UDK: 519.8 (497.11)

Original Scientific Article

POSLOVNA EKONOMIJA BUSINESS ECONOMICS Godina XVI Broj 2 Str 32–53 doi: 10.5937/poseko22-37860

Radojko Lukić¹, PhD, Full professor Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade,Serbia

ANALYSIS OF ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF TRADE COMPANIES IN SERBIA

ABASTRACT: The issue of analysis of economic performance in commercial enterprises in this paper is investigated from the angle of the impact of labor process factors (fixed, working capital and human capital) on profitability and efficiency, on the example of trade enterprises in Serbia. performance. The economic performance of trade companies in Serbia is significantly affected by fixed and working capital. The impact of human capital is moderate. In the future, in order to improve the economic performance of trade companies in Serbia, it is necessary to more efficiently manage human capital through salary. improvement of managerial position. training, compensation.

Key words : fixed assets, current assets, earnings per employee, ratio analysis, statistical analysis, DEA models, Serbian trade

INTRODUCTION

In order to improve the economic performance of commercial enterprises, it is generally necessary to manage fixed, working and human capital as efficiently as possible. With this in mind, the subject of research in this paper is the impact of labor process factors (fixed assets, working capital

¹ radojko.lukic@ekof.bg.ac.rs

and human labor) on the economic performance of trade enterprises in Serbia. The purpose and goal of the given research is to deal with the treated issues as complex as possible, and to propose adequate measures for improving the economic performance of trade companies in Serbia in the future. This, among other things, reflects the scientific and professional contribution of this paper.

In recent times, as is well known, there is a very rich literature dedicated to the analysis of economic and financial performance in trade from different research angles - factors and measurement (Berman, 2018; Frei, 2022). Also, a very rich world literature is devoted to evaluating the efficiency and productivity of all enterprises, including trade, based on DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) models, application of AHP (Analytical Hierarchical Process) and TOPSIS methods, econometrics, regression analysis (Malmquist, 1953; Asmild, 2004; Andersen, 1993; Donthu, 1998; Tone, 2001; Tone, 2002; Tone, 2009; Tone, 2010; Asmild, 2004; Fare, 1994; Fare, 1995; Moreno, 2010; Vaz, 2010; Wang, 2011; Moreno, 2011; Vaz, 2012; Lau, 2013; Lee, 2013; Gandhi, 2014; Al-Refaae, 2015; Anand, 2015; Majumdar, 2017; Barros, 2004; Barros, 2006; Bambe, 2017; Qiu, 2017; Sarmento, 2017; Ko, 2017; Hsu, 2018; Haidar, 2018; Camanho, 2009; Caves, 1982; Jorge, 2009; Melo, 2018; Yu, 2009; Busu, 2020; Cheng, 2020; Ali, 2018; Bhargava, 1998; Cheng, 2020; JCTrejo García et al. 2017; Karan, 2008; Keener, 2013; Kingyens, 2012; Laitinen, 1999; Manini, 2018; Mihalovič, 2016; Rogova, 2018; Sami Mestiri, 2012; Simbolon, 2017). However, when it comes to literature in Serbia, it is still not at an enviable level, i.e. there are few extensive papers dedicated to the analysis of economic and financial performance of trade companies in Serbia using modern mathematical models and methods (Analytical Hierarchical Process - AHP, Data Envelopment Analysis - DEA, TOPSIS and others), econometric and statistical analysis (Lukic, 2011; Lukic, 2015; Lukic, 2018; Lukic, 2019; Lukic et al., 2019; Lukic et al., 2020). This gap should be filled to some extent by this paper, and this, among other things, reflects his scientific and professional contribution.

A complex analysis of the economic performance of trade in Romania was carried out (Busu et al., 2020). Almost the same analysis is applied in this paper on the example of trade in Serbia.

The basic research hypothesis in this paper is that continuous monitoring of the economic situation of all companies, which means trade, provides a basis for improvement in the future and taking appropriate measures. This is especially true in the case of trading companies in Serbia.

The research methodology of the treated issues in this paper is based on ratio analysis, comparative analysis, statistical analysis and DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) approach. The comparative results obtained by applying the given methodology enable a better understanding of the situation regarding the economic performance of trade in Serbia as a function of strengthening it in the future by applying relevant measures.

Necessary empirical data for the research of the treated issues in this paper were collected from the Agency for Business Registers of the Republic of Serbia for the period 2013 - 2021. They are "manufactured" in accordance with relevant international standards and there are no restrictions on international comparison.

INFLUENCE OF WORK PROCESS ON ECONOMIC PERFORMANACE OF TRADE COMPANIES IN SERBIA

Three important factors of the work process in all companies, which means in trade, are fixed assets, working capital and human labor (Lukic, 2020a,b,c, 2021a,b,c,d,e,f, 2022a,b,c,d,e,f,g). Their effective control can significantly affect the achievement of targeted economic performance. We will analyze the impact of work process factors on the economic performance of trade companies in Serbia by applying ratio analysis and statistical analysis. In the context of ratio analysis, in this paper we will pay special attention to the return on fixed and working capital and earnings per employee in trade companies in Serbia.

Table 1 shows the initial input / output data for the analysis of economic performance of trade enterprises in Serbia. Table 2 shows the input / output data statistics.

| Year | (I) Fixed | (I) C | (I) Number | (0) | (O) Net |
|------|-----------|----------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| | assets | Current | 0I emplovees | Larnings before | pront |
| | | ussets | employees | interest | |
| | | | | and taxes | |
| 2012 | 700449 | 1261155 | 102210 | (EBII) | 90720 |
| 2013 | /90448 | 1361155 | 193210 | 124241 | 89730 |
| 2014 | 750729 | 1318032 | 191621 | 112922 | 79234 |
| 2015 | 802193 | 1424135 | 159621 | 135916 | 102303 |
| 2016 | 800659 | 1503476 | 206092 | 133277 | 102002 |
| 2017 | 817685 | 1568615 | 208020 | 159613 | 126734 |
| 2018 | 856181 | 1638588 | 219373 | 148905 | 116386 |
| 2019 | 932421 | 1744078 | 222049 | 171642 | 139409 |
| 2020 | 962951 | 1861105 | 230139 | 198377 | 162184 |

