of vague, but numerous studies have found that PCOS pathophysiological mechanism of PCOS remains characterised by irreversible damage of practically all cellular components leading to impaired cell function. The oxidative stress (OS) (7).

Adipose tissue, fatty acid oxidation, is associated with OS (8). The potential sources of ROS in PCOS are adipose tissue, fatty acid oxidation, hyperglycemia, activity of different enzymes (NADPH oxidase, xanthine oxidase, an uncoupled state of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (NOS)) and mitochondrial dysfunction (9). OS is involved in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance, hyperandrogenemia and obesity which often, but not always, accompany PCOS (9). Several circulating markers are currently used to assess OS in PCOS: Homocysteine, malondialdehyde (MDA), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione (GSH) and paraoxonase 1 (PON1) (10). As pronounced OS can arise from reduced antioxidative protection, it is necessary to standardize i.e. measure all the OS parameters in each individual.

Dyslipidemia is the most common metabolic abnormality in PCOS, with a prevalence of up to 70% according to the National Cholesterol Education Programme guidelines (lipid levels that are borderline or elevated) (3). Dyslipidemia usually includes reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and elevated both low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides. This form of atherogenic dyslipidemia together with low-grade inflammation (4) and subclinical atherosclerosis with a real risk of future cardiovascular disease (2).

Dyslipidemia is the most common metabolic abnormality in PCOS, with a prevalence of up to 70% according to the National Cholesterol Education Programme guidelines (lipid levels that are borderline or elevated) (3). Dyslipidemia usually includes reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and elevated both low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglycerides. This form of atherogenic dyslipidemia together with low-grade inflammation (4) and subclinical atherosclerosis with a real risk of future cardiovascular disease (2).

Os is defined as an imbalance between excessive formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reduced antioxidant defence capacity. It is characterised by irreversible damage of practically all cellular components leading to impaired cell function. The pathophysiological mechanism of PCOS remains vague, but numerous studies have found that PCOS is associated with OS (8). The potential sources of ROS in PCOS are adipose tissue, fatty acid oxidation, hyperglycemia, activity of different enzymes (NADPH oxidase, xanthine oxidase, an uncoupled state of endothelial nitric oxide synthase) and mitochondrial dysfunction (9). OS is involved in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance, hyperandrogenemia and obesity which often, but not always, accompany PCOS (9). Several circulating markers are currently used to assess OS in PCOS: Homocysteine, malondialdehyde (MDA), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione (GSH) and paraoxonase 1 (PON1) (10). As pronounced OS can arise from reduced antioxidative protection, it is necessary to standardize i.e. measure all the OS parameters in each individual.

Chronic low-grade inflammation in PCOS patients is often seen via increased C-reactive protein (CRP), WBC and IL-18 (11). OS is implicated in the development of endothelial dysfunction in PCOS, regardless of obesity and metabolic disturbances (12). Hyperglycaemia, chronic inflammation and insulin resistance lead to excessive ROS formation which exceeds the capacity of cellular antioxidant defence systems (13). ROS, by activating pro-inflammatory signalling pathways in endothelial cells, initiates the development of atherosclerosis (9).

Within the complex mechanisms mentioned above the key PCOS risk factors are dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation. In order to assess their mutual effects in PCOS, we have calculated a summary score for dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation (the DOI score). The aim of this study was to investigate the ability of a summary DOI score, as a potent indicator of its interactive role in PCOS, to discriminate patients from healthy individuals. In addition, we evaluated the effect of obesity on the values of individual scores and the DOI score in PCOS patients.

**Conclusion:** PCOS patients had greater dyslipidemia, chronic inflammation and OS compared to controls and could be segregated using all four scores. Our data suggest that weight gain could be the common factor responsible for induction and propagation of dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation in PCOS patients.
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**Introduction**

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine disorder in women of reproductive age (prevalence of 6% – 8%) and is characterised by reproductive and hyperandrogenic features (1). In addition to endocrine disturbance, PCOS is a metabolic disorder whose central features are obesity, insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia (2), dyslipidemia, increased oxidative stress (3), chronic inflammation (4) and subclinical atherosclerosis with a real risk of future cardiovascular disease (2).
Materials and Methods

