Dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease

Jelena Rakočević¹, Miloje Tomašević^{2,3}, Srđan Aleksandrić³, Siniša Stojković^{3,4},
Milan A. Nedeljković^{3,4}

¹*Institute of Histology and Embryology, School of Medicine, University of Belgrade,* ²*Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac,* ³*Cardiology Clinic, Clinical Center of Serbia,* ⁴*School of Medicine, University of Belgrade*

Abstract

Coronary artery disease encompasses a spectrum of clinical presentations caused by underlying coronary atherosclerosis. Acute manifestations of atherosclerotic disease occur when rupture/erosion of atherosclerotic plaque becomes substrate for platelet activation and aggregation, which have a central role in the formation and propagation of intracoronary thrombi. Therefore, antiplatelet therapy represents a standard of care in the primary and secondary prevention of CAD.

Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) typically refers to aspirin along with P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor (clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor). Choice and duration of DAPT requires an individual approach and assessment of each patient, emphasizing the tailored antiplatelet treatment. This is largely driven by patient's clinical presentation (stable coronary artery disease or acute coronary syndrome), existing risk factors (ischemic vs. hemorrhagic risk), and following treatment plan (medical treatment, percutaneous coronary intervention, or coronary artery by-pass graft).

In this review, we present the evidence supporting DAPT administration and therapy duration in different subpopulations of patients with CAD. Also, we will review these data in the context of current 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease, emphasizing the novelties from the present guidelines.

Key words

dual antiplatelet therapy, aspirin, ticagrelor, prasugrel, coronary artery disease, acute coronary syndrome

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) can be presented with a variety of symptoms and different clinical presentations which are caused by underlying atherosclerotic disease. Acute manifestations of atherosclerotic disease occur when rupture/erosion of atherosclerotic plaque become substrate for platelet activation and aggregation, which is followed by the activation of clotting cascade¹. Activation and aggregation of platelets has a central role in the formation and propagation of intracoronary thrombi. Therefore, antiplatelet therapy represents a standard of care in the primary and secondary prevention of CAD.

Numerous clinical trials have proven that antiplatelet therapy reduces recurrent major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or stable CAD^{2,3}. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) results in more intense platelet inhibition than single antiplatelet therapy, with significant risk reduction of ischemic events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or ACS, but is accompanied by increased hemorrhagic risk.

Currently several antiplatelet drugs are available, each with their distinct pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties, which led to their specific

indications. Although there are several possible combinations of antiplatelet regimen, DAPT typically refers to aspirin along with P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor (clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor). Choice and duration of DAPT requires an individual approach and assessment of each patient. This is largely driven by patient's clinical presentation (stable coronary artery disease or acute coronary syndrome), existing risk factors (ischemic vs. hemorrhagic risk), and following treatment plan (medical treatment, percutaneous coronary intervention, or coronary artery by-pass graft - CABG).

In this review, we will discuss the evidence supporting DAPT administration and therapy duration in different subpopulations of patients with CAD. Also, we will review these data in the context of current 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease, emphasizing the novelties from the present guidelines⁴.

Antiplatelet agents

In patients with CAD thrombus formation is generally driven by platelet aggregation. Therefore platelet inhibition plays a central role in the prevention and treatment of atherothrombotic ischemic events in patients with CAD. Oral antiplatelet agents for secondary prevention

in patients with CAD include aspirin and P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor).

Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid, ASA) has a mainstay role in DAPT. It acts by competitively inhibiting cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme, reducing thromboxane A₂ formation and platelet aggregation, which is considered the main mechanism for the protection from thrombotic events⁵. The regular formulation of aspirin is rapidly absorbed from the stomach and small intestine, reaching its peak plasma concentration after 30-40 minutes. Contrary, enteric-coated formulations achieve antiplatelet effect after 2-3 hours upon administration. Aspirin use is associated with an increased risk of bleeding complications, mainly gastrointestinal origin, which is reduced by the introduction of buffered- and enteric-coated formulations. Daily doses of aspirin above 325 mg do not have any additional anti-thrombotic effect and are associated with increased toxicity. Therefore, the doses of aspirin from 75-150 mg are indicated for the long-term administration⁶.