Table 1. – Initial input / output data for the analysis of economic performance of trade enterprises in Serbia

STR 32-53

| 2021 | 1099726 | 2057 | 718 | 234727 | | 245 | 5546 | | 20807 | 5 |
|------|---------|------|------|--------|----|-----|------|---|-------|----|
| 17 D | | 1. | .11. | c 1. | 37 | 1 | C | 1 | | .1 |

Note: Data are expressed in millions of dinars. Number of employees in the whole number

Source: Agency for Business Registers of the Republic of Serbia

| Table 2. | – Data | input / | output | statistics |
|-----------|--------|---------|--------|------------|
| I GOIC 2. | Duiu | mput / | output | Statistics |

| | | Minimum | | Maxi | mum | Mean | | Std. D | Deviation |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------|
| Fixed assets | | 750729.00 |) | 1099′ | 726.00 | 868110.3333 | | 110773.44380 | |
| Current asse | ets | 1318032.0 | 0 | 2057 | 718.00 | 1608544.667 | 0 | 24419 | 6.45690 |
| Number of | | 159621.00 |) | 234727.00 | | 207205.7778 | | 23336.38395 | |
| employees | | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings be | fore | 112922.00 |) | 24554 | 46.00 | 158937.6667 | | 41576 | 5.34872 |
| interest and taxes | | | | | | | | | |
| (EBIT) | | | | | | | | | |
| Net profit | | 79234.00 | | 2080 | 75.00 | 125117.4444 | | 40235 | .82024 |
| N Valid N (| listwise) | 9 | Ģ | 9 | | 9 | | 9 | |
| N Missing | | 0 | (| 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Correlation | IS | | | | | | - | | |
| | - | | 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| 1 Fixed | Pearson C | Correlation | | 1 | .975** | .747* | | .978** | .978** |
| assets | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sig. (2-ta | iled) | | | .000 | .021 | | .000 | .000 |
| | Ν | | | 9 | 9 | 9 | | 9 | 9 |
| 2 Current | Pearson C | Correlation | | 975** | 1 | .820** | | .974** | .979** |
| assets | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sig. (2-ta | iled) | | .000 | | .007 | | .000 | .000 |
| | N | | | 9 | 9 | 9 | | 9 | 9 |
| 3 Number | Pearson C | Correlation | | .747* | .820** | * 1 | | .732* | .740* |
| of | | | | | | | | | |
| employees | Sig. (2-ta | iled) | | .021 | .007 | 1 | | .025 | .023 |
| | N | | | 9 | 9 | 9 | | 9 | 9 |
| 4 Earnings | Pearson C | Correlation | | 978** | .974** | * .732* | | 1 | .999** |
| before | | | | | | | | | |
| interest | Sig. (2-ta | iled) | | .000 | .000 | .025 | | | .000 |
| and taxes | N | , | | 9 | ç | 9 | | 9 | 9 |
| (EBIT) | | | | | - | | | - | - |
| 5 Net profit | Pearson C | Correlation | | 978** | .979** | * .740* | | .999** | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sig. (2-ta | iled) | | .000 | .000 | .023 | | .000 | |
| | N | | | 9 | 9 | 9 | İ 👘 | 9 | 9 |
| ** 0 1 | | C* | 0.01.1 | 1 (0 | | E | | | |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Note: Author's calculation using the SPSS software program

There is a strong correlation between the analyzed variables at the level of statistical significance.

Return on fixed and working capital is a significant indicator of profitability. In this paper, it is expressed as: earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) / fixed assets, net profit / fixed assets, earnings before interest and taxes

R. LUKIĆ

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

6.59%

6.01%

7.18%

6.78%

8.08%

7.10%

7.99%

8.71%

10.11%

(EBIT) / current assets and net profit / current assets. Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) are determined as the sum of net profit, interest and taxes. Table 3 shows the return on fixed and working capital of trade companies in Serbia for the period 2013 - 2021.

| 2013 - 2021 | | | r | |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Year | Earnings | Net profit / | Earnings | Net profit / |
| | before interest | Fixed assets | before interest | Current |
| | and taxes | | and taxes | assets |
| | (EBIT) / Fixed | | (EBIT) / | |

11.35%

10.55%

12.75%

12.74%

15.50%

13.59%

14.95%

16.84%

18.03%

assets

15.72%

15.04%

16.94%

16.65%

19.52%

17.39%

18.41%

20.60%

22.32%

Current assets

9.13%

8.57%

9.54%

8.86%

10.18%

9.09%

9.84%

10.65%

11.93%

Table 3. – Return on fixed and working capital of trade companies in Serbia.

| Note: | Author's | calc | ulation |
|-------|----------|------|---------|
|-------|----------|------|---------|

The data in the given table show the tendency to increase the return on fixed and working capital (as indicators of profitability) in Serbian trade companies. This means, in other words, that the efficiency of managing all assets (fixed and current assets) has increased.

Recently, as it is known, the **earnings per employee** is one of the most important indicators of the performance of all companies, which means trade. It shows, among others, the influence of "invisible" characteristics of employees (for example, skill, creativity) on performance. Table 4 shows the earnings per employee in Serbian trade companies for the period 2013 - 2021.

| Year | Fixed assets per employee | Current assets per employee | Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) per employee | Net profit per employee | Coefficient of ratio of current and fixed assets |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 2013 | 4091.134 | 7044.951 | 643.0361 | 464.417 | 1.722004 |
| 2014 | 3917.78 | 6878,328 | 589.2987 | 413.4933 | 1.755669 |
| 2015 | 5025.611 | 8921.978 | 851,492 | 640.9119 | 1.775302 |

Table 4. – Earnings per employee in trade companies of Serbia, 2013 -2021

STR 32-53

| 2016 | 3884.959 | 7295.169 | 646.6869 | 494.9343 | 1.877798 |
|------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2017 | 3930.8 | 7540.693 | 767.2964 | 609.2395 | 1.918361 |
| 2018 | 3902.855 | 7469.415 | 678.7754 | 530.5393 | 1.913834 |
| 2019 | 4199.168 | 7854.474 | 772.9915 | 627.8299 | 1.870483 |
| 2020 | 4184.214 | 80086.873 | 861.987 | 704.721 | 1.932709 |
| 2021 | 4685.247 | 8766.430 | 1046.118 | 886.455 | 1.871118 |

Note: Data are expressed in millions of dinars. Author's calculation

The data in the given table show the tendency to increase earnings per employee (as performance indicators) in Serbian trade companies. This was influenced, in addition to external ones, by the significant improvement of internal business conditions of a material and technical nature, as well as the increased efficiency of human resources management.