Subjects

The study included 114 PCOS patients (median age 25.5 years and body mass index, BMI 24.2 kg/m²) and 50 healthy females (median age 28.0 years and BMI 22.1 kg/m²). Samples from all participants were collected during a 24-month period (October 2015 – June 2017) at the Clinic of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, Clinical Centre of Serbia, Belgrade. Patients included in the study were referred to endocrinologists because of menstrual irregularity or hirsutism. PCOS was defined on the basis of the revised Rotterdam Consensus Criteria (2003) (14) by meeting 2 of the following 3 criteria: Moderate oligo/amenorrhea (less than eight menstrual cycles in a year), presence of clinical hyperandrogenism (hirsutism) and/or biochemical hyperandrogenemia, and the existence of polycystic ovaries confirmed by transvaginal ultrasonography. Patients were analysed during the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, or at any time if they had severe oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea.

The control group (CG) consisted of healthy women (normal glucose metabolism, non-smokers and zero alcohol consumers) with no signs of hyperandrogenism. The presence of hyperprolactinemia, hypothyroidism, non-classic 21-hydroxylase deficiency, Cushing’s disease and androgen-secreting tumours in the CG were excluded by laboratory tests. CG females had not taken oral contraceptives, glucocorticoids or antiandrogens in the previous three months. The study was approved by the Institute’s Ethics Committee and conducted respecting the principles set out in the latest amendment to the Declaration of Helsinki (Edinburgh, 2000). Written informed consent was voluntary obtained from all subjects. The study protocol was evaluated and approved by Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Belgrade.

Methods

BMI and systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure (SBP and DBP) were determined in all study participants. BMI was calculated as the ratio of body weight and body height squared. SBP and DBP were measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer on the left arm in a sitting position. The average of two measurements was calculated and used for analysis.

Blood samples were collected in the morning after a 12 h fasting period in the follicular phase of the cycle (on the 2nd or the 3rd day) from both the PCOS patients and the CG or randomly in the case of severe oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea (in PCOS patients). Plasma and serum were separated and stored at -80 °C until analyses took place.

Total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, triglyceride (TG) and CRP concentration were determined using commercially available enzymatic and turbidimetric tests on an automated biochemical analyser Dimension RxL Max (Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Germany). The concentration of LDL-C was calculated by the Friedewald formula (15). NonHDL-C (total cholesterol minus HDL-C) and the TG-to-HDL-C ratio (TG/HDL-C) were also determined in all study participants.

Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) were determined according to the Witko-Sarsat method using a reaction with glacial acetic acid and potassium iodide (16). Total oxidative status (TOS) was measured by a spectrophotometric method using o-dianisidine optimized by Erel (17). Total antioxidative status (TAS) was measured by a spectrophotometric method using ABTS as a chromogen (18). The method for prooxidant-antioxidant balance (PAB) used 3,3′, 5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine as a chromogen (19). Malondialdehyde (MDA) was measured by spectrophotometry using the thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) assay (20). Total protein sulphhydril (SH-) groups were determined using a spectrophotometric method with 5,5′-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) (21). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was measured according to a method which depended on the ability of the enzyme to inhibit autooxidation of epinephrine in alkaline medium (22). PON1 activity was measured kinetically using paraoxon (Chem Service, PA, USA) as the substrate by the method described by Richter and Fur long (23). All assays were performed on a Lab 300+ (Instrumentation Laboratory, Milan, Italy).

As we wished to examine the mutual effect of the most important risk factors in PCOS patients, we calculated the DOI score as a sum of dyslipidemia, OS and inflammatory scores. To calculate the dyslipidemia score we standardised all the variables by calculating Z scores for LDL-C, TG and HDL-C using mean and standard deviation calculated from the CG. Thereafter, the dyslipidemia score was calculated according to the equation: Average of the standardised variables [(LDL-C+TG)/2 minus standardised HDL-C]. The OS score (oxy-score) was calculated by subtracting the protective score from the damage score using the equation given by Veglia et al. (24). The protective score was calculated as an average of standardised antioxidant variables (total SH-groups, TAS, SOD and PON1 activity) while the damage score was calculated as an average of standardised prooxidant factors (AOPP, TOS, PAB and MDA). The inflammation score was determined in both groups after standardisation of CRP as an acute phase protein. All variables with non-normal distributions were log-transformed before computation.
**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 18.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Since the variables did not show normal Gaussian distributions the data are shown as median and interquartile range and comparisons between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U or Kruskal-Wallis tests. Spearman’s correlation analysis was used to evaluate the strength of association between the scores and multiple linear regression analysis was implemented to determine the mutual influence of obesity, lipid and OS parameters in PCOS patients. Areas under receiver operating characteristic curves (AUC-ROC) for the dyslipidemia, oxy, inflammation and summary DOI scores were calculated and the discriminative abilities of the models were classified according to their AUC values as poor (0.5 ≤ AUC < 0.7), acceptable (0.7 ≤ AUC < 0.8), excellent (0.8 ≤ AUC < 0.9) or outstanding (AUC ≥ 0.9). A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The characteristics of the PCOS patients and CG subjects are presented in Table I.

