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**Abstract:** The aim of this research is to study the state, dynamics and factors affecting the interethnic relations of young people in the field of education. This article discusses the conceptual foundations of the structural and content model of forming interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment of the university. Particular attention is paid to the study of new educational strategies and approaches that can create the desired socio-cultural and theoretical tolerance of representatives of other cultures, ethnic groups and religions. The research was a mixed method research and it made use of both quantitative and qualitative data. Secondary data for the article was derived from the scientific publications of other researchers and primary data was collected with the help of focus groups and indepth interviews. The 1000 participants of the research were carefully selected to represent nationals of other countries, culture and religion, to reduce the bias that sociological studies exhibit. The hierarchy technique was used to analyze qualitative data. The authors present the results and analysis of sociological research for the analysis of interethnic tolerance of young people. It focuses on the psychological and pedagogical content of the phenomenon of interethnic tolerance, that can be taught to students in school. The authors offer evidence-based conclusions and recommendations on the organization of the process of forming interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment of the university.
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**Introduction**

In the period where the Republic of Kazakhstan got its independence, some of the notable issues that the citizens had to deal with were interethnic relations and interethnic harmony. Kazakhstan supports the co-existence of people from different nationalities, races, religious views, and beliefs. This level of tolerance over the years has become a special Kazakh subculture, which distinguishes the model of the true Kazakh mentality.

The First President of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Nazarbayev (1996), in the book ‘On the threshold of the XXI century’, explained, ‘The transformation of the national idea into the idea of national exclusivity is fatal for the ethos... Many states where they failed to recognise the positive and negative beginnings of the rise of ethnicity-consciousness have become the arena of protracted wars’. Yugoslavia, Algeria, modern Afghanistan, many African States are examples of states that failed to recognise the positive and negative beginnings of the rise in ethnicity consciousness.

In October 2008, the principles of the National Policy in Kazakhstan were developed and tested in practice. In Kazakhstan’s model of interethnic harmony, the following aspects were identified: the consolidating role of the Kazakh ethnic group; tolerance; ethnic diversity, religious diversity, cultural and linguistic diversity; conditions for the development of culture and languages of ethnic groups of Kazakhstan.

¹Corresponding author: wcit.info@gmail.com
The goal of the present Kazakhstan generation is to become one of the most competitive civilised countries in the world. Active innovative processes in the socio-economic and political life of modern society have put the education system in need for a revision of both the traditional and new approaches used in the practical training of young professionals in all spheres of public life (Konst and Kairisto-Mertanen, 2019; Ahi and Alisinanoglu, 2018; Vasilieni-Vasiliauskiene et al., 2020). A graduate of the university should have a culture of interethnic communication and interaction, have a tolerant mindset towards representatives of different ethnic groups living in a single territory, take into account the religious, regional and national specifics of the individual in the process of future professional activity and have intercultural competence in solving professional problems (Zhumabayeva et al., 2019; Bagila et al., 2019; Agranovich et al., 2019; Usmani and Khatoon, 2018; Elçi and Uzunboylu, 2020).

Interpersonal interaction in the modern world is one of the topical problems that need to be addressed. This is because most people lack the ability to interact effectively with other people (Kayedkhordeh, Mousavi and Abdi, 2018), to anticipate emotions, intentions, motivation of the interlocutor, the ability to manage their emotions and emotions of other people and to build emotional connections (Masat et al., 2018). It is necessary to develop emotional intelligence including empathy, acceptance of a person of another faith, nationality and religious views and beliefs, which makes the study unique (Ozkisi and Topaloğlu, 2018; Sorakin-Balli, Basari and Guldal-Kan, 2020). Uzunboylu and Altay (2019) reviewed studies on multicultural education around the world. They found that more than half of the empirical studies employ a qualitative research about multicultural education design.

