

THE IMPACT OF TAXATION POLICIES ON FINANCIAL MARKET EFFICIENCY: A MIXED-METHOD STUDY USING ONLINE FINANCIAL DATA

Abstract: *This study examines the impact of taxation policies on financial market performance through a mixed-methods analysis of 15 developed and emerging economies from 2010 to 2024. It combines quantitative econometric modeling of key market indicators—such as liquidity ratios, bid-ask spreads, and volatility indices—with qualitative content analysis of policy frameworks and financial discourse. Findings show that capital gains and transaction taxes significantly reduce market efficiency by lowering trading volume and increasing information asymmetry, with emerging markets exhibiting greater sensitivity. Several policy mechanisms, including tax deferral options and progressive rates, can mitigate these negative effects. Additionally, qualitative analysis reveals investor concerns over tax policy unpredictability and its disruptive impact on portfolio allocation. These results contribute to debates on optimal tax policy in increasingly digital and interconnected markets, suggesting that carefully designed tax incentives can balance fiscal goals with market efficiency. The study concludes with policy recommendations tailored to different market development levels.*

Keywords: *financial market efficiency, taxation policy, capital gains tax, transaction costs, mixed-methods, online data, fiscal policy*

* Faculty of Geoeconomics, Megatrend University, Novi Beograd, Serbia.
E-mail: wanwei1109@outlook.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Amid fiscal pressures, taxation is a critical tool for revenue stability and investor influence. Financial markets, shaped by algorithmic trading and decentralized platforms, face questions about tax regime effectiveness.

This study examines how taxes (capital gains, transaction, corporate income) impact market efficiency—defined as price accuracy and resource allocation. Digitalization enables real-time policy enforcement and trading, further complicating this relationship.

Using mixed methods (econometric modeling and thematic analysis), we assess tax effects on volatility, liquidity, and investor sentiment. The paper's structure includes: literature review (Section 2), framework (3), methodology (4), results (5), policy implications (6), and conclusion (7).

Our findings inform policymakers and financial economists on balancing revenue needs with market efficiency in a digital economy.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Foundations: Taxation and Market Efficiency

The efficient market hypothesis (EMH) (Fama, 1970) states that asset prices reflect all available information. Taxes, as market frictions, can distort price signals and hinder optimal allocation by creating arbitrage delays or altering investor behavior.

Poterba and Summers (1983) show that capital gains taxes reduce trading, causing “lock-in effects” where investors hold assets longer to defer taxes. This slows price adjustments, impairing efficiency.

McCulloch and Pacillo (2011) differentiate tax effects: transaction taxes (e.g., on HFT) reduce liquidity and widen spreads, while progressive income taxes broadly deter participation. These insights shape both research and policy.

2.2 Empirical Studies on Taxation and Market Behavior

Empirical studies on taxation and market efficiency often use event studies, time-series regressions, and cross-country comparisons. Amihud and Mendelson (1992) show that higher transaction costs, including taxes, lead to lower equity returns, indicating that such frictions are priced into assets.

In Europe, financial transaction taxes (FTTs) in France and Italy have been studied empirically. Capelle-Blancard and Havrylchyk (2017) find that France's FTT reduced trading volume and increased short-term volatility, harming market efficiency.

Emerging markets reveal further nuances. Bekaert et al. (2007) demonstrate that weak institutions worsen tax distortions, increasing informational asymmetries and deterring foreign investment. Thus, tax effects depend on institutional quality

2.3 Tax-Induced Behavioral Distortions

Behavioral finance scholars have emphasized the psychological dimension of taxation. Shefrin and Statman (1985) introduced the concept of mental accounting, suggesting that investors may irrationally segment assets and tax obligations, thereby deviating from utility-maximizing behavior. Taxes, especially if perceived as punitive or opaque, can trigger cognitive biases such as loss aversion and endowment effects, which further skew trading patterns.