P2Y₁₂ receptor has an important role in thrombus formation and stabilization. Binding of ADP to the P2Y₁₂ receptor leads to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor activation, which is necessary for strong, irreversible platelet aggregation. Therefore, P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors provide additional thrombotic protection accompanied by modest increase in bleeding risk⁷. The most frequently prescribed oral P2Y₁₂ antagonists are clopidogrel, ticagrelor and prasugrel. Thienopyridines (clopidogrel and prasugrel) irreversibly block the P2Y₁₂ receptor, while ticagrelor reversibly inhibits this receptor.

Clopidogrel is a second-generation thienopyridine irreversible P2Y₁₂ inhibitor, biologically inactive pro-drug that requires hepatic conversion to its active metabolite. Administration of this antiplatelet drug results in mean platelet inhibition of 40-60% within 2 to 6 hours. Clopidogrel has shown variable level of platelet inhibition between patients^{8,9}. Response variability of clopidogrel is associated with numerous patients' characteristics and clinical factors (age, high body mass index, smoking, presence of diabetes mellitus, acute coronary syndrome, heart failure)^{10,11}, drug-drug interactions (calcium channel blockers, omeprazole and esomeprazole, ketoconazole)¹¹⁻¹³, and genetic polymorphism of CYP isoenzyme^{14,15}. Many patients with inadequate platelet inhibition after clopidogrel administration may be at increased ischemic risk¹⁶⁻¹⁸. In CAPRIE (*The Clopidogrel versus Aspirin in Patients at Risk of Ischemic Events*) trial the efficacy of clopidogrel and aspirin was compared in 19,185 patients with recent MI, recent ischemic stroke or symptomatic peripheral arterial disease¹⁹. During the median follow-up of 1.91 years, clopidogrel was shown to reduce the risk of vascular death, MI or ischemic stroke by 8.7% (95% CI 0.3-16.5, p=0.043), while the overall safety of clopidogrel and aspirin was similar.

Clopidogrel response variability led to the development of more potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors – ticagrelor and prasugrel. Their pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile provides more consistent, rapid and more potent platelet inhibition than clopidogrel. However, greater efficacy of ticagrelor and prasugrel translates into a greater hemorrhagic risk in patients²⁰.

Prasugrel is a third-generation thienopyridine, potent oral irreversible P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor. Similar to clopidogrel, prasugrel is also a pro-drug that requires hepatic activation upon administration, but with faster onset of action and less interindividual variability. Its superiority to clopidogrel, prasugrel has proven in TRITON-TIMI 38 trial (*Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38*) by reducing ischemic events by 19%²¹.

Ticagrelor is a cyclopentyl-triazolo-pyrimidine, inhibitor of P2Y₁₂ platelet receptor. Different to previously described P2Y₁₂ inhibitors, ticagrelor is an active drug and does not require hepatic metabolism for its activity, and also binds to the receptor independently from ADP. In stable patients, maximum platelet inhibition with ticagrelor is achieved 1 hour after administration, while clopidogrel takes 6 – 12 hours for its maximal efficiency. Also, platelets regain their normal reactivity upon 3 days after cessation of ticagrelor therapy, which occurs after 5-7 days after clopidogrel cessation²². With faster onset of action and consistent reversible platelet inhibition, ticagrelor proved its superiority over clopidogrel in patients with ACS by significantly reducing ischemic risk by 16%, without increasing the risk of hemorrhage²³.

Stable coronary artery disease

Aspirin is the cornerstone for secondary prevention of patients with stable CAD, irrespective of the management strategy. Large meta-analysis which included 16 secondary prevention trials with 17,000 high-risk patients showed that aspirin administered in low doses (75–150 mg daily) was associated with a 20% relative risk reduction in major cardiovascular adverse events (MACE, RR 0.80, 95% CI 0.73 – 0.88), consisting of cardiovascular death or nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI)²⁴. This meta-analysis also showed that aspirin administration was associated with a 31% relative risk reduction in MI (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.60 - 0.80), 22% relative risk reduction in ischaemic stroke (RR 0.78, 95% CI 0.61 – 0.99), and a 10% relative risk reduction in all-cause mortality (RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.82 - 0.99, p=0.02). This reduction was accompanied by an increased risk of major extracranial bleeding (RR 2.69, 95% CI 1.25 - 5.76). Therefore, current guidelines recommend long-term low dose (75-150 mg) aspirin in all patients with stable CAD (class I, level of evidence A)⁶.