The results are shown as the median values and interquartile range. After Mann-Whitney analysis, the following results were observed. HDL-C was significantly lower in PCOS patients compared to the CG. TC, nonHDL-C, LDL-C and TG did not significantly differ between the two groups. The atherogenic index (TG/HDL-C ratio) did not significantly differ between the two groups (even though the median value of the TG/HDL ratio was higher in PCOS patients). PCOS patients had significantly higher CRP compared to the CG.

OS parameters in PCOS patients and the CG are presented in Figure 1.

Significantly higher prooxidant parameters (AOPP, TOS, PAB and MDA) were found in PCOS patients compared to the CG. PCOS patients had lower PON1 activity (P < 0.05) and reduced concentration of SH-groups (P < 0.001), parameters of antioxidant protection, compared to the CG.

In order to assess the influence and extent of OS, dyslipidemia and inflammation in PCOS we calculated the oxy-score, a comprehensive index of OS status, dyslipidemia and inflammation scores as well as a summary DOI score (Figure 2).

As expected PCOS patients had a significantly higher oxy-score compared to the CG (the damage score was higher in PCOS patients and the protective score was lower in PCOS patients). The DOI score were higher in PCOS patients as the values of the dyslipidemia and inflammatory scores were higher than those in the CG.

Interrelationships between the different scores were assessed using Spearman’s correlation analysis and are shown in Table II.

The oxy-score showed the strongest and most significant positive correlation with the dyslipidemia score (r < 0.001) and significant positive correlation with the inflammation score (r < 0.01). In addition, strong positive association was observed between the dyslipidemia and the inflammation scores (p < 0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PCOS (n=114)</th>
<th>Control group (CG) (n=50)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.2 (21.2–29.7)</td>
<td>22.1 (20.7–23.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>25.5 (22.0–29.2)</td>
<td>28.0 (23.7–30.0)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>120 (110–125)</td>
<td>110 (103–120)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>75.0 (70.0–80.0)</td>
<td>74.5 (65.7–80.0)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.76 (4.14–5.31)</td>
<td>4.98 (4.38–5.50)</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL–C, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.40 (1.10–1.80)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.32–1.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonHDL–C, mmol/L</td>
<td>3.24 (2.57–3.93)</td>
<td>3.39 (2.87–3.76)</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL–C, mmol/L</td>
<td>2.84 (2.19–3.28)</td>
<td>2.81 (2.53–3.12)</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.840 (0.657–1.42)</td>
<td>0.930 (0.712–1.37)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/HDL–C</td>
<td>0.644 (0.387–1.20)</td>
<td>0.503 (0.401–0.876)</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>1.90 (0.70–3.70)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.40–1.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P < 0.05; < 0.01; < 0.001
Data are presented as median and interquartile range
Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test
Figure 1 OS parameters in PCOS patients and the CG.

***P<0.001, *P<0.05 compared to PCOS patients, according to the Mann-Whitney U test

Figure 2 Risk scores (dyslipidemia, inflammation and oxy scores) in PCOS patients and the CG.

***P<0.001, *P<0.05 compared to the PCOS patients
Multiple linear regression analysis (MLR) backward selection, was implemented to determine the mutual influence of obesity, lipid and OS parameters in PCOS patients. The best models which determined variability in the distinct scores are shown in Table III.

The main oxy-score predictors in PCOS patients were TC (positive correlation) and HDL-C (negative correlation). The adjusted $R^2$ was 0.228 which indicated that approximately 23% of variability in oxy-score values was related to the selected parameters model. The most important predictors of the inflammation score were BMI and MDA (both with positive correlation, with 27% of variation). The dyslipidemia score was defined by BMI, AOPP, MDA (positive correlations) and TOS (negative correlation). This model explained 54% of influence on score variability.

