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Abstract

Background/Aim: Diabetes mellitus patients may be more vulnerable to stress due to the requirement for lifestyle changes and blood sugar management. Stress occurs when people sense a mismatch between their available resources and the expectations put on them, especially when the expectations are believed to be excessive in comparison to the preceding circumstance. The purpose of this study was to look at the effectiveness of the acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) as a stress-reduction intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) by enhancing their psychological well-being.

Methods: A total of 40 people were allocated to either the intervention or control groups at random. The intervention group got counselling on the pillars of diabetes control, followed by a stress level pre-test. Following that, the ACT intervention was carried out. After completing the post-test, the control group got the same intervention. The ACT module and a questionnaire to evaluate stress levels in T2DM patients were used in this study.

Results: Following the ACT session, the measuring findings for stress levels in the intervention group showed a reduction. Both the intervention and control groups saw stress reduction; however, the intervention group had a greater reduction.

Conclusions: The ACT intervention reduced stress levels in the intervention group. ACT appeared as the variable having the largest effect on lowering stress levels after taking into account variables such as duration of suffering, education, sex and age related to T2DM.

Key words: Stress levels; Type 2 diabetes mellitus; Acceptance and commitment therapy.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) with severe consequences is one of the national issues that need greater attention.1-3 According to Basic Health Research statistics in Indonesia, there are 10 million diabetics and 17.9 million persons at risk of developing the condition. Meanwhile, East Java Province ranks eighth in Indonesia in terms of diabetes prevalence, with a prevalence of 6.8 %. This result is one notch higher than DKI Jakarta, which is ranked tenth with a prevalence of 6.6 %. Meanwhile, North Maluku ranks first with a frequency of 11.1 %. While Surabaya has a greater incidence, it is ranked sixth.4

DM is a chronic condition with a long treatment period and high treatment expenses and the prevalence of DM is growing.5-7 DM patients may be more vulnerable to stress since they must
Methods

This research was conducted in June-July 2019 at the Islamic Hospital A Yani Surabaya, Indonesia. Before the start of the experiment, both the control and intervention groups were given an initial stress level questionnaire to determine their baseline stress levels. Participants in both groups were also given complete diabetes management information.

The intervention group participated in the ACT intervention program that consisted of four weekly sessions carried out over four weeks. Each class, which lasted around 30 to 45 minutes, was devoted to a certain topic. At the end of each session, participants were asked to reflect on their development and discoveries, which they wrote in a researcher-designed logbook. In the fourth week, a post-test was administered in conjunction with the control group, utilising a predefined questionnaire to assess stress levels. A simple random sampling procedure was used to determine the proper sample size, which might include up to 40 respondents. Furthermore, DM individuals with regular blood sugar level management and no substantial health issues were chosen using sequential sampling. Both the control and intervention groups had a maximum of 20 participants.

The inclusion criteria included a set of key criteria that persons participating in both the control
and intervention groups must meet. Before the intervention, participants were asked to complete a stress level questionnaire to develop a basic understanding of their stress levels, which served as a baseline. Furthermore, before the intervention period, all people in both groups were exposed to complete diabetes management information. Participants assigned to the intervention group were required to actively participate in the ACT intervention. Attending four weekly sessions over four weeks was required for this intervention. Following that, participants in the intervention group were invited to participate in introspection, writing their own experiences and developmental insights in a notebook thoughtfully provided by the researchers after each session. As the fourth week approached, all individuals performed a post-test evaluation utilising a rigorously constructed questionnaire, which was administered concurrently with the control group, to determine stress levels.

The exclusion criteria included restrictions that guide the identification and exclusion of persons judged unfit for participation in the study. Individuals who did not reply to the first stress level questionnaire were mostly excluded from the analysis. Individuals who did not get comprehensive diabetes management information did not fulfil the study’s inclusion criteria. Participants who refused or showed reluctance to participate in the ACT intervention were removed from the intervention group. As a result, people in the intervention group who were unwilling or unable to submit their thoughts within the offered logbook may have resulted in inadequate data collection. Individuals who did not complete the post-intervention evaluation in the fourth week were also excluded from the final analysis. Finally, DM individuals with significant health issues or irregular blood sugar level management were not eligible to participate in the study.

**Instruments**

The stress level questionnaire utilised in this study was adapted from the Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Checklist Civilian Version (PCL-C), a well-established instrument that has undergone rigorous validation and has been extensively employed in previous research endeavours. The PCL-C serves as a comprehensive tool designed to assess stress levels and their ramifications on individuals. This questionnaire comprises a series of inquiries intentionally crafted to discern the magnitude of stress experienced by participants. The exact number of items in this questionnaire was conformed to the structure and content of the original PCL-C, which underpins the questionnaire development for this study. Typically, the PCL-C consists of approximately 17 to 20 items, contingent upon the version and modifications adopted for the specific investigation. Each individual question item within the questionnaire pertained to symptoms or sentiments associated with stress levels.

