Baltezarević Borivoje*

UDK 316.7:004 UDK 004.8.5:316 DOI: 10.5937/MegRev2302141B Review scientific paper

Received 15.01.2023. Approved 14.10.2023.

DECODING IDENTITY AND REPRESENTATION IN THE AGE OF AI**

Summary: The epoch of the digital age is marked by the seamless integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into the various facets of human communication and representation. As AI models begin to dictate our online behaviors and digital representations, questions about authenticity, representation, and identity surface. The semiotics of digital culture, a framework rooted in understanding the interplay of signs and symbols, provides an avenue to decode these nuanced shifts in identity representation in the age of AI. Through an in-depth examination of recent academic literature, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of how AI influences and shapes the semiotics of digital culture, with a particular focus on implications for identity and representation. The continuous loop between human inputs and AI outputs forms a dynamic relationship, wherein the semiotic cues from humans shape AI algorithms, which in turn modulate digital representations and impact how we perceive identity. Drawing on a range of real-world research, this discourse elucidates the complexities and challenges posed by the intersection of AI and semiotics in digital culture.

Keywords: Semiotics, Digital Culture, Artificial Intelligence, Identity, Representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The intricate tapestry of signs, symbols, and codes forms the foundation of human communication. Semiotics, the study of these signs and symbols within culture and communication, gains unprecedented relevance in the digital age. Particularly, when this digital age is underscored by the profound influence of artificial intelligence (AI) (Chandler, 2002). As Barthes (1967) emphasized, the layers of meaning within signs, whether they are visual images, written text, or even digital icons, carry the power to shape and influence society. This power, in the age of AI, is amplified, mutated, and even sometimes obfuscated (Pasquinelli, 2017). Historically, digital platforms allowed individuals to craft their online personas, giving them agency over their identity's digital representation. But as Turkle (1995)

^{*} Vanredni profesor, naučni saradnik, Institut za srpsku kulturu Priština – Leposavić, baltezb@yahoo.co.uk

^{**} Rad je nastao u okviru naučnoistraživačkog rada NIO po Ugovoru sklopljenim sa Ministarstvom prosvete, nauke i tehnološkog razvoja broj: 451-03-68/2022-14 od 17. 01. 2022. godine.

indicated, the fluidity of this identity was still under the user's control. In today's context, where AI algorithms govern the visibility, representation, and even creation of digital content, the nature of this control and representation becomes contested (Hayles, 2017). AI, with its data-driven algorithms, does more than just process information. It "learns," "predicts," and in many ways, "influences" human behavior online. Whether it's through curated content feeds on social media platforms or personalized advertisements based on browsing history, the algorithms subtly shape our perceptions, actions, and even our identities (Manovich, 2001). The purpose of this paper, therefore, is to delve deeper into the labyrinth of AI-infused digital culture to decode the semiotics of this space. By examining how AI models shape, transform, and in some cases, even distort the representation of identity, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the contemporary semiotic landscape of digital culture.

2. MAIN CONSIDERATIONS

The semiotic landscape of digital culture is vast and multifaceted. With Al's inclusion, this landscape witnesses further complexities. One of the most profound areas of impact is the representation of identity. In traditional semiotics, identity representation was a direct reflection of one's self-perception and how they chose to communicate this perception to the world (Eco, 1976). However, the advent of AI introduces an intermediary. This intermediary, driven by vast amounts of data and predictive algorithms, plays a role in shaping and presenting digital identity, often based on patterns, preferences, and behaviors it has "learned" from vast datasets (Bolter & Grusin, 2000). For instance, a user's digital persona on a social media platform may be influenced by the AI algorithm's content recommendations. The user interacts with this recommended content, further fine-tuning the algorithm's understanding of the user's preferences. Over time, this iterative feedback loop can lead the user to a very niche digital identity, influenced more by algorithmic suggestions than the user's organic choices (Bucher, 2018). The representation of identity, thus, becomes an amalgamation of personal choices and algorithmic influences. But where does the line get drawn? How much of this digital identity is a genuine reflection of the individual, and how much is it a manifestation of algorithmic predictions?