DAPT is not indicated in patients with stable CAD without prior MI or PCI. This recommendation is supported by the results of CHARISMA (*Clopidogrel or High Atherothrombotic Risk and Ischemic Stabilization, Management and Avoidance*) trial which enrolled more than 15,000 patients with stable cardiovascular disease or multiple risk factors²⁵. Patients were randomly assigned to receive clopidogrel plus low-dose aspirin, or placebo plus low-dose aspirin. After a median follow-up of 28 months, clopidogrel plus aspirin was not significantly more effective in MACE reduction (composite of MI, stroke, or cardiovascular death) compared with aspirin alone (6.8% vs. 7.3%, RR 0.93, 95% CI, 0.83 – 1.05, p=0.22).

Percutaneous coronary intervention

The combination of aspirin and P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor therapy remains the standard of care for patients undergoing PCI with bare metal stents (BMS) or drug-eluting stents (DES). After stent implantation there is a general recommendation of 6-months DAPT consisting of aspirin and clopidogrel, regardless of the stent type (class I, level of evidence A).

In 2012 two randomized clinical trials were published comparing 6-months DAPT with at least 12-months DAPT in patients with coronary stent implantation. The first one is the EXCELLENT (*The Efficacy of Xience/Promus Versus Cypher to Reduce Late Loss After Stenting*) trial in which comparison of 6-months vs. 1-year DAPT (aspirin + clopidogrel) after DES implantation showed similar target vessel failure (composite of cardiac death, myocardial infarction, or ischemia-driven target vessel revascularization) in both groups (4.8% vs. 4.3% after 12 months)²⁶. Additionally, there was a tendency towards lower bleeding risk with shorter DAPT duration. The second trial was the PRODIGY (*PROlonging Dual antiplatelet treatment after Grading stent induced intimal hYperplasia*) trial, comparing 6-months DAPT duration vs. 24-months DAPT (aspirin + clopidogrel) after BMS and three different DES²⁷. There was no significant difference in 2-year primary endpoint (composite of all-cause death, MI, or cerebrovascular incident) between shorter (10.0%) and longer (10.1%) DAPT duration. The risk of major bleeding was significantly lower in patients receiving 6-months clopidogrel according to both the BARC and the TIMI bleeding criteria.

RESET (*Real Safety and Efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet Therapy following Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation*) trial and OPTIMIZE (*Optimized Duration of Clopidogrel Therapy Following Treatment With the Endeavor*) trial showed that 3-month DAPT after DES implantation was noninferior to 12-month DAPT, without significantly increasing the risk of stent thrombosis^{28,29}. Therefore, according to current guidelines, 3-month DAPT should be considered in patients with higher bleeding risk and stable CAD (class IIa, level of evidence B). Additionally, in certain patients with the risk of 3-month DAPT administration, 1 month of DAPT may be considered (class IIb, level of evidence C).

Several meta-analyses³⁰⁻³² supported the extension of DAPT beyond the 6 months in patients with stable CAD who previously well tolerated DAPT, particularly when there is a high thrombotic and low bleeding risk, which has class IIb, level of evidence A in current DAPT guidelines.

Although there are no data from clinical trials comparing DAPT duration after bioresorbable stents, present guidelines recommend considering at least 12 months DAPT administration (class IIa, level of evidence C). This was largely driven by the results of ABSORB III trial, where the efficacy and safety of everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold was compared to everolimus-eluting cobalt-chromium stent in patients with stable and unstable angina³³. Also, recent trials pointed to very late bioresorbable scaffold thrombosis, suggesting an extended period of vulnerability for thrombotic events in these patients, and therefore supporting at least 12 months of DAPT administration^{34,35}.