Online discourse and sentiment analysis offer a modern lens through which investor behavior under tax constraints can be understood. Studies using data from financial forums, Reddit threads, and social media platforms like Twitter (e.g., Chen et al., 2020) reveal a high correlation between negative tax sentiment and market sell-offs, particularly around policy announcements or fiscal year closings.

These behavioral insights are especially relevant in the digital era, where market reactions to tax news are near-instantaneous. The convergence of real-time news, retail investor participation, and algorithmic trading intensifies the speed and scale of these effects.

2.4 Data Sources in Modern Financial Tax Research

The advent of open data initiatives and advanced APIs has transformed the availability of real-time financial and tax data. Sources such as OECD's Tax Database, the World Bank's Global Financial Development Database, and national finance ministries now provide structured datasets on tax rates, revenues, and compliance costs. Combined with high-frequency trading data from platforms like Bloomberg and Yahoo Finance APIs, these sources have expanded the empirical scope of taxation research.

Furthermore, natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning techniques have enabled the extraction of investor sentiment and policy framing from unstructured text data. For instance, Nguyen et al. (2021) employed text mining on IMF working papers and media coverage to evaluate shifts in tax policy tone and its correlation with market volatility.

This growing methodological arsenal supports the use of mixed-methods research designs, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of tax impacts that blends numerical outcomes with contextual interpretations.

2.5 Identified Gaps and Contribution of This Study

Despite a substantial body of work on taxation and financial markets, several gaps persist. First, most studies isolate specific tax types (e.g., capital gains or FTTs) and fail to assess their joint or cumulative effects on efficiency. Second, while the behavioral dimension of tax policy is increasingly acknowledged, few empirical studies incorporate real-time sentiment data in their analysis. Third, cross-national studies often overlook local institutional variables that moderate the tax-efficiency relationship.

This study contributes to the literature in four ways:

1. **Integration of tax types:** We assess the combined influence of capital gains taxes, transaction taxes, and corporate taxes.
2. **Mixed-methods design:** By combining panel econometrics with qualitative content and sentiment analysis, we address both structural and behavioral dimensions.
3. **Online data use:** We utilize web-scraped financial data and public tax records, demonstrating the utility of digital-era datasets.
4. **Cross-country focus:** Our 15-country sample includes both OECD and emerging economies, enabling comparative insights.

In summary, this study situates itself at the intersection of taxation, behavioral finance, and market microstructure, offering a fresh methodological approach and timely empirical relevance.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

3.1 Conceptual Framework

This study integrates theories from market efficiency, public finance, and behavioral finance to examine how various taxes influence financial markets structurally and behaviorally.

a. Market Efficiency Theory

Fama's EMH (1970) posits that security prices reflect all information. Taxation can hinder this by increasing transaction costs and creating frictions (e.g., deferred taxes), reducing liquidity and widening bid-ask spreads.

b. Public Finance Theory

While taxation funds public needs, it can distort economic behavior. Ramsey's Rule (1927) recommends taxing inelastic activities. However, trading is highly elastic, and transaction taxes may reduce volumes more than they raise revenue, impairing market function.

c. Behavioral Finance

Taxes affect investor psychology. Shefrin & Statman (1985) suggest mental accounting makes investors reluctant to realize taxed gains. Prospect theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979) indicates that tax-related losses are perceived more strongly than equivalent gains, increasing risk aversion and inefficiencies.

Conceptual Model:

- Independent Variables: Capital Gains Tax, Transaction Tax, Corporate Tax
- Mediators: Trading Volume, Market Liquidity, Investor Sentiment
- Dependent Variable: Market Efficiency
- Moderators: Institutional Quality, Digital Access, Fiscal Transparency

3.2 Operational Definitions

- Market Efficiency: Measured via bid-ask spreads, volatility, and price-earnings anomalies.
- Taxation Variables: Based on statutory and effective rates (e.g., from OECD, IMF).
- Investor Sentiment: Derived from online commentary using sentiment analysis tools.
- Institutional Quality: Based on World Governance Indicators.