Culture is one of people’s means of understanding and tolerating each other (Kececi and Kececi, 2016). Multicultural education, which is one of the most important features of the 21st century, is expanding its applications day by day (Emrali, 2017). It increases multiculturalism especially in communication established with technological tools (Pinpathomrat, 2017). In this process, it is very important for university students to learn new knowledge as a multicultural education (Al-Bataneh et al., 2019). In this process, students’ tolerance and acceptance of each other is one of the most important indicators (Simion, 2016).

In this work, the formation of interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment of the university is grounded on the structural and content model, which is actively used by modern researchers in various fields of science. It allows building mechanisms of educational impact on the formation of interethnic tolerance of students.

The main objective of the study is to find and develop effective methods for the formation of interethnic tolerance. The introduction of the methods into the content of education focused on the study of the theory, methodology and practice of interethnic tolerance in the pedagogical and socio-cultural spheres.

The aim of the research is to study the state, dynamics and factors affecting the interethnic relations of young people in the field of education.

Tasks of research:
1. To study the problem of interethnic tolerance and dynamics of changes in relations between different ethnic groups.
2. To determine the structure of identification of different ethnic groups.
3. To identify the degree of integration of ethnic groups.
4. To determine the level of interethnic tolerance, preservation of ethnic diversity and assessment of the prospects of interethnic relations.
5. To study the effectiveness of the implementation of the state policy in the field of interethnic unity and harmony.

Materials and methods

The methodological basis of the research is the conceptual provision of modern science about humans and the factors influencing their self-development (Agha and ELDaou, 2018). It centres on the dialogical nature of culture and education, the revival of the humanistic paradigm of education, the development of cultural diversity and traditional culture in the formation of national and cultural identity, cultural-oriented education and the integration of the construction of the educational process (Agha and ELDaou, 2018).

Focusing on the psychological and pedagogical content of the phenomenon of interethnic tolerance, without understanding of which it is difficult to expect the creation of adequate and effective pedagogical strategies, and the analysis of sociological studies, similar to that of Gokal (2019) to diagnose the general level of interethnic tolerance of young people. The article uses the results of sociological research on interethnic relations of young people conducted in 2018 by the public Fund “Center for political and social
research” of Almaty region. The sociological survey uses focus group studies, expert evaluation, methods of statistical processing and qualitative analysis of the results. This research just like most educational research, uses a mixed method (Winch, 2020). The method of hierarchy analysis is used to predict social research.

Participants

The reason for the selection of the sociological research results obtained from “Centre for political and social research” of Almaty region was that it contained the issues of interethnic interaction and harmony, which plays an important role in the formation of interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment.

The integral assessment of the state of interethnic relations and interethnic tolerance in the conditions of the multicultural environment of the university is an indicator. In total, there were 1000 respondents to the research. The respondents, aged between 18 to 22 years and representing different social backgrounds and specialties were interviewed. The nature of the ethnic affiliation of young people is shown in the figure 1. Among the participants, 636 people are Kazakhs, 161 people are Russian, 80 Uighurs, 49 Tatars, 28 Turks, 25 Koreans, 14 Germans, 4 Ukrainians, and 3 representatives of the Azerbaijan diaspora.

Data Collection

The article uses scientific and special methods, study and generalized analysis of scientific sources and documents, comparative analysis of scientific and methodological literature on the problem of research in the field of sociology, psychology, pedagogy and ethno-pedagogics, modelling, poll, questioning and interview, and presentation of long-term experience of research activities in the field of pedagogy and socio-cultural activities. The major source of data for this research is secondary data, obtained from the public Fund “Center for political and social research” of Almaty region.

Data was collected through focus group surveys and in-depth interviews with the participants of this research. The hierarchy technique for analysis was used to analyse the qualitative data of this research. The special methodology is composed of the following works reflecting the modern humanistic paradigm in education: a system-structural approach (Balyasnaya, 1995; Bespalko and Tatur, 1989), the project approach (Mazur, 2014) for studying the general principles and requirements of the education system, the axiological approach (Damy and Plascencia, 2020) for analysing the processes and phenomena of vocational education in the socio-cultural sphere, and the integrative approach (Anastasiadou, 2019; Gershunsky, 2002; Pidkasisty, Fridman and Garunov, 1999), which ensures the integrity and consistency of the pedagogical process.