Acute coronary syndrome

Antiplatelet therapy continues to be the cornerstone of pharmacological therapy for patients with ACS. Regardless of the implanted stent type (BMS or DES), patients with ACS require DAPT for 12 months unless contraindicated, e.g. excessive bleeding risk (class I, level of evidence A). Along with aspirin, the first line P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor should be ticagrelor or prasugrel, while patients not eligible for ticagrelor or prasugrel should receive clopidogrel. PLATO (*PLATElet inhibition and patient Outcomes*) trial directly compared ticagrelor and clopidogrel in 18,624 patients with ACS²³. Majority of these patients had non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI, 43%) about 38% of patients had ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), while 17% of patients had unstable angina. Ticagrelor reduced the risk of ischemic events (composite of death from vascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke) by 16% (HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.77-0.92, p<0.001), reduced the risk of MI by 16% (HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.75-0.95, p=0.001) and cardiovascular mortality by 21% (HR 0.79, 95% CI 0.69-0.91, p=0.001), without significant reduction of stroke risk when compared to clopidogrel. There was no significant difference in major bleeding between patients receiving ticagrelor (11.6%) and clopidogrel (11.2%, p=0.43), while ticagrelor showed increased rate of major bleeding not related to CABG (4.5% vs. 3.8%, p=0.03). Also, dyspnea and ventricular pauses were more frequently recorded in patients from ticagrelor group.

Prasugrel also proved superior to clopidogrel in TRITON-TIMI 38 trial²¹. In this trial more than 13,000 ACS patients scheduled for PCI randomly received prasugrel or clopidogrel for 6-15 months. The administration of prasugrel was associated with 19% lower MACE risk (composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke, HR 0.81, 95% CI 0.73-0.90, p<0.001). Prasugrel also significantly reduced the rate of myocardial infarction by 24% (HR 0.76, 95% CI 0.67-0.85, p<0.001), urgent target-vessel revascularization by 34% (HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.54-0.81, p<0.001) and reduced the risk of stent thrombosis by 52% (HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.36-0.64, p<0.001) when compared to clopidogrel. However, the risk of major bleeding was significantly higher with prasugrel administration (HR 1.32, 95% CI 1.03-1.68, p=0.03), as well as life-threatening bleeding (HR 1.52, 95% CI 1.08-2.13, p=0.01).

According to current guidelines, in patients with ACS and stent implantation, accompanied by higher risk of hemorrhagic complications, cessation of DAPT after 6 months should be considered (class IIa, level of evidence B)³⁶⁻³⁷.

PEGASUS-TIMI 54 (*Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Prior Heart Attack Using Ticagrelor Compared to Placebo on a Background of Aspirin-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 54*) trial explored the efficacy of ticagrelor administration beyond 12 months after the myocardial infarction³⁸. More than 21,000 patients were randomly assigned to receive ticagrelor (60 mg or 90 mg twice daily) or placebo. During 33 months of follow-up investigators recorded the occurrence of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke, showing significant risk reduction of these ischemic events with

ticagrelor treatment (ticagrelor 90 mg vs. placebo HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.75-0.96, $p=0.008$; ticagrelor 60 mg vs. placebo HR 0.84, 95% CI 0.74-0.95, $p=0.004$). However, ticagrelor administration in both doses significantly increased the risk of TIMI (*Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction*) major bleeding (2.60% in 90 mg-group, 2.30% in 60 mg-group vs. 1.06% in placebo-group).

Results from the DAPT (*Dual Antiplatelet Therapy*) study confirmed the efficacy of the extended DAPT duration in patients treated with everolimus-eluting stents (EES)³⁹. Compared to placebo, continued thienopyridine therapy (clopidogrel or prasugrel) in EES-treated patients reduced the risk of stent thrombosis by 62% (HR 0.38, 95% CI 0.15-0.97, $p=0.04$) and MI by 37% (HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.44-0.91, $p=0.01$), without the reduction in composite of death, MI, and stroke. As expected, extended DAPT administration was accompanied by increase in moderate/severe bleeding (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.15-2.80, $p=0.01$). Additional positive results for the extended DAPT duration came from meta-analysis that included 33,435 high-risk patients presented with MI or with a history of prior MI³. Extended DAPT treatment reduced MACE risk compared to aspirin-alone (6.4% vs. 7.5%, RR 0.78, 95% CI 0.67-0.90, $p=0.001$), along with the reduction of cardiovascular death, MI, stroke and stent thrombosis, with no significant change in non-cardiovascular death and all-cause mortality. Prolonged DAPT increased the risk of major bleeding by 73% (1.85% vs. 1.09%, RR 1.73, 95% CI 1.19-2.50, $p=0.004$), but had no effect on fatal bleeding.

Therefore, current guidelines recommend for patients with MI and high ischemic risk who previously well tolerated DAPT, to continue with DAPT beyond 12 months, with ticagrelor as preferred P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (over clopidogrel or prasugrel) (class IIb, level of evidence B).