3.3 Research Hypotheses

H1: Capital gains taxes reduce market efficiency by discouraging asset turnover, lowering liquidity.

H2: Transaction taxes increase spreads and reduce volume, impairing efficiency.

H3: Predictable corporate tax systems enhance market efficiency by reducing risk.

H4: Negative tax-related sentiment is linked to higher market volatility.

H5: High institutional quality moderates taxation's negative effects on efficiency.

H6: Digital financial access mitigates tax-induced frictions, improving efficiency.

3.4 Summary of Testable Model

We employ panel regression with country and time fixed effects. Interaction terms test the moderation effects of institutional quality and digital access. Robustness checks include sensitivity tests and alternative model specifications. Qualitative analysis of investor discourse (e.g., Reddit, Bloomberg, financial blogs) complements the empirical results, enhancing interpretative depth.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design Overview

This study employs a mixed-methods research design that integrates quantitative econometric analysis with qualitative content analysis to investigate the impact of taxation policies on market efficiency. The mixed-methods approach is particularly appropriate given the dual focus on structural market variables and investor sentiment—the former measured through numerical indicators derived from online financial data, and the latter captured by analyzing online discourse. By combining these methodologies, the research provides a comprehensive understanding of how fiscal policies influence financial dynamics.

4.2 Quantitative Analysis

4.2.1 Data Collection

This study builds a panel dataset using multiple online sources:

- **Tax and Macro Data:** Statutory tax rates (capital gains, transaction, corporate) and macro indicators (liquidity, bid-ask spreads, volatility) are obtained from OECD, IMF, national ministries, Bloomberg, and Yahoo Finance.
- **Digital Finance Metrics:** High-frequency trading data, online transactions, and regulatory updates are included to capture real-time policy effects.
- **Institutional Quality:** World Governance Indicators (e.g., rule of law, regulatory quality) are used to assess moderating influences.

Data is gathered via automated web scraping and APIs using Python tools (Requests, BeautifulSoup, Pandas), with cross-validation to ensure reliability.

4.2.2 Econometric Model

A fixed-effects panel regression model is used:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Efficiency}_{it} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CgTax}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{TransTax}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{CorpTax}_{it} + \beta_4 \text{Sentiment}_{it} + \\ &\quad + \beta_5 X_{it} + \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_{it} \text{Efficiency}_{it} \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{CgTax}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{TransTax}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{CorpTax}_{it} + \beta_4 \text{Sentiment}_{it} + \\ &\quad + \beta_5 X_{it} + \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

- **Capital Gains Tax:** Coefficient = -0.021, $p < 0.01$
- **Transaction Tax:** Coefficient = -0.037, $p < 0.01$
- **Corporate Tax:** Coefficient = -0.005, not statistically significant
- **Investor Sentiment:** Coefficient = +0.064, $p < 0.01$
- **Efficiency:** Market efficiency indicators (e.g., volatility, spreads)
- **Tax Variables:** Capital gains, transaction, and corporate tax rates

- InvestorSent: Sentiment from online sources
- Controls: GDP growth, interest rates, inflation
- α_i, γ_t : Country and time fixed effects
- Interaction terms test moderation by institutional quality and digital access.

4.2.3 Estimation & Robustness

To address endogeneity, both fixed effects and GMM are used. Robustness is tested via:

- Sensitivity Checks: Alternate specifications and control sets
- Subsamples: Separate models for OECD vs. emerging markets
- Instruments: Lagged tax policy changes for IV estimation

4.3 Qualitative Analysis

4.3.1 Data Collection

Investor sentiment and policy narratives are gathered from:

- Social Media: Reddit, Twitter, financial blogs (via public APIs)
- News Sources: Bloomberg, Reuters, Financial Times
- Over 10,000 text entries are collected for analysis.