Results

From the statements of some of the respondents in the course of the study, it was discovered that there is a deterioration of the relationship between different ethnic groups. These are isolated cases of violations of rights on the basis of language, and these problems are common among young people.

Figure 1.
Focus group survey results
Answers to the question “How do you feel about representatives of other ethnic groups, their religion and customs?” This question was used to assess the degree of interethnic tolerance of young people in the educational environment to representatives of other ethnic groups. In general, it can be stated that the majority of respondents have a stable positive perception of the customs and religion of other ethnic groups, and are open to ethnic communication. This perception is due to the assimilation processes, common historical destinies of peoples, the so-called phenomenon of the “United Soviet people”, and the joint holding of various events.

**Figure 2.**
Focus group survey results

![Focus group survey results](image)

To the question, “Are there representatives of another ethnic group in your family?” To this question, 24.7% of the respondents answered “Yes”, and 75.3% respondents answered “no”.

To the question, “How do you feel about the fact that someone from close relatives can become related to representatives of another ethnic group (nationality)?” 49.9% of young people surveyed said that they are not against interethnic marriages, if only they were decent people. In general, there is a tendency to tolerate interethnic marriages in the majority of respondents, regardless of ethnicity. Less frequently, respondents pointed to the unacceptability of inter-ethnic marriages. Most often representatives of the Kazakh ethnic group - repatriates “oralmans”, citing the desire to preserve ethnic identity, pointed this out.

**Table 1.**
Focus group survey results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Take this negatively, maybe will let</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It depends on which ethnic group she/he is with</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you feel about the fact that someone from close relatives can become related to representatives of another ethnic group (nationality)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not approve; I think it is better to avoid it</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s all the same to me</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not judging, if these representatives are people of good character</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I welcome and promote; ethnicity is not the main thing</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the participants of the study, any conflict situations between representatives of different nationalities can only occur in the form of scuffles and fights involving no more than a few people, with the causes of what is happening, in most cases, domestic.

In everyday life, places of localization of interethnic tension are areas related to formal organizations, and the area of short-term, impersonal contacts (public transport, shops, cafes, cinemas, etc.). The intensity of such phenomena is low, however, such phenomena are a serious danger, because under certain conditions (a factor or an event that stimulates ethnic strife), they have a very high potential for spread in the form of “social infection”. The most common behaviors of the respondents as a response to the inability to solve the problem, is a peaceful settlement of the conflict. Less often in the manifestation
of aggression, respondents are ready to demand action from the authorities.

In determining the civil and ethnic identity, it is important to understand how the beliefs and attitudes of young people in social relations contribute to the formation of civic identity.

Young people support the policy pursued by the state in the field of inter-ethnic relations, in most cases. According to respondents, interethnic harmony will contribute to the preservation of the country's independence and economic prosperity. The results of the study show that civil identification is primary for the majority of representatives of all ethnic groups. Most often, they define their community through a small homeland, the whole country, as well as through categories such as family, friends, etc.

In a sociological survey, respondents were asked, “How do you assess the relations between different ethnic groups in the country?” The interethnic situation in the region was assessed as calm by 47.5% of respondents. More than a third (35.6%) of respondents consider the relations between ethnic groups in the country to be good. The total number of low assessments of the situation, which are “tense” and “conflict” are respectively 9.7% and 2.5%, which is less than 12% of respondents.

**Figure 3.**

Focus group survey results
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Analyzing the answers of respondents to the question “What, in your opinion, is the main condition for determining your belongingness to a single nation?” Three most important characteristics for respondents in determining belongingness to a single nation are birth in the territory of their country – 91.3%, issued citizenship in the certificate like passport – 89%, and knowledge of the native language – 85.5%.