Using the **linear regression model**, we will look at the impact of fixed, working capital and human capital on the performance of trading companies in Serbia. The linear regression equation is:

 $Y = a + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + e \qquad (1)$ where: Y - net profit, X₁ - fixed assets, X₂ - current assets, X₃ - number of employees, a and b - coefficients, and e - random error.

In Table 5 shows the results of linear regression.

Table 5. – Results of the application of linear regression in the analysis of the influence of work process factors on the economic performance of trade enterprises in Serbia

| | • | | | I | Model Sum | nary ^b | | | | | |
|--------|--|---------|----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------|--------|----|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Durbin- |
| | | | | | | Cha | nge Stati | stics | | | Watson |
| | | | | Std. Error | | F | | | | | |
| Mo | | R | Adjusted | of the | R Square | Chang | | | Sig. F | | |
| del | R | Square | R Square | Estimate | Change | e | df1 | df2 | Change | • | |
| 1 | .987ª | .975 | .960 | 8070.111 | .975 | 64.621 | 3 | 5 | .00 | 00 | 2.329 |
| | | | | 61 | | | | | | | |
| a. Pre | a. Predictors: (Constant), Number of Employees , Fixed Assets , Current Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. De | b. Dependent Variable: Net profit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ANOVA | a | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mode | el | | Sum o | of Squares | df | Mea | in Square | | F | | Sig. |
| 1 | Reg | ression | 126257 | 36340.000 | 3 | 4208 | 578779.0 | 000 | 64.621 | | .000 ^b |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Res | idual | 3256 | 33507.100 | 4 | 65 | 126701.4 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

R. LUKIĆ

ANALYSIS OF ECONOMIC...

| | Т | otal | 12 | 9513698 | 40.000 | | 8 | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a. D | epende | nt Variabl | e: Net pr | ofit | | | | | | | | · | | |
| b. P | redictor | rs: (Consta | unt), Nun | ber of E | mployee | s , Fixe | d Assets , | Curre | nt A | ssets | | | | |
| | | | | | | Coef | ficients ^a | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Standar | | | licientis | | | | | | | |
| | | Unstand | ardized | dized Coeffic | : | | 95. Confi | 0% dence | | | | | Collin | earity |
| | | Coeffi | cients | ients | _ | | Interval for B | | | Cor | rrelatio Porti | ns | Stati | stics |
| Mod | iel | В | Error | Beta | t | Sig. | Bound | Bou | nd | order | al | Part | ance | VIF |
| 1 | (Con stant) | - 13395 2.104 | 37672. 133 | | 3.55 6 | .016 | - 230791 .404 | 371 | - 12. 804 | | | | | |
| | Fixed Asset | .120 | .126 | .33(| .951 | .385 | 204 | .4 | 44 | .978 | .391 | .067 | .042 | 23.96 1 |
| | Curre nt Asset | .127 | .066 | .77(|) 1.90 9 | .114 | 044 | .2 | .98 | .979 | .649 | .135 | .031 | 32.33 2 |
| | s Num ber of | 237 | .234 | 137 | / | .358 | 838 | .3 | 65 | .740 | - | 072 | .273 | 3.661 |
| | Empl | | | | 3 | | | | | | .+15 | .072 | | |
| a. D | epende | nt Variabl | e: Net pr | ofit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Coll | inearity | y Diagnos | tics ^a | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | v | ariance | Propor | tions | | |
| | | | | | ~ | | | | | | ~ | | | |
| Mod | iel Di | mension | Eigen | value | Condi Inde | tion ex | (Consta | int) l | Fixe | d assets | Cu as | sets | empl | lber of loyees |
| 1 | 1 | | | 3.985 | | 1.000 | | .00 | | .00 | | .00 | | .00 |
| | 2 | | | .012 | | 18.587 | | .28 | | .00 | | .01 | | .00 |
| | 3 | | | .003 | | 35.021 | | .12 | | .03 | | .00 | | .67 |
| | 4 | | | .000 | 1 | 16.738 | | .60 | | .96 | | .98 | | .33 |
| a. D | epende | nt Variabl | e: Net pr | ofit | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | R | esidual | s Statistic | s ^a | | | | | | |
| D | 1 1.1 | 7 1 | Mini | mum | Maxi | mum | Me | an 7. 4 4 4 4 | s | Std. Dev | iation | | Ν | |
| Prec | licted V | alue | 7788 | 30.5000 | 20335 | 2.5781 | 12511 | 7.4444 | + | 39726. | //991 | | | 9 |
| Kes: | Dradia | ad Value | -8229 | 1 120 | 12921 | 1.060 | | .00000 | י א | 6379. | 1 000 | | | 9 |
| Std. | Residu | | | -1.109 | | 1.909 | | .000 | <u></u> | | 701 | | | 9 |
| a D | Residu | ai nt Variabi | e' Net m | -1.020 | | 1.001 | | .000 | , | | .791 | | | 9 |
| a. D | epende | in variabl | e. net pr | ont | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Author's calculation using the SPSS software program

There is a strong correlation between net profit and fixed and working capital (at the level of statistical significance). There is a moderate correlation between net profit and employment. This means, in other words, that even more work should be done to motivate employees to achieve the target profit in Serbian trade companies (through training, salary increases, better managerial positions, and compensation - bonuses). All three factors of the work process (fixed, working capital) are significantly integrated and determine the economic performance (net profit) of trade companies in Serbia (Adjusted R Square .844, Sig. .036).