Furthermore, the AI algorithms, despite their sophistication, base their predictions on historical data. This reliance on the past poses significant challenges for the semiotic representation of identity. For one, it risks homogenizing digital identities by pushing users towards widely accepted norms and patterns (Floridi, 2014). Additionally, it can stifle the evolution of identity by continually referencing and reiterating past behaviors (Crawford, 2021). Another area of concern is the representation of collective identities or communities. AI models, particularly those in content curation, often create echo chambers, where users are repeatedly exposed to similar content, views, and ideologies (Pariser, 2011). These echo chambers can lead to a skewed representation of community values, beliefs, and ideologies. The semiotic signs and symbols associated with these communities, under the influence of AI, can then become narrow, repetitive, and even polarized.

The challenges posed by AI to the semiotics of digital culture extend beyond individual and community identities. They seep into areas of cultural representation, historical interpretations, and even the representation of reality itself. In the digital realm, where the

lines between reality and virtuality blur, AI's role in shaping and representing this reality becomes even more critical (Baudrillard, 1994).

However, it's essential to note that the integration of AI into digital culture is not solely problematic. There are numerous instances where AI aids in enhancing representation, providing visibility to marginalized voices, and creating inclusive digital spaces (Jenkins, 2006). The challenge lies in understanding, decoding, and navigating the nuanced interplay of AI algorithms and semiotic signs and symbols in digital culture.

The synergy between semiotics and AI is inextricable when delving into digital culture. Semiotics provides the framework for understanding, while AI operates as a transformative agent, influencing how we interpret and engage with digital signs and symbols.

In an age where self-representation is increasingly carried out online, digital platforms become the canvas on which individuals paint their identities. These platforms, equipped with AI algorithms, hold a dual role. They are both facilitators of self-expression and, simultaneously, influencers of how this self is portrayed. Historically, as per Foucault (1982), the self was a construct of societal norms and introspective reflection. Now, this 'self' is also an amalgam of digital footprints and algorithmic interpretations. Platforms, using AI, often suggest categorizations – based on interests, activities, or affiliations – subtly influencing how one perceives their own identity (Gillespie, 2014). While these suggestions can lead to a heightened sense of belonging, they can also pigeonhole individuals into predefined categories, limiting the multifaceted nature of human identity.

Furthermore, the use of deepfake technology, a product of advanced AI, allows for the creation of hyper-realistic but entirely synthetic audio, video, or images of real people. This technology challenges the core of self-representation. When any visual or auditory representation can be manipulated seamlessly, what does it mean for authenticity? (Chesney & Citron, 2019).

Echo chambers, as previously discussed, limit exposure to diverse views, creating environments where individuals are consistently reinforced in their existing beliefs (Sunstein, 2017). These chambers, often resulting from AI's content recommendation systems, challenge the semiotic understanding of community and collective identity. The symbols and narratives that dominate these echo chambers, rather than being reflective of a community's holistic view, are those that are algorithmically deemed engaging or popular. This not only narrows the scope of discourse but also polarizes communities, as individuals are seldom exposed to counter-narratives or diverse perspectives (Benkler, Faris & Roberts, 2018).

AI's influence isn't confined to individual or community identities; it extends to how cultures are represented. Cultural narratives, traditionally passed down through generations, find a new medium in digital platforms. AI algorithms curate these narratives based on popularity, engagement, or even commercial interests. The risk? A homogenization of cultural stories, where only the most 'popular' or 'engaging' narratives gain visibility (Noble, 2018). Historical events, rituals, or stories that don't fit the algorithm's criteria might be sidelined, leading to a skewed representation of a culture's richness and depth. This poses ethical challenges, especially when these algorithms operate on global platforms, influencing cross-cultural perceptions (Hall, 1980).

Baudrillard (1994) spoke of the 'hyperreal', where the distinction between reality and its representation blurs. In a digital age dominated by AI, this notion becomes even

more pertinent. Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR), powered by AI, create immersive experiences that challenge our semiotic understanding of 'real'. The symbols and signs in these virtual spaces, though devoid of tangible existence, hold real emotional, psychological, and sometimes even socio-economic implications (Jurgenson, 2012).