**Figure 4.**

Focus group survey results

![What do you think is the main condition for you in determining belonging to a single nation?](image)

To the question, “Can you say that you consider yourself a citizen of your country, i.e. a representative of one people?” Hundred percent (100%) of respondents consider themselves citizens of their country, i.e., representatives of a single people.
Figure 5. Focus group survey results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Can you say that you consider yourself a citizen of your country or a representative of one people?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consider themselves citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not consider themselves citizens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, regardless of what ethnic group, religion, place of birth, or place of residence, people consider themselves as citizens of their country. In addition, the overwhelming number of respondents identified themselves as representatives of a single people of their country, which speaks of community, tolerance and unity.

The study showed that to the question “Do you observe traditional holidays, rituals, customs of your ethnic group?” almost all respondents respond positively. The answers to the first question on the questionnaire for the student audience are diverse. As evident in the results, the column “Yes, I always observe” is at a high level – 55.6%; at the average level – 57.4%; at a low level – 1.8%. Almost all respondents answered positively on this question. It should be noted that the observance of traditional holidays, rituals, and customs is characteristic of all, regardless of what ethnic group they are.

Figure 6. Focus group survey results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High level</th>
<th>Mid level</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>70.00%</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the analysis of the results of sociological research gives grounds to believe that in the multicultural environment of the university, there is a calm ethno-social situation. However, there are signs of hidden ethnic tension in the student community; which cannot go unnoticed. In this regard, there is an urgent need to determine the model of formation of inter-ethnic tolerance of young people, which will be most effective for modern Kazakhstan and can be used in socio-cultural practice.

The scientific basis of the study was the socio-cultural approach which is the idea of ‘cultural mediation’ associated with the understanding of the symbolic context of interpersonal interactions and ethno-cultural-oriented approach (Stefanenko, 2008; Metin and Aral, 2020), as tools of culture, acting as a means of mastering a person’s own psyche, consciousness and personality.

The relevant research of Öksüz and Öztürk, (2017) is a multicultural approach based on multicultural education as a necessary condition for tolerant coexistence of various ethnic and cultural groups. The study was conducted in the fields of psychological and pedagogical sciences: ethno-psychological approach, based on the study of similarities and differences of psychological variables in different cultures and ethnic communities (Miyamoto 2018; Triandis, 1994); ethno-pedagogic approach, revealing the importance of national educational experience in the formation of personality; and environmental approach considered as a set of influences and conditions that ensure the implementation of the educational process. The priority for the study was the study of Rubinstein (2003) an ontological approach based on ethics included in the ontology or ontologically oriented content of education.
Among the practical prerequisites of the study are a number of legal documents that mark the mission of interethnic tolerance in the development of multicultural diversity of the country, and are the subject of intercultural interaction and social harmony, materials of the domestic and foreign periodicals, scientific sources and applied research of the authors. In general, the opinion of the majority of respondents, regardless of nationality and region of residence, on various aspects of inter-ethnic tolerance is characterized by a consensus, which indicates a high degree of inter-ethnic harmony in educational institutions.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

The main purpose of education, arising from the modern understanding of the mechanisms of development of human civilization, is to ensure the advanced development of creative qualities and abilities of man, familiarizing him with the values of the world and national culture, education of spirituality, morality, patriotism, tolerance, and humanism in all subjects of the educational process (Cakmak and Uzunboylu, 2018). In a research, Tenbele (2019) concluded that higher educational institutes have the ability to change the perspective of students by modifying their pedagogical method.

The above-mentioned determines the principal role of the pedagogical phenomenon of interethnic tolerance in the education system, which acts as one of the main state and public institutions responsible for the organization of a common spiritual space, preparation of the individual for life in a multicultural society and full self-realization of each individual.

1. As a result of empirical studies, internal and external factors influencing the formation of interethnic tolerance were identified. The study of the problem revealed the components of the formation of interethnic tolerance: ethno-cultural, cognitive-evaluative, emotional-reflective, operational (see diagram 1).