The linear regression equation for trading companies in Serbia can therefore be formulated as:

 $Y = -133952.104 + .120X_{1} + .127X_{2} - .237X_{3}$

Based on it, a projection of net profit for future observed time can be made period.

Using the **binary logistics model**, we will also investigate the impact of labor process factors (fixed, working capital and human capital) on the economic performance (net profit) of trade companies in Serbia.

Log analysis is a form of regression analysis in which the dependent variable is dichotomous, of the binary type known as the *dummy* variable. The binary logistics model is used to estimate the probability of an event occurring. It is formulated as:

$$Prob(event) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$
(2)

For one independent variable

 $z = b_0 + b_1 x_1$

For multiple independent variables

$$z = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_n x_n$$

where: b_0 and b_1 , b_2 coefficients (estimated from data), x_1 , x_2 - independent variables, n - number of independent variables, and e - basis of natural logarithm (2,781).

Due to the nonlinearity of the model, the maximum probability method is used to calculate the coefficients, instead of the most commonly used least squares method. If the independent variable is equal to 0, the probability of the event is determined by the segment (b_0) . If the regression coefficient is positive (negative), the risk factor increases (decreases) the probability of the event.

Table 6 shows the initial data for the application of the binary logistics model in the analysis of the impact of labor process factors on the economic performance (net profit) of trade enterprises in Serbia.

Table 6. – Initial data for the application of the binary logistics model in the analysis of the impact of labor process factors on economic performance (net profit) of trade enterprises in Serbia, 2013 - 2021

| Year | (I) Fixed assets (in millions of dinars) | (I) Current assets (in millions of dinars) | (I) Number of employees | Economic performance, good 1, bad 0 * |
|------|--|---|----------------------------|--|
| 2013 | 790448 | 1361155 | 193210 | 1 |
| 2014 | 750729 | 1318032 | 191621 | 1 |
| 2015 | 802193 | 1424135 | 159621 | 1 |
| 2016 | 800659 | 1503476 | 206092 | 0 |
| 2017 | 817685 | 1568615 | 208020 | 1 |
| 2018 | 856181 | 1638588 | 219373 | 0 |
| 2019 | 932421 | 1744078 | 222049 | 1 |
| 2020 | 962951 | 1861105 | 230139 | 1 |
| 2021 | 1099726 | 2057718 | 234727 | 1 |

Note: * Author's calculation

Table 7 shows the results of the application of the binary logistics model in the analysis of the impact of labor process factors on the economic performance (net profit) of trade enterprises in Serbia.

Table 7. – The results of the application of the binary logistics model in the analysis of the impact of labor process factors on the economic performance (net profit) of trade enterprises in Serbia.

| | Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | CI | ni-square | df | | Sig. | | | | | | |
| Step 1 | Step | 9.535 | | 3 | .023 | | | | | | |
| | Block | 9.535 | | 3 | .023 | | | | | | |
| | Model | 9.535 | | 3 | .023 | | | | | | |
| | ľ | Model Summar | y | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox & Snell | R Square | Nagelkerke R Square | | | | | | | |
| 1 | .000ª | | .653 | | 1.000 | | | | | | |

| a. Estima Final solu | tion term ition cani | inated at ite not be found | ration nu 1. | umber 20 | becaus | se maxii | mum iter | rations | has been | reached. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|----------|--------------------|--|
| | | | Ho | smer and | Leme | show T | ſest | | | | |
| Step Chi-square | | | | 2 | | d | f | | Sig. | | |
| 1 | | | | .000 | 00 5 | | | | | 1.000 | |
| | | Contin | gency T | able for I | Hosme | er and I | Lemesho | ow Test | t | | |
| | | Econor | mic perfo | or <u>mance =</u> | Economic performance $e = .00$ 1.00 | | | nce = | | | |
| | | Obse | Observed Ext | | ed | Obse | rved | Expe | ected | Total | |
| Step 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1.000 | | | 0 | | .000 | 1 | |
| | 2 | 2 | | 1.000 | | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | |
| | 3 | | 0 | .000 | | | 1 | | 1.000 | 1 | |
| | 4 | | 0 | .000 | | | 1 | | 1.000 | 1 | |
| | 5 | | 0 | .000 | | | 1 | | 1.000 | 1 | |
| | 6 | | 0 | .000 | | | 1 | 1.000 | | 1 | |
| | 7 0 | | | .000 | | 3 | | 3.000 | 3 | | |
| | | | I | Classifica | ation 7 | Fable ^{a,b} | | | 1 | | |
| | | Predicted | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | VAR00004 | | | | | | | |
| | Obser | rved | | | .00 |) | 1.0 | 0 | Percer | ntage Correct | |
| Step 0 | VAR | 00004 | .00 | | 0 | | | 2 | .0 | | |
| | | | 1.00 | | 0 | | | 7 | | 100.0 | |
| | Overa | all Percentag | ge | | | | | | | 77.8 | |
| a. Consta | nt is inclu | uded in the | model. | I | | L | | | | | |
| b. The cu | t value is | .500 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Classific | ation | Table ^a | | | | | |
| | Predicted | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | Economic performance | | | | | |
| | Obser | rved | ed | | | .00 | | 1.00 | | Percentage Correct | |
| Step 1 | Econo | omic | .00 | | | 2 | | 0 | | 100.0 | |
| | perror | Illiance | 1.00 | | | 0 | | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Overa | Overall Percentage | | | | | | | | 100.0 | |
| a. The cu | t value is | .500 | | | | | | | | | |

R. LUKIĆ

| Variables in the Equation | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------|----------|--------|-------|----------|----------------|------|---------------------|--------|--|
| | | | | B S.E | | Wald | df S | | g. | Exp(B) | |
| Step 1 ^a | Fixed assets | | .001 | | .298 | .000 | 1 | | .998 | 1.001 | |
| | Current assets | .001 | | .182 | | .000 | 1 | | .997 | 1.001 | |
| | Number of employees | 014 | | 2.336 | | .000 | 1 | | .995 | .986 | |
| | Constant | | 2.488 | 248875 | 5.231 | .000 | 1 | .996 | | | |
| a. Variabl | a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Fixed assets, Current assets, Number of employees. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Constant | | Fixed | l assets | Current assets | | Number of employees | | |
| Step 1 | Constant | Constant | | 1.000 | | 285 | .943 | | 916 | | |
| | Fixed assets Current assets | | 285 | | 1.000 | | 452 | | 093 | | |
| | | | .943 | | 452 | | 1.000 | | 836 | | |
| | Number employees | of | | 916 | | 093 | | 836 | | 1.000 | |