The evaluation methodology of this questionnaire entailed participants responding to each question item based on their personal experiences and emotions. Each item presented response options that elucidate the extent to which participants have encountered the symptoms or emotions encapsulated within the posed questions. Generally, a Likert scale was employed as the response metric, encompassing a range of values such as “Not at all” to “Very strongly.” Participants were prompted to select the response that most accurately aligns with their personal experiences and feelings. The cumulative values of the responses attributed to each question item were aggregated to compute a composite score. This cumulative score reflected the perceived stress level of participants. Higher scores denoted heightened levels of stress. Typically, the PCL-C employs pre-defined cut-off points that facilitate the categorisation of stress levels, such as “No stress,” “Mild stress,” “Moderate stress,” and so forth.

The entire procedure was conducted to ascertain the baseline stress levels of subjects prior to the implementation of the intervention and to juxtapose alterations in stress levels subsequent to the intervention’s execution. The data gleaned from this questionnaire were instrumental in discerning the impact of the intervention on stress levels, furnishing a foundational framework for subsequent analytical processes within the purview of this study.

The weekly therapy sessions in this study encompassed a diverse range of topics meticulously curated to address various dimensions of stress management and emotional well-being. These sessions served as comprehensive platforms for engaging participants in constructive discussions and experiential exercises. During the inaugural session, participants delved into an exploration
of the fundamental concept of stress, gaining a comprehensive understanding of stressors, physiological responses and the intricate interplay between stress and emotional and physical health. The subsequent session focused on cultivating mindfulness and acceptance techniques, offering participants practical tools to enhance their present-moment awareness and reduce the impact of persistent rumination. Guiding participants towards a deeper level of self-awareness, the third session centred on values and commitment, encouraging participants to unearth their core values and correlate them with their life aspirations. This linkage between values and psychological well-being underscored the importance of alignment between one’s actions and intrinsic values, ultimately nurturing emotional resilience during times of stress. The concluding session centred on fostering positivity and future-oriented thinking. Participants embarked on a journey of recognising the potency of positive self-affirmations in bolstering self-esteem and subsequently, in managing stress. Moreover, the session imparted techniques for formulating realistic goals and strategies to pave a proactive path for the future. Throughout this four-week intervention, each meticulously orchestrated session combined experiential exercises, guided discussions and reflective practices to equip participants with invaluable skills and insights. The holistic impact of these sequential sessions contributed to the overarching research objective, which was to assess the efficacy of the ACT intervention in alleviating stress levels among participants, thereby enriching their overall emotional well-being.

Data analysis

Multiple linear regression with a significance threshold of \( p < 0.05 \), as well as the statistical tests paired t-test and independent t-test, were employed to analyse the data.

Ethical consideration

The Islamic Hospital A Yani Surabaya’s Ethical Review Board approved this study with permission No 017. EC.KEP.RSIAY.06.19. Prior to data collection, all participants provided informed consent, assuring their voluntary participation and the protection of their rights. Throughout the study, anonymity and secrecy were scrupulously observed. Personal information and identities of participants were kept with the utmost care and data were gathered and analysed in an aggregated and de-identified way. To guarantee fairness and eliminate prejudice, individuals were randomly assigned to intervention and control groups. It is worth emphasising that following the trial, there are plans to deliver the intervention program to the control group, providing fair benefits for all participants. These ethical issues were prioritised and presented in accordance with best standards, assuring the ethical integrity and protection of the participants throughout the study process.

Results

The research had 20 participants in the control group and 20 participants in the intervention group. Most respondents were in the 41-55 year range, female and with a high school education level. Finally, respondents with DM for less than 6 years made up the biggest group. There were no difference in the characteristics of respondents, such as age, gender, education and length of DM suffering, between the control and intervention groups (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 20)</td>
<td>(n = 20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No school</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma/S1/S2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6 years</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 6 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* \( p < 0.05 \) based on the Pearson Chi-square test; \** \( p < 0.05 \) based on the Chi-square Fisher’s exact test; DM: diabetes mellitus;

Before the intervention, stress levels in the control group were 25.93 ± 3.88, but after the intervention, they climbed to 26.93 ± 3.24 (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 2). Meanwhile, baseline stress levels in the intervention group were 25.93 ±
3.43, but after the intervention, they climbed to 30.73 ± 2.83 (p < 0.001). Prior to doing regression analysis, relevant assumptions such as normality, homoscedasticity and independence were investigated. All these assumptions have been satisfied, which validates the results.