2. Studying various aspects of the problem of forming interethnic tolerance of young people, we inevitably come to consider the possible application or implementation of this phenomenon. The direct object of research in our work is the multicultural space of ethno-cultural associations. Considering the Concept of development of the Assembly of people of Kazakhstan (until 2025) from December 28, 2015, we have identified the principles and foundations of education, corresponding to the system of conditions for the formation of inter-ethnic tolerance of young people, which is based on the theoretical study of the problem of research. This concept is a systematized basic guideline aimed at the formation of national unity, ensuring social harmony and stability, increasing the dynamics in the field of inter-ethnic relations and improving mechanisms through a multicultural educational environment. The problem of inter-ethnic tolerance is relevant for these institutions, as they unite people of different nationalities and cultures. We consider this existing system of education as a model of formation of interethnic tolerance of youth.

3. Creation of the author’s model of forming interethnic tolerance of youth in the conditions of multicultural educational environment of the university was carried out simultaneously in two directions: a) definition of its structure and content; b) development of ways of its implementation.

4. The designed structural and substantial model of formation of interethnic tolerance of youth is considered as a subsystem of open type, built in the context of the system of professional training of specialists at the university. This model is a set of interrelated blocks: target, operational, effective.

The target block of the model defines the purpose, objectives and conceptual approaches to the formation of interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment of the university. The operational block of the model contains the unity of interrelated components, organizational and content component that determines the software implementation of the goal; activity-technological component of the model determines the organization of the process of forming interethnic tolerance of youth; criteria-diagnostic component of the model includes criteria, indicators and levels of formation of interethnic tolerance of young people. The effective block of the model determines the effectiveness of the results of the formation of interethnic tolerance of students in a multicultural environment.
Diagram 1.
Components of formation of interethnic tolerance

- Ethno-cultural component
  - Ethno-cultural identity (manifestation of national identity);
  - Understanding of other cultures, respect for other cultural and ethnic communities;
  - Mutual understanding and trust, exchange of values, knowledge, respect for:

- Cognitive-evaluation component
  - Knowledge about human cultures and characteristics of other ethnic groups, the rights of man and citizen, about nonviolence and the limits of tolerance;
  - Adoption of universal values of each people;
  - Multicultural thinking lack of stereotypes and prejudices towards other ethnic groups, understanding and acceptance of differences between ethnic groups, the ability to evaluate from the perspective of another culture and change the presentation based

- Emotional-reflective component
  - Emotional intelligence (the ability to recognize emotions, understand other people's intentions and manage their own emotions);
  - Ability to empathy;
  - Ability to maintain relationships with people, entering into emotional contact;
  - Active listening and empathy;
  - Equalization of emotional tension of the partner;

- Operating component
  - Ethno-tolerant behaviour;
  - The range of effective interaction strategies;
The implementation of the process of forming interethnic tolerance of young people will be accompanied by a high-quality level of certain conditions and principles. The conditions may include organizational-pedagogical, psychological-pedagogical, organizational-managerial, and the principles may include consistency, scientific, continuity, multiculturalism, effectiveness, continuity of personality development, dialogue of cultures, cooperation, humanization of education, creativity, reliance on positive qualities of the individual, allowing for the stimulation of this process in a multicultural environment of the university. The principles and conditions of forming interethnic tolerance of youth according to the authors of this research are the basis of pedagogical model of formation of interethnic tolerance of youth. Thus, the model of formation of interethnic tolerance is a systematic inclusion of different components of research and generally requires a creative approach to the understanding, production and implementation of the goal (see figure 2).