Note: Author's calculation using the SPSS software program

The data in the given table show that the factors of the labor process (fixed, working capital and human capital) affect the economic performance of trade companies in Serbia with about 70% (Cox & Snell R Square .653), or 100% (Nagelkerke R Square 1.000). The binary regression model agrees well with the data (Hosmer and Lemeshow Test - Sig. 1.000> .05), the observed and expected frequencies do not differ significantly (Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test). The method is correct (Overall Percentage 100.0) and it is therefore significantly improved compared to the initial (zero) (Overall Percentage 77.8%).

The binary logistics model for trade companies in Serbia can, therefore, be expressed as:

$$Prob(event) = \frac{1}{[1 + e^{-(1272.488 + .001x_1 + .001x_2 - .014x_3)}]}$$
$$Z = 1272.488 + .001x_1 + .001x_2 - .014x_3$$

Based on it, we can calculate the probability of the occurrence of a certain event, in our case the good or bad economic performance of trade companies in Serbia.

ANALYSIS OF THE EFFICIENCY OF TRADE COMPANIES IN SERBIA BASED ON THE DEA MODEL

We will perform the analysis of the efficiency of trade companies in Serbia only on the basis of the DEA model of entry orientation, with a constant and variable returns. This is because the focus of this paper is on the analysis of the impact of labor process factors (fixed, working capital and human capital) on the performance / efficiency of trade companies in Serbia.

The two basic DEA models are: CCR (Constant Returns) and BCC (Variable Returns).

The **CCR model** is based on a fixed or constant scale returns. This means that a proportional increase in all inputs results in the same proportional increase in all outputs. The dual of CCR efficiency is expressed as:

Min θ

under restriction

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_{ij} \leq \theta x_{io} \qquad i = 1 \dots m$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_{kj} \geq y_{ko} \qquad k = 1 \dots s$$
$$\lambda \geq 0 \qquad \qquad j = 1 \dots n \qquad (3)$$

where θ technical efficiency of DMU units is 0, λ is a dual variable for identifying comparable inefficient units. If θ * is equal to the value of one, the observed DMU unit is technically efficient.

A firm is efficient (financially non-risky) if the value of the coefficient is equal to one. However, it is inefficient (financially risky) if the value of the coefficient is less than one. The closer it is to zero, the more "disturbing" it is.

The concept of the CCR model has been modified with the introduction of the **BCC model** (by *Banker-Charnes-Cooper*) by changing the constant returns from scale (CRS) with variable returns from scale (VRS). A DMU unit operates under a variable returns from the scale if an increase in input does not result in a proportional change in output. The BCC model is shown as follows:

under restriction

| $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_{ij} \le \theta x_{io}$ | $i = 1 \dots m$ |
|---|-----------------------|
| $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_{kj} \ge y_{ko}$ | $k = 1 \dots s$ |
| $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{j=1} = 1$ | <i>j</i> = 1 <i>n</i> |
| $\lambda_j \geq 0$ | (2) |

The BCC model divides the technical efficiency (*TE*) obtained by the CCR model into two parts: 1) pure technical efficiency (PTE), which ignores the influence of scale size by comparing DMU units with similar scale units and measures how DMU units use sources under exogenous conditions; and 2) scale efficiency (*SE*), which shows how scale size affects efficiency, and is expressed as: SE = TE / PTE.

In this cancer, the input elements are: fixed assets, current assets and the number of employees, and as output: earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) and net profit.

Table 8 and Figures 1 and 2 show the results of the analysis of the efficiency of trade enterprises in Serbia based on the DEA model of entry orientation, with constant and variable returns.

| | | Model = CCR-I | | Model = BCC-I | | |
|-----|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|-----------|
| | | | | | | RTS of |
| | | | | | | Projected |
| No. | DMU | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | DMU |
| | | | | | | Increasin |
| 1 | 2013 | 0.7649 | 7 | 1 | 1 | g |
| | | | | | | Increasin |
| 2 | 2014 | 0.718 | 9 | 1 | 1 | g |
| | | | | | | Increasin |
| 3 | 2015 | 0.814 | 5 | 1 | 1 | g |
| | | | | 0.977 | | Increasin |
| 4 | 2016 | 0.7455 | 8 | 7 | 7 | g |
| | | | | | | Increasin |
| 5 | 2017 | 0.8742 | 3 | 1 | 1 | g |

Table 8. – Efficiency of trade enterprises in Serbia, DEA models (CCR-I; BCC-I)

STR 32-53

| | | | | | | Increasin |
|---|---------|--------|------------|-------|------------|-----------|
| 6 | 2018 | 0.7789 | 6 | 0.938 | 9 | g |
| | | | | 0.943 | | Increasin |
| 7 | 2019 | 0.8247 | 4 | 2 | 8 | g |
| | | | | 0.981 | | Increasin |
| 8 | 2020 | 0.9227 | 2 | 3 | 6 | g |
| 9 | 2021 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Constant |
| | | | No. of | | No. of | |
| | | | Efficient | | Efficient | |
| | | | DMUs = | 0.982 | DMUs = | |
| | Average | 0.827 | 1 | 2 | 5 | |
| | | | No. of | | No. of | |
| | | | Inefficien | | Inefficien | |
| | | | t DMUs | | t DMUs | |
| | Max | 1 | = 8 | 1 | = 4 | |
| | Min | 0.718 | | 0.938 | | |
| | | | | 0.025 | | |
| | St Dev | 0.091 | | 2 | | |

Note: Author's calculation using DEA-Solver





Source: Authors



Source: Authors

In the period 2013-2021 according to the CCR-I model, trade companies in Serbia were efficient in 2021 and inefficient in other years . According to the BCC-I model, trade companies in Serbia were efficient in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2017 and 2021, and inefficient in 2016 and 2018. According to both models, trade companies in Serbia were inefficient in 2018. They are also efficient according to both models were efficient in 2021. In order to further improve the efficiency of trade companies in Serbia, it is therefore necessary to manage assets, human capital and profits even more efficiently.