For many years the first choice of P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor for STEMI patients after PCI was clopidogrel. But in the latest STEMI guidelines newer P2Y₁₂ inhibitors - ticagrelor or prasugrel, on top of aspirin, are now considered the first line DAPT treatment for 12 months of administration (class I, level of evidence A). In cases where ticagrelor or prasugrel are not available or contraindicated, clopidogrel should be administered. Ticagrelor (180 mg loading dose and 90 mg maintenance dose twice daily) and prasugrel (60 mg loading dose and 10 mg maintenance dose daily) are superior to clopidogrel in clinical outcomes, with more rapid onset of action and greater potency. This major change in P2Y₁₂ inhibitor recommendation came from positive results for prasugrel in TRITON-TIMI 38 trial²¹ and positive results for ticagrelor in PLATO trial²³. In the analysis of STEMI patients from PLATO study, MACE reduction (composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, or cardiovascular death) was observed similar to overall PLATO study (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.75-1.01, $p=0.07$)⁴⁰. It was noted that ticagrelor in STEMI patients reduced the risk of MI by 20% (HR 0.80, 95% CI 0.65-0.98, $p=0.03$), total mortality by 18% (HR 0.82, 95% CI 0.67-1.00, $p=0.05$), and definite stent thrombosis by 34% (HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.45-0.95, $p=0.03$). However, there was increased risk of stroke in ticagrelor group compared to patients who received clopidogrel (HR 1.63, 95% CI 1.07-2.48, $p=0.02$).

New DAPT guidelines also underline switching between oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors. In patients with ACS who

previously received clopidogrel, it is now recommended to switch from clopidogrel to ticagrelor early after hospital admission at a loading dose of 180 mg irrespective of timing and loading dose of clopidogrel, unless ticagrelor is contraindicated (class I, level of evidence B). This „switch“ is supported by the results of PLATO trial^{23,41} where about 50% of patients were pre-treated mostly with loading dose of clopidogrel (300-600 mg), and afterwards randomly assigned to receive ticagrelor. Observed efficacy and safety of ticagrelor was not influenced by previous clopidogrel administration. Additionally, present guidelines recommend further switching between oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors in cases of side effects or drug intolerance (class IIb, level of evidence C).

Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery

In patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing CABG there is no evidence of a DAPT benefit, therefore DAPT is not recommended in this class of patients.

On the other hand, DAPT is indicated in patients with ACS treated with CABG, estimating the individual ischemic and hemorrhagic risk that will guide further antithrombotic management. It was shown that newer P2Y₁₂ inhibitors (ticagrelor and prasugrel) were more effective than clopidogrel when added to aspirin in this subpopulation of patients^{42,43}. Therefore, when there is low bleeding risk in ACS patients undergoing CABG, administration of ticagrelor/prasugrel on top of aspirin therapy is recommended for 12 months, or clopidogrel if patient is not eligible for ticagrelor/prasugrel treatment (class I, level of evidence C). It may be considered to continue with DAPT for more than 12 months in patients with prior MI and high ischemic risk, who have tolerated DAPT without bleeding complications (class IIb, level of evidence B).

In patients receiving DAPT after PCI who are planned to undergo CABG, it is recommended to resume P2Y₁₂ therapy postoperatively as soon as evaluated safe until the recommended DAPT duration is completed (class I, level of evidence C). Similarly, patients with ACS already receiving DAPT and undergoing CABG are also advised to continue DAPT therapy up to 12 months as soon as is deemed safe after surgery (class I, level of evidence C).

Discontinuation of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy prior to non-emergent CABG varies due to different properties of clopidogrel, ticagrelor and prasugrel. Ticagrelor administration should be discontinued at least 3 days prior to CABG (class IIa, level of evidence B). Recently published trial reported that discontinuing ticagrelor therapy 72-120 h or > 120 h before planned CABG did not show difference in major bleeding among these patients (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.53-1.64, $p=0.80$), while stopping this therapy < 72h before surgery resulted in higher rate of major bleeding⁴⁴. This finding was also supported by the results from PLATO trial, where patients discontinued ticagrelor treatment 24-72h prior the CABG⁴². Clopidogrel administration should be discontinued in longer period of time, at least 5 days prior to CABG (class IIa, level of evidence B), which is supported by the result of CURE trial⁴⁵. Additionally, Swedish nationwide study confirmed these results, by