### Table II
Spearman’s nonparametric correlation of risk scores in PCOS patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Dyslipidemia score</th>
<th>Inflammation score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxy-score</td>
<td>0.397***</td>
<td>0.252**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia score</td>
<td>0.365***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$-Spearman’s correlation coefficient: **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$

### Table III
MLR analysis for predictors of oxy, inflammation and dyslipidemia score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oxy-score</th>
<th>Inflammation score</th>
<th>Dyslipidemia score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>95th CI</td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.080 (0.015)</td>
<td>(0.055–0.105)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>1.843 (0.394)</td>
<td>(1.062–2.623)***</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>-2.911 (0.806)</td>
<td>(-4.509–-1.313)***</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOPP</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.049 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>-0.018 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.123 (0.057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.271 (0.108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted $R^2$</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unstandardized beta coefficient (SE-Standard error); 95th CI; *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$ according MLR analysis

### Table IV
AUC for calculated scores after constructing ROC curves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable(s)</th>
<th>AUC (SE)</th>
<th>95th CI</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxy-score</td>
<td>0.996 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.992–1.001</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI score</td>
<td>0.972 (0.009)††</td>
<td>0.953–0.990</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation score</td>
<td>0.751 (0.037)††† ,††††</td>
<td>0.679–0.823</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia score</td>
<td>0.603 (0.041)††† ,††††</td>
<td>0.522–0.685</td>
<td>$&lt;0.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC – Area Under the Curve, $P$ from ROC analysis – significance of the specific curve
†††, †††† – Significantly different from Oxy-score; ††, ††† – Significantly different from DOI score; $P<0.05$, 0.01, 0.001

Multiple linear regression analysis (MLR) backward selection, was implemented to determine the mutual influence of obesity, lipid and OS parameters in PCOS patients. The best models which determined variability in the distinct scores are shown in Table III.

The main oxy-score predictors in PCOS patients were TC (positive correlation) and HDL-C (negative correlation). The adjusted $R^2$ was 0.228 which indicated that approximately 23% of variability in oxy-score values was related to the selected parameters model. The most important predictors of the inflammation score were BMI and MDA (both with positive correlation, with 27% of variation). The dyslipidemia score was defined by BMI, AOPP, MDA (positive correlations) and TOS (negative correlation). This model explained 54% of influence on score variability.
In order to evaluate the ability of all the scores to identify PCOS patients, we performed ROC curve analysis (Figure 3) and compared the scores using their AUC values (Table IV).

Both, oxy and DOI scores had larger AUCs (AUC > 0.9) compared to the inflammation and dyslipidemia scores meaning that these two scores exhibited outstanding discriminative abilities. This is supported by the high sensitivity (97%) and specificity (97%) of the oxy-score and also the sensitivity (88%) and specificity (88%) for the DOI score at the cut-off value. Interestingly, the oxy-score showed better diagnostic accuracy in discriminating PCOS patients (P<0.01) compared to the DOI score.

As multiple regression analysis indicated that BMI was an important predictor for both inflammatory and dyslipidemia scores, we examined how obesity affected all the risk scores in PCOS patients. We divided the PCOS patients into three subgroups according to BMI values. The first subgroup consisted of normal weight patients (BMI<25 kg/m²), the second group consisted of overweight patients (BMI=25.1–30.0 kg/m²) and the third group consisted of obese patients (BMI>30.0 kg/m²) (Table V).

Normal weight PCOS patients had significantly lower dyslipidemia and inflammation scores compared to both overweight and obese patients. The calculated DOI score in normal weight PCOS patients compared to both overweight and obese patients was also significantly lower, while their oxy-score level did not differ from obese patients, but it was significantly lower compared to overweight PCOS patients. When risk scores between overweight and obese PCOS patients were examined only the inflammation score differed (significantly higher in obese PCOS patients).

### Discussion

It was shown that PCOS clinical characteristics: dyslipidemia, increased OS and chronic inflammation can promote dysregulation of the ovarian thecal compartment and endothelial dysfunction resulting in hyperandrogenism, anovulation and early atherosclerosis (3). In the current study, by using specific biochemical indices, we have examined the ability of a summary score for dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation (the DOI score) to discriminate PCOS patients, prone to develop cardiometabolic consequences, from healthy females.