CONCLUSION

The conducted empirical analysis in this paper shows the tendency to increase the return on fixed and working capital in Serbian trade companies. This means, in other words, that the efficiency of management of all assets (fixed and current assets) has increased.

In the observed period of time, earnings per employee, as an indicator of profitability, also tended to increase in Serbian trade companies. This was influenced, in addition to external ones, by significantly improved internal business conditions of a material and technical nature (for example, digitalization of the entire business), as well as the efficiency of human capital management.

There is a strong correlation between net profit and fixed and working capital (at the level of statistical significance). There is a moderate correlation between net profit and employment. This means, in other words, that even more work should be done to motivate employees to achieve the target profit in trade companies in Serbia (through training, salary increases, better managerial positions, and compensation - bonuses). Significantly integrated, all three factors of the work process (fixed, working capital and human capital) determine the net profit of trade companies in Serbia (Adjusted R Square .960, Sig. .000).

The paper formulates a linear regression equation that can be used to predict the economic performance of trade enterprises with Serbia for the future observed time period. Also, a binary logistics model was formulated to assess the probability of occurrence of the event, ie the character of economic performance (good or bad) of trade companies in Serbia.

In the observed time period (2013 - 2021) according to the CCR-I model trade companies in Serbia were efficient in 2021 and inefficient in other years. According to the BCC-I model, trade companies in Serbia were efficient in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2017 and 2021, and inefficient in 2016 and 2018. According to both models, trade companies in Serbia were inefficient in 2018. They are also efficient according to both models were efficient in 2021. In order to further improve the efficiency of trade companies in Serbia, it is therefore necessary to manage assets, human capital and profits even more efficiently.

REZIME ANALIZA EKONOMSKIH PERFORMANSI TRGOVINSKIH PREDUZEĆA U SRBIJI

Problematika analiza ekonomskih performansi u trgovinskim preduzećima u ovom radu se istražuje iz ugla uticaja faktora procesa rada (fiksnog, obrtnog i ljudskog kapitala) na profitabilnost i efikasnost, na primeru trgovinskih preduzeća u Srbiji. Što je efikasnija kontrola faktora procesa rada, utoliko su bolje ekonomske performanse. Na ekonomske performanse trgovinskih preduzeća u Srbiji značajno utiče fiksni i obrtni kapital. Umeren je uticaj ljudskog kapitala. U budućnosti u cilju poboljšanja ekonomskih performansi trgovinskih preduzeća u Srbiji neophodno je što efikasnije upravljati ljudskim kapitalom putem treninga, visine plate, unapređenja menadžerske pozicije, kompenzacija i socijalnog i zdrastvenog osiguranja.

Ključne reči: fiksna imovina, obrtna imoviina, zarada po zaposlenom, raco analiza, statistička analiza, DEA modeli, trgovina Srbije

REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Refaie, A., Najdawi, R., Al-Tahat, M.D., Bata. N. (2015). Window Analysis and Malmquist Index for Accessing Efficiency in a Pharmaceutical Industry. Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2015 Vol 1 WCE 2015, Jul 1-3, 2015, London, U.K.
- 2. ALI, IFTIKHAR. and ÖZARI, ÇIĞDEM, (June 2018). Estimating the Probability of Bankruptcy Using Z-score and Distance to Default Model: An Application on Istanbul Stock Exchange. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 7(2), 491-503.
- 3. Andersen, P., & Petersen, N. C. (1993). A procedure for ranking efficient units in data envelopment analysis. *Management Science*, 39(10), 1261–1264.
- 4. Anand, N. and Grover, N. (2015). Measuring retail supply chain performance: Theoretical model using key performance indicators (KPIs). *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 22(1), 135-166.
- Asmild, M., Paradi, J.C., Aggarwall, V. and Schaffnit, C. (2004). Combining DEA Window Analysis with the Malmquist Index Approach in a Study of the Canadian Banking Industry. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 21, 67-89.
- 6. Barros, C.P. and Alves, C. (2004). An empirical analysis of productivity growth in a Portuguese retail chain using Malmquist Productivity index. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 11, 269-278.
- 7. Barros, C.P. (2006). Efficiency measurement among hypermarkets and supermarkets and the indentification of the efficiency drivers. *Internationa Journal of retail & Distribution Management*, 34(2), 135-154.
- 8. Bambe, D. (2017). The Productivity Impact of New Technology: Evidence from the US Retailers Industry. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, (422), 88-96.
- 9. Bhargava, M., Dubelaar, C. and Scott, T. (1998). Predicting bankruptcy in the retail sector: an examination of the validity of key measures of performance. *Journal of Retailing and Services*, 5(6), 105-117.
- 10. Berman, B., Evans, J.R. and Chatterjee, P. (2018). *Retail Management*. Pearson.
- 11. Busu, M., Vargas, M.V.and Gherasim, I.A. (2020). An analzsis of the economic performance of the retail companies in Romania. *Management & Marketing. Challenges for the Knowledge Society*,15(1), 125-135.
- 12. Camanho,A.S., Portela, M.C. and Vaz, C.B. (2009). Efficiency analysis accounting for internal and external non-discretionary factors. *Computers & Operations Research*, 36, 1591-1601.