showing that quitting ticagrelor 72 h before CABG increases the risk of major bleeding compared with 72–120 h (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.02–2.73, $p=0.042$) and >120 h (OR 2.85, 95% CI 1.98–4.10, $p<0.0001$). Due to its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties, prasugrel should be discontinued at least 7 days before planned CABG (class IIa, level of evidence B), as reported by the results of TRITON-TIMI 38 trial^{43,46}. Also, discontinuation of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy should be considered after 6 months in patients with MI and higher bleeding risk undergoing CABG (class IIa, level of evidence C).

Medical Treatment Alone

In medically managed patients with stable CAD, DAPT is not indicated unless concomitant or prior indications exist^{23,47}. However, in patients with ACS managed with medical treatment alone, it is recommended to continue with P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy for 12 months (class I, level of evidence A). Choice of P2Y₁₂ treatment should be either ticagrelor or clopidogrel, while negative results from TRILOGY ACS (*Targeted Platelet Inhibition to Clarify the Optimal Strategy to Medically Manage Acute Coronary Syndromes*) study indicate that prasugrel has no favor in medically managed patients with ACS⁴⁸.

Benefits from ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel in PLATO trial^{23,49}, and ticagrelor compared to placebo in PEGASUS trial³⁸ resulted in recommending ticagrelor over clopidogrel in medically managed patients with ACS, unless the bleeding risk is higher than potential ischemic benefit (class I, level of evidence B). In cases of higher hemorrhagic risk, 1 month DAPT administration should be considered (class IIa, level of evidence C).

Results from PEGASUS-TIMI 54 largely contributed that ticagrelor therapy along with aspirin may be considered in patients with prior MI at high ischemic risk for longer than 12 months up to 36 months, who are medically managed and previously well tolerated DAPT (class IIb, level of evidence B)⁵⁰. Subgroup of these patients who are not eligible for ticagrelor treatment, may be considered for clopidogrel treatment for longer than 12 months (class IIb, level of evidence C).

References

- Fuster V, Badimon L, Badimon JJ, et al. Mechanisms of disease - the pathogenesis of coronary-artery disease and the acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:310–8.
- Bhatt DL, Hulot J-S, Moliterno DJ, et al. Antiplatelet and Anticoagulation Therapy for Acute Coronary Syndromes. *Circ Res* 2014;114(12):1929–43.
- Udell JA, Bonaca MP, Collet JP, et al. Long-term dual antiplatelet therapy for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events in the subgroup of patients with previous myocardial infarction: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37:390–9.
- Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, et al. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: The Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2017; doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx419.
- Vane JR, Botting RM. The mechanism of action of aspirin. *Thromb Res* 2003;110(5–6):255–8.
- Task Force Members, Montalescot G, Sechtem U, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: the Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34(38):2949–3003.
- Gachet C. ADP receptors of platelets and their inhibition. *Thromb Haemost* 2001;86:222–32.
- Matetzky S, Shenkman B, Guetta V, et al. Clopidogrel resistance is associated with increased risk of recurrent atherothrombotic events in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2004;109:3171–5.
- Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Hiatt BL, et al. Clopidogrel for coronary stenting: response variability, drug resistance, and the effect of pre-treatment platelet reactivity. *Circulation* 2003;107:2908–13.
- Angiolillo DJ. Antiplatelet therapy in diabetes: efficacy and limitations of current treatment strategies and future directions. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:531–40.
- Cayla G, Hulot JS, O'Connor SA, et al. Clinical, angiographic, and genetic factors associated with early coronary stent thrombosis. *JAMA* 2011;306:1765–74.
- Siller-Matula JM, Lang I, Christ G, et al. Calcium-channel blockers reduce the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:1557–63.
- Siller-Matula JM, Jilma B, Schror K, et al. Effect of proton pump inhibitors on clinical outcome in patients treated with clopidogrel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;8:2624–41.
- Brandt JT, Close SL, Iturria SJ, et al. Common polymorphisms of CYP2C19 and CYP2C9 affect the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response to clopidogrel but not prasugrel. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007;5:2429–36.
- Jeong YH, Kim IS, Park Y, et al. Carriage of cytochrome 2C19 polymorphism is associated with risk of high post-treatment platelet reactivity on high maintenance-dose clopidogrel of 150 mg/day: results of the ACCEL-DOUBLE (Accelerated Platelet Inhibition by a Double Dose of Clopidogrel According to Gene Polymorphism) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol Intv* 2010;3:731–41.
- Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, et al. Variability in individual responsiveness to clopidogrel: clinical implications, management, and future perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49(14):1505–16.
- Bates ER, Lau WC, Bleske BE. Loading, pretreatment, and inter-individual variability issues with clopidogrel dosing. *Circulation*. 2005 May 24;111(20):2557–9.
- Serebruany VL, Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, et al. Variability in platelet responsiveness to clopidogrel among 544 individuals. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005 ;45(2):246–51.
- CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomised, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events (CAPRIE). *Lancet* 1996;348:1329–39.
- Siller-Matula JM, Krumphuber J, Jilma B. Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and clinical profile of novel antiplatelet drugs targeting vascular disease. *Br J Pharmacol* 2009;159:502–17.
- Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357(20):2001–15.
- Khan N, Cox AR, Cotton JM. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitors during the acute phase of a myocardial infarction: A systematic review. *Thromb Res* 2016; 143: 141–8.
- Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1045–57.
- Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration, Baigent C, Blackwell L, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet* 2009; 373(9678): 1849–60.
- Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, et al. Clopidogrel and Aspirin versus Aspirin Alone for the Prevention of Atherothrombotic Events. *NEJM* 2006;354(16):1706–17.
- Gwon HC, Hahn JY, Park KW, et al. Six-month versus 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents: the Efficacy of Xience/Promus Versus Cypher to Reduce