Table 2: Changes in the respondent of stress level before and after being given the acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Stress level (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>25.93 ± 3.88</td>
<td>-1.37; -0.63</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention group</td>
<td>25.93 ± 3.43</td>
<td>-5.54; -4.07</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 based on paired t-test; CI: Confidence interval;

Table 3: The value of the difference in respondents’ stress levels before and after being given the acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress level</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value difference</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.98</td>
<td>4.80 ± 1.97</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-4.61; -3.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 based on independent t-test; CI: confidence interval;

Table 4: Results of bivariate analysis of risk factors related to the stress level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of respondent</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT intervention</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.25; DM: diabetes mellitus, ACT: acceptance and commitment therapy;

According to bivariate data analysis results, ACT (p < 0.001) and education (p = 0.027), had a significant influence on the stress level (Table 4). This variable were subjected to multiple linear regression analysis (Table 5).

Table 5: Results of linear regression analysis of the acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) intervention on stress levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>25.82</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT intervention</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 based on linear regression;

The constant value for stress levels reflecting a change in stress without any input from other factors is 25.82, as shown in Table 5. The findings of the linear regression analysis revealed that the ACT intervention had the greatest effect on the reduction in stress levels. The stress level is assessed to be 25.82 using the linear regression approach. Stress decreases by 3.69 for every gradual frequency of ACT session. Every extra degree of education reduces stress by 0.79.

Discussion

The homogeneity test performed in this study revealed no significant differences between respondents in the intervention and control groups. DM was found to be prevalent in those aged 41 to 55. Age is one element that increases
stress levels in relation to DM features. The results of this study are in accordance with previous studies which stated that the productive age of 30-50 years is old adulthood, when a person prefers to work more, increasing the potential of stress, however at the age of >50 years, one merely adjusts to what has been achieved in old age. 52-56

This condition can affect both male and female diabetes individuals who are stressed. Gender is a risk factor for stress; women are more prone to stress than males in terms of blood sugar regulation. Women are more easily agitated when it comes to adhering to a DM intervention program. Overall, women tend to be more affected by psychological stress than males. Furthermore, women in older age have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction and stroke death than males 57-60

The outcomes of research at the highest levels of education indicated that high school had the most data in the intervention group. Some studies that support this research mention that the more information a person gains, the more competent they are to manage with stress than individuals with a lower degree of education. A lack of understanding in dealing with challenges at work might lead to stress. 61-69

According to the findings, the majority of respondents had DM for at least 6 years. Those with DM who have had the condition for a longer period of time have less stress as they become accustomed to the treatment regimen. 8, 70-72

Age, current education and duration of DM can all impact stress levels. However, how everyone controls the stress that arises in his body can also alter stress levels. Stress management is the ability to use (human) resources effectively to overcome mental and emotional disorders or disorders caused by responses, such as identifying habits or things that cause stress, changing habits that can cause stress/coping with unhealthy stress, socialising with others, making time to relax and living a healthy lifestyle. 81, 92-96

The severity of diabetes is a further variable that impacts stress levels in the management of T2DM. According to Hilliard et al research, the longer a person suffers from diabetes, the lower the degree of stress experienced since they are acclimated to the treatment procedure, however for someone who has just been diagnosed with diabetes, the stress level is considerably greater. 91

Stress can be avoided in T2DM patients by following the DM management pillars of meal planning or diet control, physical activity, hyperglycaemic therapy and health education. However, the most crucial aspect of diabetes control is meal planning or diet management. 81, 92-96 Patient education is a key pillar in DM management for optimising interventional treatment. If education is delivered successfully, it can increase patient adherence and illness self-management, allowing patients to avoid stress. 97

Female T2DM patients are better able to manage their diabetes following therapy because males engage in greater physical activity outside the home than women and consume more calories due to erroneous food composition. 80-82 This contradicts study by Anne et al, which demonstrates that males are more engaged in enforcing certain regulations than women. Furthermore, males often consume everything given by their family, unless their family has prepared an appropriate dinner for them. 83

According to previous study, DM patients who have received information on the disease would have a reasonable degree of awareness would adhere to treatment and prevention more successfully. 8, 84-86 Although T2DM patients had a high degree of awareness of infection transmission, there is potential for improvement. It is usually assumed that communities that are more informed about this disease would adhere to preventative and treatment measures more efficiently. 87-90

The findings of evaluating variable stress levels in T2DM patients in the intervention group revealed that stress levels decreased following the ACT intervention. Prior to receiving ACT, respondents reported feeling stressed. The majority of responders were between the ages of 41 and 55. Indeed, in some studies it has been mentioned that
ACT is a cognitive behavioural therapy that examines a person’s clinical behaviour and experiences. The aim of ACT therapies are to improve well-being and reduce individual suffering. Unpleasant experiences is altered in accordance with the ACT philosophical thought. These experiences include increasing impairment as well as felt tension, stress and chronic discomfort. Acceptance and awareness of both good and unpleasant events, as well as supportive ideals are all part of the ACT intervention.\(^{42,98}\)