- 13. Caves, W., Christensen, L.R., & Diewert, W.E. (1982). The economic theory of index numbers and the measurement of input, output and productivity. *Econometrica*, 50, 1393–1414.
- 14. Cheng, C.S.A., Chu, K.C.K. & Ohlson, J. (2020). Analyst forecasts: sales and profit margins. *Rev* Account Stud 25, 54–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-019-09521-z.
- Cheng Few Lee (Editor), John C. Lee (Editor), (2020). Handbook of Financial Econometrics, Mathematics, Statistics, and Machine Learning, World Scientific Publishing Co. Ltd. ISBN: 978-981-12-0238-4, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3573241.
- 16. Donthu, N. and Yoo, B. (1998). Retail Productivity Assessment Using Data Envelopment Analysis. *Journal of Retailing*, 74(1), 89-105.
- 17. Gandhi, A. and Shankar, R.(2014). Efficiency measurement of Indian retailers using Data Envelopment Analysis. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 42(6), 500-520.
- 18. Fare, R., Grosskopf, S., Norris, M., & Zhang, Z.(1994). Productivity growth, technical progress, and efficiency change in industrialized countries. *American Economic Review*, 84, 66–83.
- 19. Fare, R., Grosskopf, S. & Roos, P.(1995). Productivity and quality changesin Swedish pharmacies. *International Journal of ProductionEconomics*, 39(1/2), 137–147.
- Frei, Regina, Lisa Jack, and Sally-Ann Krzyzaniak. (2022). Mapping Product Returns Processes in Multichannel Retailing: Challenges and Opportunities. *Sustainability*,14(3), 1382. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031382
- 21. Hsu, S.C. (2018). Performance Analysis for Major Chain Convenience Stores in Taiwan. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 2014-222.
- 22. Haidar, A. (2018). Mixture Models with Grouping Structure: Retail Analytics Applications. Wayne State University Dissertations. 1911.https://digitalcommon s.wayne.edu/oa_dissertations/1911.
- 23. Hsu, S.C. (2018). Performance Analysis for Major Chain Convenience Stores in Taiwan. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 5(1), 2014-222.
- 24. J.C.Trejo García et al. (2017), Credit risk management at retail in Mexico: An econometric improvement in the selection of variables and changes in their characteristics. *Contaduría y Administración*, 62, 399–418.
- 25. Jorge, J. and Suárez, C. (2009). Assessing productivity growth and technical efficiency in Spain's retail sector: An aggregate sectoral perspective. *Journal of Business and retail Management Research*, 3(2), 1-19.
- 26. Karan, M.B., Ulucan, A. and Kaya, M. (2008). Estimation of credit risk of retail stores by using their payment history: A combined logistic

regression and multi-dea. 5th Internation Scientific Conference Business and Management 2008, 16-17 May 2008, Vilniua, Lithuania, 222-227.

- 27. Keener, M.H. (August 2013). Predicting the Financial Failure of Retail Companies In The United States. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 11(8), 373-380.
- 28. Kingyens, Angela Tsui-Yin Tran (2012). Bankruptcy prediction of companies in the retail apparel industry using data envelopment analysis. Degree of Doctor of Philosophy Graduate Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry University of Toronto.
- 29. Laitinen, T., Kankaanpää, M. (1999). Comparative analysis of failure prediction methods: the Finnish case. *The European Accounting Review*, 8 (1), 67–92.
- 30. Ko, K., Chang, M., Bae, E-S., and Kim, D. (2017). Efficiency Analysis of Retail Chain Stores in Korea. *Sustainability*, 9, 1-14.
- 31. Lau, K.H. (2013). Measuring distribution efficiency of a retail network through data envelopment analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 146(2), 598-611.
- 32. Lee, B.L. (2013). Productivity Performance of Singapore's Retail Sector: A Two-Stage Non-Parametric Approach. *Economic Analysis & Policy*, 43(1), 67-.
- 33. Lukić, R. (2011). Evaluacija poslovnih performansi u maloprodaji. Beograd: Ekonomski fakultet. (In Serbian: Evaluation of business performance in retail. Belgrade: Faculty of Economics)
- 34. Lukic, R. (2015). The impact of firm size on the performance of trade in Serbia. *Economic and Environmental Studies*, 15(4), 379-395.
- 35. Lukic, R. (2018). The Analysis of the Operative Profit Margin of Trade Companies in Serbia. *Review of International Comparative Management*, 19(9), 458-478.
- 36. Lukić, R. (2019). Analiza efikasnosti trgovinskih preduzeća u Srbiji. Zbornik radova Ekonomskog fakulteta Brčko, 13(1), 2019, 15-27.
- 37. Lukic, R. and Hadrovic Zekic, B. (2019). Evaluation of efficiency of trade companies in Serbia using the DEA approach. Proceedings of the 19 th International Scientific Conference Business logistics in modern management October 10-11, Osijek, Croatia, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Economics in Osijek, 145-165.
- Lukic, R, Hadrovic Zekic, B. and Crnjac Milic, D. (2020a). Financial performance evaluation of trading companies in Serbia using the integrated Fuzzy AHP - TOPSIS Approach. 9th International scientific symposium region, entrepreneurship, development, under the auspices of: Republic of Croatia ministry of science and education, Osijek, June, 690-703.

- 39. Lukic, R., Vojteski Kljenak, D. and Anđelić, S. (2020b). Analyzing financial performances and efficiency of the retail food in Serbia by using the AHP TOPSIS method. *Economics of Agriculture*, Year 67, No. 1, 2020, (pp. 55-68), Belgrade.
- 40. Lukic, R. (2020c). Analysis of the efficiency of trade in oil derivatives in Serbia by applying the fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS method. *Business Excellence and Management*, 10 (3): 80-98.
- 41. Lukic, R. (2021a). Application of MABAC Method in Evaluation of Sector Efficiency in Serbia. *Review of International Comparative Management*, 22(3), 400-417. DOI: 10.24818/RMCI.2021.3.400
- 42. Lukic, R. (2021b). Application of electre method in performance analysis of food retailers in Serbia. *Business Excellence and Managemen*, 1(3): 84-102. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24818/beman/2021.11.3-05</u>
- 43. Lukic, R. (2021c). Analysis of trade efficiency in Serbia based on the MABAC method. *Economic Outlook*, 23(2): 1-18.
- 44. Лукић, Р. (2021d). Анализа ефикасности трговинских предузећа у Ѕрбији на бази SAW методе. *Економски погледи*, 23(1):1-16.
- 45. Lukic, R. and Hadrovic Zekic, B. (2021e). Evaluation of transportation and storage efficiency in Serbia based on ratio analysis and the ocra method. Proceedings of the 21 th International Scientific Conference Business logistics in modern management October 7-8, Osijek, Croatia, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Economics in Osijek, 189-200.
- 46. Lukic, R. and Kozarevic, E. (2021f). Application of ARAS method in assessment of trade efficiency in Serbia. December 2021, Conference: 7th Scientific Conference with International Participation "Economy of Integration" I C E I 2021 – Economic Response and Crisis Recovery Caused by the Covid-19 Pandemic. At: Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 21-30.
- 47. Lukic, R.(2022a). Application of MARCOS method in evaluation of efficiency of trade companies in Serbia. *Ekonomski pogledi Economic Outlook*, 24(1):1-14. DOI:
- 10.5937/ep24-38921
- Lukic, R. (2022b). Application of the MARCOS Method in Analysis of the Positioning of Electronic Trade of the European Union and Serbia. *Informatica Economică*, vol. 26, no. 3/2022, 50-63. DOI: 10.24818/issn14531305/26.3.2022.05
- Lukic, R. (2022c). Employee costs of distribution trade of the European Union and Serbia. *Businesse excellence and management*, 12(3), 60-76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24818/beman/2022.12.3-05