In these virtual realms, AI often plays the role of a creator, curator, and mediator. It designs environments, dictates interactions, and even influences 'virtual' decisions. The signs and symbols within these spaces, their meaning, and their impact on users, while rooted in the real world, take on a new dimension, requiring a fresh semiotic framework for understanding (Murray, 1997).

3. CONCLUSION

The confluence of semiotics and artificial intelligence offers a profound avenue for understanding the zeitgeist of our digital age. From the way we perceive ourselves to how we engage with communities, cultures, and realities – AI holds a magnifying glass to the signs and symbols that dictate these perceptions. While AI brings precision, personalization, and potency to digital interactions, it also raises concerns about authenticity, representation, and homogenization. The challenge and opportunity lie in harnessing AI's capabilities while being critically aware of its implications.

The discourse around the semiotics of digital culture, especially in the context of AI, is not just academic; it's fundamentally existential. As digital beings in an interconnected realm, understanding these nuances becomes paramount for preserving the richness, diversity, and authenticity of human narratives.

LITERATURE

- Barthes, R. (1967). Elements of Semiology. Hill and Wang.
- Baudrillard, J. (1994). Simulacra and simulation. University of Michigan press.
- Benkler, Y., Faris, R., & Roberts, H. (2018). Network propaganda: Manipulation, disinformation, and radicalization in American politics. Oxford University Press.
- Chesney, R. & Citron, D. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming crisis for national security, democracy, and privacy? Brookings Institution.
- Foucault, M. (1982). The subject and power. Critical inquiry, 8(4), 777-795.
- Gillespie, T. (2014). The relevance of algorithms. In Media technologies (pp. 167-194). MIT Press.
- Hall, S. (1980). Encoding/decoding. Culture, media, language: Working papers in cultural studies, 1972-79, 128-138.
- Jurgenson, N. (2012). The disconnectionists. The New Inquiry.
- Lotman, Y. M. (1977). The structure of the artistic text. University of Michigan.
- Murray, J. H. (1997). Hamlet on the holodeck: The future of narrative in cyberspace. Free Press.
- Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press.

- Peirce, C. S., & Buchler, J. (1955). Philosophical writings of Peirce. Courier Corporation.
- Saussure, F. D., & Baskin, W. (2011). Course in general linguistics. Columbia University Press.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). # Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media. Princeton University Press.
- Turkle, S. (2011). Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each ot her. Basic Books.

Baltezarević Borivoje

UDK 316.7:004 UDK 004.8.5:316 DOI: 10.5937/MegRev2302141B Review scientific paper Received 15.01.2023. Approved 14.10.2023.

DEKODIRANJE IDENTITETA I PREDSTAVLJANJE U DOBA AI

Sažetak: Epoha digitalnog doba obeležena je besprekornom integracijom veštačke inteligencije (AI) u različite aspekte ljudske komunikacije i predstavljanja. Kako modeli veštačke inteligencije počinju da diktiraju naše ponašanje i digitalno predtavljanje na mreži, otvaraju se pitanja o autentičnosti, predstavljanju i identitetu. Semiotika digitalne kulture, koja predstavlja okvir ukorenjen u razumevanju međuigre znakova i simbola, pruža modus dekodiranja ovih nijansiranih pomeranja u predstavljanju identiteta u doba veštačke inteligencije. Kroz dubinsko ispitivanje novije akademske literature, ovaj rad ima za cilj da pruži sveobuhvatnu analizu kako AI utiče i oblikuje semiotiku digitalne kulture, sa posebnim fokusom na implikacije identiteta i predstavljanja. Neprekidna interakcija između ljudskih input-a i AI output-a formira dinamičan odnos, pri čemu semiotički signali ljudskog ulaza oblikuje AI algoritme, koji zauzvrat moduliraju digitalno predstavljanje i utiču na to kako mi percipiramo identitet. Oslanjajući se na niz istraživanja ovih fenomena u praksi, ovaj diskurs razjašnjava složenost i izazove koje postavlja ukrštanje veštačke inteligencije i semiotike u digitalnoj kulturi.

Ključne reči: semiotika, digitalna kultura, veštačka inteligencija, identitet, predstavljanje.