The ACT intervention has a considerable impact on the applicability of activities given by health staff to patients with T2DM in disease management.\(^{99-104}\) ACT is a variant of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) that focuses on improving persons with T2DM's perspectives on illness acceptance and psychological well-being.\(^{105-109}\) The purpose of the ACT intervention, which also involves families in its execution, is stress management in patients with T2DM. Making contracts with the patient’s family to manage the stress of T2DM patients and to be more positive is the first stage in the ACT intervention.\(^{8,57,110-112}\)

ACT intervention, according to the results of multivariate linear regression analysis, was a process-oriented treatment used to enhance psychological components in the individual’s efforts to regulate himself and prevent undesired thoughts and sensations as a barrier to valued and successful action.\(^{112-114}\) ACT was the variable with the greatest impact on stress reduction. T2DM patients’ stress is caused by unstable blood sugar levels as a result of irregular control and limits in exams with health services.\(^{115-117}\)

The Meleis transition theory method can promote adaptive coping and client responsiveness by enhancing the psychology and management of T2DM patients in coping with changes in their health.\(^{118}\) ACT interventions are used to help people reach their health goals. The health counselling technique is carried out continually by the challenges encountered by patients for diabetics to become self-sufficient. The advantage of this strategy is that T2DM patients can actively engage in the decision-making process by following the prescribed treatment guidelines with or without the assistance of a health practitioner.\(^{119-127}\)

The ACT method emphasises assisting people with T2DM to speak about what bothers them the most about their condition, what they want to change the most, the support they can gain for change and the hurdles or challenges that must be reduced to encourage healthy habits.\(^{85,128,129}\) The primary purpose of the health coach is not to teach or offer counsel to patients, but rather to focus on specific concerns and challenges that are unique to each T2DM patient based on the context of the patient’s life.\(^{130-132}\)

Education level is another element that impacts the stress faced by DM patients when treating their DMT2 condition. The majority of patients have a high school diploma. The amount of formal education forms the foundation for patients to accomplish anything, to comprehend and comprehend something more, or to accept and reject something.\(^{133}\) A well-educated individual is more mature in the process of self-change, making it simpler to absorb external influences that are positive, objective and open to learning about many health topics.\(^{29,67,134,135}\)

The development of constructive coping skills in the face of stresses is intended to give T2DM patients with a better knowledge.\(^{61,93,136}\) Patients with T2DM who have undergone ACT therapies have a positive attitude and participate in necessary activities to reduce stress levels.\(^{45,47,57,137}\)

Through the deployment of successful DM management pillars to achieve stable blood sugar levels so that patients may minimize stress, ACT intervention can improve the positive view on DM management. The ACT intervention begins with an assessment of the patient’s condition and then defines goals that address the problem of physical changes in the form of unstable blood sugar levels. T2DM patients' have psychological alterations due to stress caused by their DM condition. The following stage is to examine the problem, decide on potential possibilities and effect change by recognising and determining the commitment to action. This level is attained when T2DM patients comprehend the benefits of stress management in T2DM therapy.\(^{8}\)

ACT treatments are psychological interventions that involve behaviour modification, acceptance and attention and acceptance to increase psychological flexibility and are more successful than other therapies. Anxiety, substance usage, stopping smoking, work stress and chronic pain all improved following the ACT session. ACT differs from other cognitive behavioural
treatments in that it focuses entirely on the frequency of cognition and individual content. 38, 40, 108, 113, 138, 139

The advantage of ACT therapies is that T2DM patients may participate more actively in what has been generated by following the specified intervention guidelines, with or without the assistance of health experts. 44, 119, 140-146

Conclusion
The study’s findings show that stress levels in the intervention group dropped when ACT was implemented. Furthermore, when evaluating characteristics related to DM such as length of suffering, education, gender and age, the ACT intervention appeared as the element having the highest impact in lowering stress levels. Based on these findings, it is critical for healthcare practitioners to emphasise the importance of T2DM patients evaluating their capacity to engage in health-promoting behaviours, such as stress management, as a method of effectively managing their medical condition. Incorporating ACT as a regular component in the therapy of T2DM patients can aid in the immediate and continual resolution of patient concerns. By applying these guidelines, healthcare practitioners can better help T2DM patients on their path to better health. Furthermore, policymakers and healthcare system stakeholders should explore incorporating ACT therapies into routine care for T2DM patients in order to improve patient outcomes and overall diabetic management.
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