Dyslipidemia is the most common metabolic abnormality in PCOS patients, although the extent and type of dyslipidemia is variable (3). Dyslipidemia is characterised by significantly lower HDL, a lipoprotein that is thought to be the strongest metabolic predictor of coronary heart disease (25) and hypertriglyceridemia which has been found in both younger and older age groups (5, 26). However, some investigators have not found differences in circulating lipid levels in women with PCOS when compared with BMI-matched controls (27). In our study, we found decreased HDL-C concentration in PCOS patients compared to the CG, without any significant difference in TG, nonHDL-C and the atherogenic index (Table I). Furthermore, TC and LDL-C were not increased in PCOS patients (Table I). There is wide variability of data in studies regarding LDL-C in PCOS patients. Several studies have reported increased LDL-C and alterations in LDL quality in PCOS patients. LDL consists of different subclasses according to size, density and atherogenicity. Small dense LDL particles are more atherogenic than large buoyant ones and there is continuous increase in the LDL atherogenicity with decreasing particle size in PCOS patients (3, 28). However, all of the above-mentioned studies included primarily overweight or obese PCOS patients. A Korean study (29) revealed that there were no significant quantitative or qualitative changes in the LDL profile of non-obese PCOS patients. A large meta study (30) indicated that LDL-C was significantly elevated in PCOS patients, but also that LDL-C was influenced by many variables, including ethnicity, severity of syndrome (anovulatory vs. ovula-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PCOS, BMI &lt;25.0 kg/m² (n=55)</th>
<th>PCOS, BMI=25.1–30.0 kg/m² (n=24)</th>
<th>PCOS, BMI &gt;30.0 kg/m² (n=35)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia score</td>
<td>-1.29 (-2.34 – -0.09)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.19 – 2.71) †††</td>
<td>1.74 (0.39 – 2.81) †††</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation score</td>
<td>-0.23 (-0.80 – 0.93)</td>
<td>0.79 (-0.04 – 1.13) †</td>
<td>1.25 (0.75 – 1.67) †††,† †</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxy-score</td>
<td>9.37 (7.17 – 13.40)</td>
<td>12.31 (9.88 – 15.91) ††</td>
<td>10.88 (7.79 – 14.17) † †</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOI score</td>
<td>7.92 (5.05 – 12.48)</td>
<td>14.30 (11.54 – 19.77) †††</td>
<td>14.56 (9.31 – 18.01) † †</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†,††, ††† – Significantly different from the first group (PCOS normal weight group)
‡,‡‡,‡‡‡ – Significantly different from the second group (PCOS overweight group)
P<0.05, 0.01, 0.001
tory forms), quality of food and BMI. However, after calculating the dyslipidemia score, we found a significantly higher score in PCOS patients compared to the CG although only HDL-C was significantly lower in PCOS patients, while other lipid parameters did not differ between the examined groups (Figure 2). HDL particles prevent LDL particle oxidation and have anti-atherogenic and anti-inflammatory properties. It has been suggested that PCOS patients not only have decreased HDL-C but also altered HDL quality (31). Decreased HDL2 fraction (the most anti-atherogenic HDL subtype) has been observed in PCOS patients (25). We can assume that decreased HDL-C and changed composition of HDL-C particles represents the initial step in the development of dyslipidemia in PCOS patients. Moreover, it could also be associated with propagation of OS and inflammation, as a consequence of the reduced anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory capacity of low HDL-C.

Inflammation is clearly involved in the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis and there is a close relationship between chronic inflammation and OS in the atherosclerotic pathological process (32). Obesity affects cardiovascular risk in PCOS patients because it promotes chronic low-grade inflammation causing an increase in inflammatory markers (CRP, TNF- and IL-6) (33). There is direct correlation between the levels of inflammatory markers in PCOS and patient BMI, as overweight and obese PCOS patients have significantly higher levels of these markers compared to weight-matched controls (34). Our results incorporating the inflammatory marker CRP, a powerful independent predictor of CVD, confirmed this. CRP levels were significantly higher in our PCOS patients compared to the CG, but the values were lower than 3.5 mg/L which indicated low-grade inflammation. Regarding that, the inflammation score was significantly higher in PCOS patients compared to the CG.