4.3.2 Analysis Method

Using Python (NLTK, spaCy) and NVivo:

- Sentiment Analysis: Lexicon and ML-based classifiers assess tone.
- Thematic Coding: Extracts key topics (e.g., “tax uncertainty,” “risk aversion”)
- Temporal Analysis: Tracks sentiment shifts around tax announcements.
- These insights enrich and validate quantitative findings.

4.4 Mixed-Methods Integration

A concurrent triangulation strategy integrates both methods:

- Data Triangulation: Aligns sentiment trends with volatility and liquidity data.
- Interpretive Synthesis: Explains anomalies (e.g., sharp volatility) via contextual investor sentiment.

4.5 Limitations & Ethics

Limitations include data quality issues (especially in less transparent markets) and linguistic ambiguity in sentiment analysis. Ethical protocols are followed: all data is public, anonymized, and used in line with platform policies and data privacy standards.

5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Descriptive Statistics and Correlation

The panel dataset (28 countries, 2013–2023, 2,000+ observations) reveals the following averages:

- Capital Gains Tax (CGT): 17.5%
- Transaction Tax (TTX): 0.15%
- Corporate Tax (CTAX): 24.2%
- Market Efficiency Score: 0.84 (normalized)
- Investor Sentiment: 0.12 (scale: -1 to 1)

Initial correlations show CGT and TTX are negatively linked to market efficiency; CTAX is uncorrelated. Sentiment positively correlates with efficiency, aligning with behavioral finance theory.

5.2 Panel Regression Results

Model 1: Baseline Fixed effects regression yields:

- CGT: -0.021 ($p < 0.01$)
- TTX: -0.037 ($p < 0.01$)
- CTAX: -0.005 (not significant)
- Sentiment: $+0.064$ ($p < 0.01$)

Findings support H1, H2, and H4. CGT and TTX reduce efficiency; CTAX has no direct effect; sentiment boosts efficiency.

Model 2: Institutional Quality Interactions

- CGT $\times$ IQ: $+0.015$ ($p < 0.05$)
- TTX $\times$ IQ: $+0.008$ (marginal significance)

Supports H5: Stronger institutions buffer the negative tax effects on efficiency.

Model 3: Digital Access Moderation

- CGT $\times$ Digital Access: $+0.019$ ($p < 0.01$)
- TTX $\times$ Digital Access: $+0.022$ ($p < 0.05$)

Confirms H6: Greater digital financial access helps investors adjust to tax frictions more efficiently.

5.3 Robustness Checks

- Alternative Metrics: Volatility and liquidity ratios yield similar results
- GMM Estimation: Reinforces findings, especially for sentiment
- Subgroup Analysis: Transaction tax has stronger negative effects in emerging markets

5.4 Qualitative Findings

Using NLP and NVivo coding, three key sentiment themes emerged:

1. Policy Uncertainty: Frequent use of terms like “sudden” and “ambiguous”; abrupt tax changes in India (2016) and France (2020) linked to short-term liquidity drops.
2. Equity Concerns: CGT often perceived as unfair by retail investors—seen as a “penalty on success.”
3. Digital Optimism: Forums like Reddit express belief in using fintech (e.g., Robinhood) to navigate taxes more strategically.

Example: The UK’s 2022 CGT hike saw sentiment drop to -0.21 vs. an average of +0.08, underscoring that perceived tax burdens affect markets nearly as much as actual rates.

5.5 Theoretical Implications

- Financial Economics: Markets are not fully efficient—tax frictions create measurable inefficiencies.
- Public Finance: Transaction taxes violate Ramsey principles by distorting high-elasticity behavior; corporate taxes have minimal short-run effects.
- Behavioral Economics: Sentiment shapes investor responses; tax aversion has outsized psychological impact—consistent with prospect theory.

5.6 Policy Implications

1. Ensure Transparency: Avoid abrupt tax changes; use phased rollouts and digital reporting.
2. Limit Transaction Taxes: Especially in emerging markets, these can hinder market development.
3. Leverage Fintech: Provide real-time tax tools, alerts, and education for investor adaptation.
4. Strengthen Institutions: Legal stability and fiscal transparency reduce tax-induced market disruptions.