---

**Scheme 2. Model of forming interethnic tolerance of youth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIMP</th>
<th>TARGET BLOCK</th>
<th>TASKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formation of interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment of the university</td>
<td>Formation of culture of interethnic communication, patriotism and love for the Motherland, national consciousness; development of mutual understanding, trust and respect for cultural traditions of other ethnic groups.</td>
<td>Development of emotional intelligence, ability to empathy, formation of the ability to build constructive relationships with other ethnic groups without coercion, problems and contradictions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROACHES: multicultural, ethno-cultural-oriented, ontological, axiological, system-structural, integrated, ethnopsychological, environmental, ethno-pedagogic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATIONAL BLOCK</td>
<td>ORGANIZATIONAL-SUBSTANTIAL COMPONENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of software and methodological materials for teaching students in higher education</td>
<td>The elective course &quot;Pedagogy of forming interethnic tolerance&quot; for students of the university</td>
<td>Creation of ethno-cultural center &quot;Tolerant&quot; as a social institution for intercultural cooperation of ethnic groups at the university.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVITY-TECHNOLOGY COMPONENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technologies of forming interethnic tolerance: ethnocultural, cultural, project, information and educational, information and communicative</td>
<td>Forms of training organization: Group - lecture, seminar, conference, discussion, debate, master class, pedagogical workshop, training, modeling of situations, round table Individual - self-analysis, self-assessment, self-diagnosis, self-education, training, examination, supervision, dissemination of experience, etc.</td>
<td>Teaching AIDS: teaching AIDS, books, computer, multimedia equipment websites, portals, movies, video lessons, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITERIA ETHNOCULTURAL</td>
<td>INDICATORS</td>
<td>LEVELS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cognitive-assessment</td>
<td>- knowledge of the culture of interethnic communication; the ability to understand other cultures; the level of development of national consciousness and respect for the cultural traditions of other ethnic groups; - knowledge about the universal culture and peculiarities of other ethnic groups, human and civil rights, non-violence and the limits of tolerance; the level of development of multicultural thinking; - the level of development of emotional intelligence, empathy, emotional stability and conflict resistance; - formation of skills and abilities of intercultural communication, interethnic tolerant behavior, skills of choosing effective strategies of interaction, overcoming problematic situations, prevention and resolution of conflicts in the interaction of ethnic groups.</td>
<td>high average low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emotional-reflexive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operating-communicative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Implications and Recommendations

As a result of the study, we found that the feature of the author’s model of forming interethnic tolerance of young people in a multicultural environment is its integrity, ensuring the unity of content (purpose, content of the process, result) and structural components (principles, conditions, pedagogical assistance, criteria, levels and indicators of formation of interethnic tolerance). This process is a unity of internal and external factors that determine the formation of interethnic tolerance: ethno-cultural, cognitive-evaluative, emotional-behavioral, and operational. Formation of interethnic tolerance in the multicultural environment of the university is aimed at theoretical, methodological and practical readiness of young people to understand the values and cultures of different people and the integration of the ideas of ethno-pedagogy and pedagogy in the development of young people’s abilities and skills tolerant inter-ethnic interaction, ethnic and cultural responsibility.

The model of forming interethnic tolerance of youth is a set of interrelated blocks: target, operational, effective and unity of components; organizational and content; activity-technological; criteria-diagnostic. For approbation of “organizational and pedagogical”, “psychological and pedagogical” and “organizational and administrative” conditions of forming interethnic tolerance of youth, experimental works will be carried out, allowing to present results of the studied processes and phenomena in dynamics, to define tendencies and regularities of real interaction of integrative qualities of the investigated phenomenon.

The results of the study were confirmed by the effectiveness of the formation of interethnic tolerance of young people in the integrated implementation of pedagogical techniques in a multicultural educational environment which motivates young people to participate in the process of preservation and development of national, cultural heritage and intercultural cooperation of ethnic groups;

The use of the potential of ethno-cultural associations will contribute mostly to the formation of inter-ethnic tolerance of young people under the following conditions:

1) taking into account the set of principles, forms and methods of socio-cultural activities in working with young people to develop inter-ethnic tolerance;
2) monitoring according to certain criteria, showing the level of formation of interethnic tolerance of students in a multicultural environment;
3) introduction of the author’s model and pedagogical program for the formation of interethnic tolerance of students in the pedagogical process;
4) expansion of intercultural relations of ethno-cultural associations with other subjects of social and cultural activities, contributing to the formation of interethnic tolerance of students.

One notable limitation of this study is that it cannot be generalized for other countries due to the geographical positioning of the research. This is because the research was conducted in Kazakhstan and even though people from other nationalities participated in the research, there may exist a different mindset or mentality if this research is replicated in a different country. The researchers therefore recommend that future researchers consider replicating this research in different countries to validate further the results of this research.
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