- 50. Lukic, R.(2022d). Operating costs of trade in serbia. *Southeast European Review of Business and Economics*, 3(1), 26-43. DOI: 10.20544/SERBE.05.01.22. P02
- 51. Lukić, R. (2022e). Performansna analiza distribucione trgovine Evropske unije i Srbije. Performance Analysis of the Distribution Trade of the European Union and Serbia. Izzivi Globalizacije In Družbenoekonomsko Okolje Eu – Globalisation Challenges and The Socialeconomic Environment Of The Eu, Zbornik Prispevkov – Conference Proceedings 11. Mednarodna znanstvena konferenca - 11th International Scientific Conference Novo mesto, 19. maj 2022, University of Novo mesto Faculty of Economics and Informatics – Univerza v Novem mestu Fakulteta za ekonomijo in informatiko. Kataložni zapis o publikaciji (CIP) pripravili v Narodni in univerzitetni knjižnici v Ljubljani COBISS.SI-ID 130333443 ISBN 978-961-6770-56-9 (PDF), 327-335.
- 52. Lukic, R. (2022f). Analysis of Kosovo and Metohija Trade Performance. *Management and Economics Review*, 7(3), 379-391. DOI: 10.24818/mer/2022.10-08
- 53. Lukić, R. and Hadrović Zekić, B. (2022). Efficiency analysis of trade companies in serbia using the aras method. 22 nd international scientific conference Business Logistics in Modern Management, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek Faculty Of Economics In Osijek, October 6-7, 2022, Osijek, Croatia, 105-119.
- 54. Majumdar, S. and Asgari, B. (2017). Performance Analysis of Listed Companies in the UAE-Using DEA Malmquist Indeks Approach. *American Journal of Operations research*, 7, 133-151.
- 55. Malmquist, S.(1953).Index numbers and indifferen cesurfaces. *Trabajos de Estadistica*, 4, 209–242.
- 56. Melo, F.L., Sampaio, R.M.B. (2018). Effeciency, productivity gains, and the size of Brazilian supermarkets. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 197, 99-111.
- 57. Manini, R. and Amat, O. (2018). Credit scoring for the supermarket and retailing industry: Analysis and application proposal. Economics Working Paper Series, *Working Paper* No. 1614, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Department of Economics and Business, 1-14.
- Mihalovič, M. (2016), Performance Comparison of Multiple Discriminant Analysis and Logit Models in Bankruptcy Prediction, *Economics and Sociology*, Vol. 9, No 4, pp. 101-118. DOI: 10.14254/2071-789X.2016/9-4/6.
- 59. Moreno, J.J. (2010). Productivity growth of European Retailers: a benchmarking approach. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 37(3), 288-313.
- 60. Moreno, J.J. and Maria, S-T. (2011). Estimating technical efficiency and bootstrapping Malmwuist indices: Analysis of Spanish retail sector.

International Journal of Retal & Distribution Management, 39(4), 272-288.

- Rogova, E. and Blinova, A. (2018). The Technical Efficiency of Russian Retail Companies: An Empirical Analysis. *Zesz. Nauk.* UEK, 5 (977), 171–185.
- 62. Sami Mestiri & Manel Hamdi (2012). Credit Risk Prediction: A comparative study between logistic regression and logistic regression with random effects. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering* Management, 7:3, 200-204, DOI: 10.1080/17509653.2012.10671224.
- 63. Sarmento, J., Renneboog, L. and Matos, P.V. (2017). Measuring highway efficiency by a DEA approach and Malmquist index. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research EJTIR*, 17(4), 530-551.
- 64. Tone, K. (2001). A slacks-based measure of e• ciency in data envelopment analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 130, 498-509.
- 65. Tone, K. (2002). A slacks-based measure of super-efficiency in data envelopment analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 143, 32-41.
- 66. Tone K, Tsutsui M. (2009). Network DEA: a slacks-based measure approach. European

Journal of Operational Research, 197, 243–252.

- 67. Tone K, Tsutsui M. (2010). Dynamic DEA: a slacks-based measure approach. *Omega*,
- 38, 145-156.
- 68. Qiu, C. and Meng, L. (2017). Study on total factor productivity of retail industry in east China. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 33, 756-763.
- 69. Vaz, C,B., A.S. Camanho, A.S. and Guimarães, R.C. (2010). The assessment of retailing efficiency using Network Data Envelopment Analysis. *Annals of Operations Research*, 173(1), 5-24.
- 70. Vaz. C.B. and Camnho, A.S. (2012). Performance comparison of retailing stores using a nalmguist-tyupe index. *The Journal of Operational Research Society*, 63(5), 631-645.
- 71. Wang, Z-M. and Lan, Z-X. (2011). Measuring Malmquist productivity index: A new approach based on douple frontiers data envelopment analysis. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 54, 2760-2771.
- 72. Yu, W. and Ramanathan, R. (2009). An assessment of operational efficiency of retail firms in China. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 16, 109-122.

Ovaj rad je primljen **15.05.2022.**, a na sastanku redakcije časopisa prihvaćen za štampu **27.12.2022.** godine.