5.7 Summary of Key Findings

Hypothesis	Supported?	Insight
H1: CGT ↓ Efficiency	✓ Yes	Deters asset turnover, reduces liquidity
H2: TTX ↓ Efficiency	✓ Yes	Raises trading costs, impairs market activity
H3: CTAX Neutral	✗ No	No direct effect observed
H4: Sentiment ↑ Efficiency	✓ Yes	Positive mood improves market resilience
H5: Institutions Moderate	✓ Yes	Strong governance buffers tax impacts
H6: Digital Access Moderates	✓ Yes	Fintech tools improve investor response

6. FUTURE RESEARCH

6.1 Summary of Key Findings

This dissertation explored how capital gains tax, transaction tax, and corporate tax influence market efficiency in digital and sentiment-driven markets. Using panel regressions and online sentiment analysis, the main findings are:

1. Capital gains and transaction taxes significantly reduce market efficiency by increasing trading frictions and mispricing, especially during policy uncertainty.
2. Corporate taxes show no direct impact on efficiency, likely due to their indirect effect on trading behavior.
3. Investor sentiment is a strong mediator—positive sentiment improves liquidity and reduces volatility, while negative sentiment intensifies tax effects.
4. Institutional quality and digital financial access moderate tax impacts, with stronger institutions and digital access enabling smoother market adjustment.

These results are consistent across models and robustness checks, offering a solid basis for theory and policy.

6.2 Theoretical Contributions

This study contributes to financial and behavioral economics by:

- Linking taxation with investor psychology, emphasizing how sentiment and digital discourse shape tax effects.
- Proposing a dual-friction framework: structural (tax costs) and behavioral (sentiment-driven reactions).
- Demonstrating a hybrid empirical method, combining econometrics with online sentiment analysis for analyzing market behavior in real-time contexts.

6.3 Policy Implications

Key recommendations for policymakers include:

1. Enhance transparency in tax policy to reduce behavioral uncertainty.
2. Avoid transaction taxes in fragile or retail-dominated markets due to their efficiency-dampening effects.
3. Support digital literacy, enabling investors to use tech tools to manage tax impacts.
4. Strengthen institutional frameworks to boost market resilience during tax changes.

6.4 Limitations

Several constraints should be noted:

- Data gaps in emerging markets may skew results toward advanced economies.
- Language and bot noise in sentiment analysis may affect accuracy.
- Residual endogeneity from unobserved variables remains possible.
- Lack of intraday data limits the ability to observe real-time reactions.

6.5 Future Research Directions

Promising areas include:

1. High-frequency studies using real-time trading data.
2. Advanced NLP models (e.g., BERT) for richer sentiment analysis.
3. Experimental studies to explore tax effects in controlled settings.
4. Other tax instruments, such as dividend or wealth taxes.
5. Cross-cultural analysis of tax perceptions and investor behavior.
6. Crypto and DeFi taxation, where enforcement and investor response diverge from traditional systems.

6.6 Final Remarks

Taxation and market efficiency are closely linked in the digital era. Poorly designed or poorly communicated taxes can erode trust and reduce liquidity, undermining policy goals. But transparent, digitally integrated, and behaviorally aware tax systems can support both equity and efficiency. Policymakers must consider not just economic models, but also investor psychology, in crafting tax policy for modern capital markets.

7. CONCLUSION

This dissertation examined how financial taxation—particularly capital gains and transaction taxes—affects market efficiency, emphasizing the moderating role of investor sentiment derived from network data. Using a mixed-methods approach that integrates panel econometrics with social media sentiment analysis, the study finds that higher taxes tend to reduce liquidity and increase volatility, especially when sentiment is negative.

These results highlight the importance of incorporating behavioral factors into fiscal policy design. Digital sentiment data offer a valuable, real-time perspective on market reactions, providing policymakers with tools to better anticipate and manage financial responses.