- Late Loss After Stenting (EXCELLENT) randomized, multicenter study. *Circulation* 2012;125:505–13.
27. Valgimigli M, Campo G, Monti M, et al. Short- versus long-term duration of dual-antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting: a randomized multicenter trial. *Circulation* 2012;125:2015–26.
 28. Kim BK, Hong MK, Shin DH, et al. A new strategy for discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy: the RESET Trial (REal Safety and Efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet Therapy following Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:1340–8.
 29. Feres F, Costa RA, Abizaid A, et al. Three vs twelve months of dual antiplatelet therapy after zotarolimus-eluting stents: the OPTIMIZE randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013;310:2510–22.
 30. Palmerini T, Benedetto U, Bacchi-Reggiani L, et al. Mortality in patients treated with extended duration dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation: a pairwise and Bayesian network meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet* 2015; 385:2371–82.
 31. Navarese EP, Andreotti F, Schulze V, et al. Optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention with drug eluting stents: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2015;350:h1618.
 32. Giustino G, Baber U, Sartori S, et al. Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65:1298–1310.
 33. Ellis SG, Kereiakes DJ, Metzger DC, et al. Everolimus-eluting bioresorbable scaffolds for coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1905–15.
 34. Serruys PW, Chevalier B, Sotomi Y, et al. Comparison of an everolimus-eluting bioresorbable scaffold with an everolimus-eluting metallic stent for the treatment of coronary artery stenosis (ABSORB II): a 3 year, randomised, controlled, single-blind, multicentre clinical trial. *Lancet* 2016;388:2479–91.
 35. Räber L, Brugaletta S, Yamaji K, et al. Very late scaffold thrombosis: intracoronary imaging and histopathological and spectroscopic findings. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;66:1901–14.
 36. Costa F, Tijssen JG, Ariotti S, Giatti S, et al. Incremental value of the CRUSADE, ACUITY, and HAS-BLED risk scores for the prediction of hemorrhagic events after coronary stent implantation in patients undergoing long or short duration of dual antiplatelet therapy. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2015;4:e002524.37. Costa F, van Klaveren D, James S, et al. Derivation and validation of the predicting bleeding complications in patients undergoing stent implantation and subsequent dual antiplatelet therapy (PRECISE-DAPT) score: a pooled analysis of individual-patient datasets from clinical trials. *Lancet* 2017;389:1025–34.
 38. Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Cohen M, et al. Long-term use of ticagrelor in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 372:1791–1800.
 39. Hermiller JB, Krucoff MW, Kereiakes DJ, et al. Benefits and risks of extended dual antiplatelet therapy after everolimus-eluting stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;9:138–147.
 40. Steg PG, James S, Harrington RA, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes intended for reperfusion with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: A Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial subgroup analysis. *Circulation*. 2010;122(21):2131–41.
 41. Cannon CP, Harrington RA, James S, et al. Comparison of ticagrelor with clopidogrel in patients with a planned invasive strategy for acute coronary syndromes (PLATO): a randomised double-blind study. *Lancet* 2010;375:283–93.
 42. Held C, Asenblad N, Bassand JP, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: results from the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:672–684.
 43. Smith PK, Goodnough LT, Levy JH, et al. Mortality benefit with prasugrel in the TRITON-TIMI 38 coronary artery bypass grafting cohort: risk-adjusted retrospective data analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:388–96.
 44. Hansson EC, Jideus L, Aberg B, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting-related bleeding complications in patients treated with ticagrelor or clopidogrel: a nationwide study. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37:189–197.
 45. Fox KA, Mehta SR, Peters R, et al. Benefits and risks of the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin in patients undergoing surgical revascularization for non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent ischemic Events (CURE) Trial. *Circulation* 2004;110:1202–1208.
 46. Tomsic A, Schotborgh MA, Manshanden JS, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting-related bleeding complications in patients treated with dual antiplatelet treatment. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2016;50:849–56.
 47. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, et al. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:494–502.
 48. Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KA, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1297–1309.
 49. James SK, Roe MT, Cannon CP, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes intended for non-invasive management: substudy from prospective randomised PLATElet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. *BMJ* 2011;342:d3527.
 50. Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Steg PG, et al. Ischaemic risk and efficacy of ticagrelor in relation to time from P2Y12 inhibitor withdrawal in patients with prior myocardial infarction: insights from PEGASUS-TIMI 54. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:1133–42.

