Traumatic Abdominal Wall Hernias: Classification, Diagnosis and Management
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Abstract

Traumatic abdominal wall hernias (TAWHs) are uncommon health conditions that involve a disruption of the muscular layers after a blunt abdominal injury. A consensus in the management of TAWHs has not been established, owing to the scarce number of reported cases. These hernias can occur under a broad array of clinical presentations, from stable and asymptomatic patients to emergency situations with associated intra-abdominal injuries. The aetiology of TAWHs is diverse and can occur at all ages, however it is more likely to be reported in children and elderly patients. Clinical findings must be correlated with a CT scan, which will confirm the diagnosis. Early diagnosis and treatment were found to be associated with a lower risk of complications and mesh use during the surgical repair is an efficient mean of reducing recurrences after the surgical repair. However, the timing of repair and surgical solution still depends on the patient’s condition.
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Introduction

Traumatic abdominal wall hernias (TAWHs) are rare clinical conditions where muscular and fascial layers of the abdominal wall are disrupted while the skin remains intact after a blunt abdominal injury.1, 2

Only a handful of cases have been reported, therefore a consensus in management of TAWHs has not been established.3

The purpose of this study was to investigate current literature regarding management of TAWHs, taking into consideration mainly circumstances of the occurrence and optimal timing for repair of hernias subsequent to blunt abdominal trauma.

Method

A thorough search through PubMed, using the following search formula: (management OR guidelines) AND (traumatic abdominal wall hernia OR traumatic abdominal wall defect) OR (TAWD) OR (TAWH)) was carried out. Only full text articles published in the last 13 years were chosen. After a rigorous examination, a total of 12 articles were chosen for this research.

Results and Discussion

Traumatic abdominal wall hernias are considered to be uncommon occurrences following blunt ab-
dominal injury. The incidence of TAWHs is rather low in contrast to the more frequent blunt abdominal trauma. The incidence of TAWHs is estimated at 0.07 %. Usually, a TAWH contains small bowel or large bowel, however a small percentage of TAWHs can contain both. Associated intra-abdominal injuries such as bowel perforation, splenic rupture, liver lacerations or pelvic fractures are frequent, the percentages varying from 30 % to 60 %.

There is a possibility for the patient to become symptomatic after a longer period following the blunt abdominal trauma while only presenting mild discomfort at the site of injury. The location of the hernia might be linked to a higher or lower risk of concurrent visceral injuries. Supraumbilical and flank hernias pose a greater chance of being associated with intra-abdominal injuries, while infraumbilical hernias are less expected to coexist with such injuries. TAWH usually occur at weak points of the abdomen such as the lower abdomen and inguinal area and the lateral region to the rectus seath.

Currently there is no precise classification system for TAWHs. Management is generally based on the mechanism and force of the injury, nature and severity of other lesions, size of the hernia and the general condition of the patient. TAWHs can be classified into three main types. The first type occurs in high-energy injuries, the fascial defect is large and concurrent intra-abdominal lesions are common. The second type occurs in low-energy injuries and coexisting intra-abdominal injuries are rare. The third type occurs with deceleration injuries and represents an intra-abdominal herniation of the bowel. Ganchi et al classified these hernias based on the mechanism of injury into two major types. The "focal" type includes small hernias in which other associated injuries are uncommon. The "diffuse" type includes hernias resulted from shearing injuries and other associated injuries are more frequent.

TAWHs after road accidents are commonplace, especially in motorcyclists. Such scenarios often result in "handlebar hernias", first described by Dymian in 1980. Unsurprisingly, a high number of handlebar hernias have also been reported in children as a result of a thinner abdominal wall and more elastic skin. Risk factors known for TAWH are pre-existing hernias, old age and weak abdominal muscles.

TAWHs can be described as a "visible bulge" on the parietal wall associated with ecchymosis and subcutaneous fluctuant swelling. These clinical findings must be paired with computed tomography (CT) for a prompt diagnosis. CT is considered the most accurate diagnostic tool and is able to distinguish an isolated TAWH from associated intra-abdominal injuries. Even though CT is the most widely used modality of diagnosis in trauma patients, in cases where injuries call for immediate response, exploratory laparotomy will be used to evaluate the abdominal wall.

Generally it has been accepted that prompt exploration and repair is a much more appropriate method in the management of TAWHs. Delayed timing of exploration and repair might be associated with bowel strangulation and excessive tension in the suturing of the defect which in itself is associated with higher recurrence rates. However, the timing of repair ultimately comes down to the size of the defect, timing of diagnosis and association of other intra- or extra-abdominal injuries. Patients who possess larger parietal defects have a lower chance of bowel strangulation thus allowing for a delayed repair of the defect. This approach will give the surrounding tissue time to heal and therefore mesh can be safely used. However, this strategy might lead to a more difficult repair due to muscle retraction and atrophy.

Mesh use in the repair of TAWHs has been found to lead to less recurrences compared to no mesh repair. A recent study indicates that up to 70 % of recurrences occur in patients who were treated without the use of mesh. If the size of the defect is small and the patient is stable, then a tension-free repair without the use of mesh during the initial exploratory laparotomy might be a feasible approach and will prevent visceral incarceration.

In the presence of hollow viscus injury (HVI) or abdominal contamination, mesh use could be an option, however a study has shown that long-term durability is less favourable. In the past, HVIs and contaminated fields were considered absolute contraindications for the use of biologic meshes, however the issue resides more in the long-term viability of the repair rather than the safety in using of the biologic mesh in such instances.
In a recent systematic review, it has been found that tension-free repair is recommended to minimise recurrence rates. However, this might be difficult to achieve in a primary repair due to swelling and haematoma in the acute posttraumatic period. In such cases mesh use is recommended.\textsuperscript{12}

Conclusion

TAWHs are rare occurrences and should be taken into consideration whenever a patient reports blunt abdominal trauma when performing the initial assessment. These hernias can often be associated with intra-abdominal injuries and computed tomography should be a standard diagnostic tool. Early repair is associated with a lower risk of complications and mesh use has been proven to be an efficient method of minimising recurrences after the surgical repair. In cases where the size of the defect is large, the repair procedure must involve the use of mesh. If the size of the defect is small, it can be handled with a tension-free repair without the use of mesh.

In regard to the timing of TAWH repair, early repair seems to be associated with a decreased risk of bowel obstruction, strangulation or incarceration. If all of the aforementioned risks are absent and the patient’s status is acceptable, a delayed repair is justified.\textsuperscript{9,12}
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