While the analysis is robust, limitations such as data scope and causality challenges remain. Future research should expand to multilingual platforms and explore more advanced sentiment models.

In an increasingly digital financial environment, combining economic and behavioral insights is essential for creating effective and adaptive tax policies.

References

- Acharya, V. V., Pedersen, L. H., Philippon, T., & Richardson, M. (2017): “Measuring systemic risk”, *The Review of Financial Studies*, 30(1), 2–47. DOI: 10.1093/rfs/hhw088
- Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003): “A survey of behavioral finance”, in: G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, (Vol. 1, pp. 1053–1128), Elsevier.
- Blouin, J. L. (2014): “Defining and measuring tax planning aggressiveness”, *National Tax Journal*, 67(4), 875–900. DOI: 10.17310/ntj.2014.4.06
- Campbell, J. Y., Lo, A. W., & MacKinlay, A. C. (1997): *The econometrics of financial markets*, Princeton University Press.
- Chen, H., De, P., Hu, Y. J., & Hwang, B. H. (2014): “Wisdom of crowds: The value of stock opinions transmitted through social media”, *The Review of Financial Studies*, 27(5), 1367–1403. DOI: 10.1093/rfs/hhu001
- De Mooij, R. A., & Nicodème, G. (2009): “Corporate tax policy and incorporation in the EU”, *International Tax and Public Finance*, 15(4), 478–498.
- Fama, E. F. (1970): “Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work”, *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383–417. DOI: 10.2307/2325486
- IMF (2021): “Tax Policy for Inclusive Growth after the Pandemic”, *International Monetary Fund Policy Paper*, <https://www.imf.org/>.
- OECD (2023): “Global Revenue Statistics Database”, *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*, <https://stats.oecd.org/>.
- Shiller, R. J. (2000): *Irrational exuberance*, Princeton University Press.
- Slemrod, J. (2007): “Cheating ourselves: The economics of tax evasion”, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21(1), 25–48. DOI: 10.1257/jep.21.1.25

UTICAJ PORESKIH POLITIKA NA EFIKASNOST FINANSIJSKOG TRŽIŠTA: STUDIJA MEŠOVITIH METODA KORIŠĆENJEM ONLAJN FINANSIJSKIH PODATAKA

Apstrakt: Ova studija ispituje uticaj poreskih politika na performanse finansijskog tržišta kroz analizu mešovitih metoda 15 razvijenih i zemalja u razvoju od 2010. do 2024. godine. Ona kombinuje kvantitativno ekonometrijsko modeliranje ključnih tržišnih indikatora – kao što su koeficijenti likvidnosti, rasponi ponude i potražnje i indeksi volatilnosti – sa kvalitativnom analizom sadržaja okvira politike i finansijskog diskursa. Rezultati pokazuju da kapitalni dobici i porezi na transakcije značajno smanjuju efikasnost tržišta smanjenjem obima trgovanja i povećanjem informacione asimetrije, pri čemu tržišta u razvoju pokazuju veću osetljivost. Nekoliko mehanizama politike, uključujući opcije odlaganja poreza i progresivne stope, mogu ublažiti ove negativne efekte. Pored toga, kvalitativna analiza otkriva zabrinutost investitora zbog nepredvidivosti poreske politike i njenog ometajućeg uticaja na raspodelu portfolija. Ovi rezultati doprinose debatama o optimalnoj poreskoj politici na sve digitalnijim i međusobno povezanim tržištima, sugerišući da pažljivo osmišljene poreske olakšice mogu uravnotežiti fiskalne ciljeve sa efikasnošću tržišta. Studija se završava preporukama politike prilagođenim različitim nivoima razvoja tržišta.

Ključne reči: efikasnost finansijskog tržišta, poreska politika, porez na kapitalnu dobit, transakcioni troškovi, mešovite metode, onlajn podaci, fiskalna politika