OS has been recognised as an undesirable factor present in various pathological disorders including PCOS. Serum prooxidants and antioxidants are altered in PCOS patients, causing redox imbalance and promotion of OS. PCOS patients have increased OS markers such as MDA, the end-product of lipid peroxidation, reflecting oxidative-induced cell damage. AOPP, a marker of oxidant-mediated protein damage and a potent inflammatory mediator, total oxidant status (TOS), an aggregative index of plasma oxidant state and prooxidant-antioxidant balance (PAB), a parameter reflecting concomitant existence of prooxidants and antioxidants in living systems have all been found to be elevated in PCOS patients (10, 35, 36). Our current observations (Figure 1) concur with previous data. PCOS patients have diminished antioxidative protection parameters such as PON1 (an antioxidative enzyme) and total SH-groups as scavengers of free radicals (10, 37). The first study reporting reduced serum PON1 activity in PCOS patients was a study by Dursun et al. (38). The authors suggested that decreased serum PON1 activity may have contributed to increased susceptibility towards the development of insulin resistance and atherosclerotic heart disease in PCOS patients. Another study (39) also showed that PCOS patients had reduced antioxidant PON1 activity and suggested that they were oxidatively stressed which may have been related to increased atherosclerosis seen later in life. Our PCOS patients had both decreased PON1 activity as well as SH-groups compared to the CG (Figure 1). The exact mechanism behind decreased PON1 in PCOS patients is not known. Reduced PON1 can contribute to OS because PON1 is an antioxidative enzyme that prevents oxidation of lipoproteins by hydrolysing atherogenic products of oxidative lipid modification (10). In order to assess OS we used the oxy-score, a comprehensive index of OS derived from computation of different variables relevant for oxidative balance (24).

As several risk factors are intimately involved in complex PCOS pathology we combined three of them and calculated the DOI score (sum of dyslipidemia, oxy and inflammation scores). As expected, all scores were significantly increased in PCOS patients compared to the CG (Figure 2). Since the values of all three scores were increased in PCOS patients, the DOI score was significantly higher in PCOS patients, indicating the usefulness of this result for assessing cardiometabolic risk factors in PCOS patients.

A direct correlation between obesity and both insulin resistance and OS in PCOS patients has been demonstrated (40). OS participates in the pathogenesis of obesity mainly by inducing chronic inflammation and adipose tissue dysfunction (41). Furthermore, it appears that both adipose tissue dysfunction and obesity promote dyslipidemia, chronic inflammation and OS. The main predictors of the oxy-score in our PCOS patients were TC (positive correlation) and HDL-C (negative correlation). The most important predictors of the inflammation score in our PCOS patients were BMI and MDA (both with positive correlation), while independent factors of the dyslipidemia score in our PCOS patients were BMI, AOPP and MDA (positive correlation) and TOS (negative correlation) (Table III). AUC-ROC analysis revealed that both the oxy- and the summary DOI scores were outstanding cardiovascular risk indices for PCOS patient outcomes (Table IV).

The effect of obesity on markers of OS, inflammation and dyslipidemia in PCOS patients has been widely examined (42). In our study BMI was an independent predictor of both dyslipidemia and inflammation scores, as opposed to the oxy-score whose independent predictors were TC and HDL-C. Following on from this, overweight and obese PCOS patients had significantly higher dyslipidemia and inflammatory scores as well as the DOI score when compared to normal weight subjects (Table V).
Adipose tissue is a dynamic endocrine organ that is involved in the secretion of different hormones and cytokines. Functional abnormalities of adipocytes are observed in PCOS patients. These abnormalities can promote mild inflammation and OS due to hypertrophy when exposed to androgen excess (9). These hypertrophic adipocytes have been identified as a source of proinflammatory cytokines that are potent stimulators of ROS and low-grade inflammation (3). Together our data suggest that weight gain could be the common factor responsible for induction and propagation of dyslipidemia, OS and inflammation in PCOS patients.

In conclusion, our results indicate that PCOS patients display more pronounced dyslipidemia, chronic inflammation and OS compared to the CG and can be segregated by the dyslipidemia, oxy and inflammation scores. The summary DOI score, as well as the oxy-score, show remarkable abilities to identify PCOS patients (AUC 0.9, which is outstanding diagnostic accuracy), compared to the inflammation and dyslipidemia scores.

Our mode of assessment is relevant and applicable for cardiovascular risk prediction. In order to achieve further solid conclusions our study must be extended prospectively in order to monitor progression of dyslipidemia, inflammation and OS parameters via the DOI score and to assess the effect of therapy on reducing the overall cardiovascular risk in the PCOS patients later in their life.
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