Sažetak

Dvojna antitrombotična terapija u koronarnoj arterijskoj bolesti

Jelena Rakočević¹, Miloje Tomašević^{2,3}, Srđan Aleksandrić³, Siniša Stojković^{3,4}, Milan A. Nedeljković^{3,4}

¹Institut za Histologiju i Embriologiju, Medicinski fakultet, Univerzitet u Beogradu, ²Klinika za internu medicinu, Medicinski fakultet, Univerzitet u Kragujevcu, ³Klinika za kardiologiju, Klinički Centar Srbije, ⁴Medicinski fakultet, Univerzitet u Beogradu

Koronarna arterijska bolest (CAD) obuhvata spektar kliničkih prezentacija uzrokovanih koronarnom aterosklerozom. Akutne manifestacije aterosklerotske bolesti javljaju se kada ruptura / erozija aterosklerotskog plaka postaje supstrat za aktivaciju i agregaciju trombocita, koji imaju centralnu ulogu u formiranju i širenju intakoronarnog tromba. Stoga, antitrombotična terapija predstavlja standard u lečenju u primarnoj i sekundarnoj prevenciji koronarne arterijske bolesti. Dvojna antitrombotična terapija (DAPT) tipično se odnosi na aspirin uz inhibitor P2Y12 receptora (klopidogrel, prasugrel ili tikagrelor). Izbor i trajanje DAPT-a zahteva individualni pristup i procenu kod svakog pacijenta, naglašavajući prilagođenu antitrombotičnu terapiju. Ovo u velikoj meri zavisi od kliničke prezentacije pacijenta (stabilna koronarna bolest ili akutni koronarni sindrom), postojećih faktora rizika (ishemijski ili hemoragijski rizik), i od pratećeg plana lečenja (medikamentozni tretman, perkutana koronarna intervencija ili koronarno arterijsko premošćavanje). U ovom radu predstavljamo dokaze koji podržavaju upotrebu DAPT-a i dužinu trajanje te terapije u različitim populacijama bolesnika sa CAD. Isto tako ćemo razmotriti te podatke u kontekstu trenutnih 2017 ESC preporuka o dvojnoj antitrombotičnoj terapiji usmerenih na dvojnu antitrombotičnu terapiju u koronarnoj arterijskoj bolesti, naglašavajući novine iz ovih preporuka.

Ključne reči: dvojna antitrombotična terapija, aspirin, tikagrelor, prasugrel, koronarna arterijska bolest, akutni koronarni sindrom